#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

# [X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002

## [ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission file numbers: 1-14323

333-93239-01

# ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.

**ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P.** (Exact name of registrants as specified in their charters)

Delaware Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of organization) 76-0568219 76-0568220 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Page No.

61

2727 North Loop West, Houston, Texas 77008-1037 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 880-6500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

#### YES [X] NO [ ]

Limited Partner interests (e.g. Common Units) of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. trade on the New York Stock Exchange under symbol "EPD". As of May 14, 2002, 56,876,983 Common Units were outstanding. Enterprise Products Operating L.P. is owned 98.9899% by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and 1.0101% by the General Partner of both registrants, Enterprise Products GP, LLC. No common equity securities of Enterprise Products Operating L.P. are publicly traded.

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report constitutes a combined report for Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (the "Company")(Commission File No. 1-14323) and its 98.9899% owned subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (the "Operating Partnership")(Commission File No. 33-93239-01). Since the Operating Partnership owns substantially all of the Company's consolidated assets and conducts substantially all of the Company's business and operating. The information set forth herein, except for Part I, Item 1, constitutes combined information for the Company and the Operating Partnership. In accordance with Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X, Part I, Item 1 contains separate financial statements for the Company and the Operating Partnership.

## ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P. ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P. TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### PART I

Glossary Financial Statements. Item 1. Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Item 1A. 1 Item 1B. Enterprise Products Operating L.P. 21 Ttem 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. 39 Ttem 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. 55 PART II Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K. 58

Signatures page

#### Glossary

# The following abbreviations, acronyms or terms used in this Form 10-Q are defined below:

Acadian Gas BBtu Acadian Gas LLC and subsidiaries, acquired from Shell in April 2001 Billion British thermal units, a measure of heating value

BEF Belvieu Environmental Fuels, an equity investment of EPOLP Belle Rose Belle Rose NGL Pipeline LLC, an equity investment of EPOLP BPD Barrels per day Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC, an equity investment of EPOLP Baton Rouge Propylene Concentrator, LLC, an equity investment of EPOLP Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries, including BRE BRPC Company the Operating Partnership CPG Cents per gallon Refers to affiliates of Valero Energy Corporation and Koch Industries, Inc. Dixie Pipeline Company, an equity investment of EPOLP Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization Enterprise Products Company, an affiliate of the Company and our ultimate Diamond-Koch Dixie EBITDA EPC0 parent company EPIK Terminalling L.P. and EPIK Gas Liquids, LLC, collectively, an equity EPIK investment of EPOLP EPOLP Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the operating subsidiary of the Company (also referred to as the "Operating Partnership") EPU Earnings per Unit Financial Accounting Standards Board FASB GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles of the United States of America General Partner Enterprise Products GP, LLC, the general partner of the Company and the Operating Partnership Denotes our Houston Ship Channel pipeline system Kinder Morgan Operating LP "A" La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P. and La Porte GP, LLC, collectively, an equity investment of the Company HSC Kinder Morgan La Porte London interbank offering rate LIBOR Refers to the acquisition of Mont Belvieu Associates' remaining interest in the MBA acquisition Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation facility in 1999 MBEC Mississippi Business Finance Corporation Million British thermal units per day, a measure of heating value MBPD MMBtu/d Million British thermal units, a measure of heating value MMBtus Mont Belvieu Mont Belvieu, Texas Mont Belvieu III Refers to the propylene fractionation facility acquired from Diamond-Koch Methyl tertiary butyl ether MTBE NGL or NGLs Natural gas liquid(s) New York Stock Exchange NYSE **Operating Partnership** Enterprise Products Operating L.P. and its subsidiaries Olefins Terminal Corporation, an equity investment of the Company OTC Promix K/D/S Promix LLC, an equity investment of EPOLP SEC U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission SFAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards issued by the FASB Shell Shell Oil Company, its subsidiaries and affiliates TNGL acquisition Refers to the acquisition of Tejas Natural Gas Liquids, LLC, an affiliate of Shell, in 1999 Venice Energy Services Company, LLC, a cost method investment of EPOLP Wilprise Pipeline Company, LLC, a cost method investment of EPOLP Wilprise Pipeline Company, LLC, an equity investment of EPOLP Tri-States VESC0 Wilprise

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION. Item 1A. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in thousands)

March 31

ASSETS	March 31, 2002 (unaudited)	December 31, 2001
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (includes restricted cash of \$14,521 at March 31, 2002 and \$5,752 at December 31, 2001) Accounts and notes receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 44,553	\$ 137,823
of \$20,615 at March 31, 2002 and \$20,642 at December 31, 2001	257,191	256,927
Accounts receivable - affiliates	2,490	4,375
Inventories	100, 329	69,443
Prepaid and other current assets	44,943	50,207
Total current assets	449,506	518,775
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	1,535,196	1,306,790
Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Affiliates	411,292	398,201
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,156 at	050 440	000.000
March 31, 2002 and \$13,084 at December 31, 2001 Goodwill	252,142	202,226
Other Assets	81,135 6,991	5,201
other Assets	0,991	5,201
Total	\$2,736,262	
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Current maturities of debt	\$50,000	
Accounts payable - trade	59,294	\$54,269
Accounts payable - affiliates	15,301	
Accrued gas payables	258,550	
Accrued expenses	15,979	22,460
Accrued interest Other current liabilities	8,165	24,302
other current madimites	58,665	44,764
Total current liabilities	465,954	409,216
Long-Term Debt	1,168,596	855,278
Other Long-Term Liabilities	7,981	
Minority Interest	11,120	11,716
Commitments and Contingencies		
Partners' Equity		
Common Units (51,319,915 Units outstanding at March 31, 2002		

and 51,360,915 at December 31, 2001)	608,333	651,872
Subordinated Units (21,409,870 Units outstanding at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001)	174,973	193,107
Special Units (14,500,000 Units outstanding at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001) Treasury Units acquired by Trust, at cost (204,600 Common Units	296,634	296,634
outstanding at March 31, 2002 and 163,600 at December 31, 2001) General Partner	(8,237) 10,908	(6,222) 11,531
Total Partners' Equity	1,082,611	1,146,922
Total	\$2,736,262	\$2,431,193

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 1

# Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Statements of Consolidated Operations (Dollars in thousands, except per Unit amounts) (Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2002	
REVENUES Revenues from consolidated operations Equity income in unconsolidated affiliates	\$662,054 9,227	\$836,315
Total COST AND EXPENSES Operating costs and expenses Selling, general and administrative		838,326
Total	672,385	783,909
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(1,104)	54,417
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) Interest expense Interest income from unconsolidated affiliates Dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates Interest income - other Other, net	(18,513) 30 954	(6,987) 24 1,632 3,998 (280)
Other income (expense)	(16,272)	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST MINORITY INTEREST	(17,376) 173	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(17,203)	\$ 52,270
ALLOCATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) TO: Limited partners	\$(18,449) ========	==============
General partner	\$ 1,246	
BASIC EARNINGS PER UNIT Income (loss) before minority interest	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.77
Net income (loss) per Common and Subordinated unit	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.76
DILUTED EARNINGS PER UNIT Income (loss) before minority interest	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.62
Net income (loss) per Common, Subordinated and Special unit	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.61

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 2

# Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Quarter En March 33	
	2002	2001
OPERATING ACTIVITIES Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows provided by	\$(17,203)	\$ 52,270
(used for) operating activities: Depreciation and amortization	17,947	10,781

Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates Leases paid by EPCO Minority interest Loss (gain) on sale of assets Changes in fair market value of financial instruments (see Note 12) Net effect of changes in operating accounts	14,438 2,281 (173) 14 30,141	(2,011) 8,866 2,633 534 (381) (16,361) (7,634)
Operating activities cash flows	(9,973)	48,697
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Capital expenditures Proceeds from sale of assets Business acquisitions, net of cash received Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	(17,112) 10 (368,631)	(25,338) 557
Investing activities cash flows		(137,864)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Long-term debt borrowings Long-term debt repayments Debt issuance costs Cash distributions paid to partners Cash distributions paid to minority interest by Operating Partnership Cash contributions from EPCO to minority interest Cash contributions from minority interest Treasury Units purchased by Trust Increase in restricted cash	(485) 23 39 (2,015) (8,769)	(3,125) (38,056) (393) 27
Financing activities cash flows	304,419	408,169
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, JANUARY 1	(102,039) 132,071	319,002
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, MARCH 31	\$ 30,032	\$379,411

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 3

## Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

#### 1. GENERAL

In the opinion of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals necessary for a fair presentation of its consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2002 and consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 and 2001. Within these footnote disclosures of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., references to "we", "us", "our" or "the Company" shall mean the consolidated financial statements of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.. References to "Operating Partnership" shall mean the consolidated financial statements of our primary operating subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating L.P.

Although we believe the disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-14323) for the year ended December 31, 2001.

The results of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Dollar amounts presented within these footnote disclosures are stated in thousands of dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

Certain abbreviated entity names and other capitalized terms are described within the glossary of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

#### Two-for-one split of Limited Partner Units

On February 27, 2002, we announced that the General Partner had approved a two-for-one split for each class of our partnership Units. The partnership Unit split will be accomplished by distributing one additional partnership Unit for each partnership Unit outstanding to holders of record on April 30, 2002. The Units will be distributed on May 15, 2002. All references to number of Units or earnings per Unit contained in this document relate to the pre-split Units, unless otherwise indicated.

# 2. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

# Acquisition of Diamond-Koch propylene fractionation business in February 2002

In February 2002, we completed the purchase of various propylene fractionation assets and certain inventories of refinery grade propylene, propane, and polymer grade propylene from Diamond-Koch. These include a 66.7% interest in a polymer grade propylene fractionation facility located in Mont Belvieu, Texas (the "Mont Belvieu III" facility), a 50% interest in an entity which owns a polymer grade propylene export terminal located on the Houston Ship Channel in La Porte, Texas, and varying interests in several supporting distribution pipelines and related equipment. Mont Belvieu III has the capacity to produce approximately 41 MBPD of polymer grade propylene. We will integrate these assets into our Mont Belvieu operations. The purchase price of \$239.0 million was funded by a drawdown on our Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities (see Note 7).

#### Acquisition of Diamond-Koch storage business in January 2002

In January 2002, we completed the purchase of various hydrocarbon storage assets from Diamond-Koch. The storage facilities consist of 30 salt dome storage caverns with a useable capacity of 68 million barrels, local distribution pipelines and related equipment.

The facilities provide storage services for mixed natural gas liquids, ethane, propane, butanes, natural gasoline and olefins (such as ethylene), polymer grade propylene, chemical grade propylene and refinery grade propylene.

The facilities are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas and serve the largest petrochemical and refinery complex in the United States. Collectively, they represent the largest underground storage operation of its kind in the world, containing 14% of the world's underground storage capacity. The size and location of the business provide it with a competitive position to increase its services to expanding Gulf Coast petrochemical complexes. We will integrate these assets into our existing storage operations located in Mont Belvieu. The purchase price of \$129.6 million was funded by utilizing cash on hand.

#### Allocation of purchase price of Diamond-Koch acquisitions

The Diamond-Koch acquisitions will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the initial purchase price of each has been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as follows:

	Estimated Fai	r Values at	
	Feb. 1, 2002	Jan. 1, 2002	
	Propylene Fractionation	Storage	Total
Inventories	\$ 4,994		\$ 4,994
Prepaid and other current assets	2,701	\$ 890	3,591
Property, plant and equipment	97,626	120,854	218,480
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	7,550		7,550
Intangible assets (see Note 6)	53,000	7,844	60,844
Goodwill	73,279		73,279
Current liabilities	(107)		(107)
Total purchase price	\$239,043	\$ 129,588	\$368,631

The balances related to the Diamond-Koch acquisitions included in the consolidated balance sheet dated March 31, 2002 are based upon preliminary information and are subject to change as additional information is obtained. The fair value estimates were developed by independent appraisers using recognized business valuation techniques. The initial purchase price is subject to certain post-closing adjustments that are expected to be finalized during the second quarter of 2002.

The purchase price paid for the propylene fractionation business resulted in \$73.3 million in goodwill. The goodwill represents the value management has attached to future earnings improvements, the strategic location of the assets and their connections and cost advantaged assets. Earnings from the propylene business are expected to improve substantially from the last few years with the years 2003 and 2004 projected to be peak years in the petrochemical business cycle. Additionally, the demand for chemical grade and polymer grade propylene is forecast to grow at an average of 4.4% per year from 2002 to 2006.

The propylene fractionation assets are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas on the Gulf Coast, the largest natural gas liquids and petrochemical marketplace in the U.S. The assets have access to substantial supply from major Gulf Coast and central U.S. producers of refinery grade propylene. The polymer grade products produced at the facility have competitive advantages because of distribution direct to customers via affiliated pipelines and through an affiliated export facility. In addition, we believe this facility has achieved operating cost efficiencies that are much lower than historical levels and are among the lowest in the industry.

# Pro forma effect of business acquisitions

Our results of operations for the first quarter of 2002 includes two full months (February and March) of the propylene fractionation business and three full months of the storage business. Our 2001 results of operations do not include any impact from these acquisitions. The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma information for the quarters ended March 31, 2002 and 2001

## PAGE 5

based on historical financial information of the Diamond-Koch propylene fractionation and storage businesses as if both acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented.

The pro forma information is based upon data currently available to and certain estimates and assumptions by management and, as a result, are not necessarily indicative of our financial results had the transactions actually occurred on these dates. Likewise, the unaudited pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of our future financial results.

	Pro Forma Amounts for Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2002	2001
Revenues Income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$677,807	\$939,874
and minority interest Net income (loss)	\$(16,014) \$(15,855)	\$ 52,568 \$ 52,036
Allocation of net income (loss) to Limited partners General Partner	\$(17,101) \$ 1,246	\$ 51,055 \$ 982
Units used in earnings per Unit calculations Basic Diluted	72,763 72,763	67,667 84,167
Income (loss) per Unit before minority interest Basic Diluted	\$ (0.24) \$ (0.24)	\$ 0.76 \$ 0.61
Net income (loss) per Unit Basic Diluted	\$ (0.24) \$ (0.24)	\$ 0.75 \$ 0.61

#### 3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Our property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation are as follows:

	Estimated Useful Life in Years	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Plants and pipelines	5-35	\$1,562,015	\$ 1,398,843
Underground and other storage facilities	5-35	249,108	127,900
Transportation equipment	3-35	3,682	3,736
Land		15,437	15,517
Construction in progress		57,153	98,844
Total	-	1,887,395	1,644,840
Less accumulated depreciation		352,199	338,050
Property, plant and equipment, net	-	\$1,535,196	\$1,306,790
	=		

PAGE 6

#### 4. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

We own interests in a number of related businesses that are accounted for under the equity or cost method. The investments in and advances to these unconsolidated affiliates are grouped according the operating segment to which they relate. For a general discussion of our operating segments, see Note 13.

We acquired three equity method unconsolidated affiliates as part of our acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation business (see Note 2). We purchased an aggregate 50% interest in La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P. and La Porte Pipeline GP, L.L.C. (collectively, "La Porte") which together own a private polymer grade propylene pipeline extending from Mont Belvieu to La Porte, Texas. In addition, we acquired 50% of the outstanding capital stock of Olefins Terminal Corporation ("OTC") which owns a polymer grade propylene storage facility and related dock infrastructure (located on the Houston Ship Channel) for loading waterborne propylene vessels. Both the La Porte and OTC investments are an integral part of our Mont Belvieu III propylene fractionation operations. These investments are classified as part of our Fractionation operating segment.

The following table shows investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates at:

5% \$ 29,309 0% 18,360 3% 44,186	
0% 18,360	
0% 18,360	
	9 \$ 29,417
20/ 1/ 106	3 18,841
3% 44,186	6 45,071
0% 5,740	9
0% 1,690	Э
0% 14,870	9 14,280
5% 8,671	1 8,834
3% 26,812	2 26,734
7% 11,559	9 11,624
8% 38,276	6 37,558
0% 25,968	3 25,352
7% 76,857	7 76,880
2% 12,167	7 12,189
5% 2,546	6 2,578
3% 61,281	1 55,843
1% 33,000	33,000
	2 \$398,201
3.:	3.1% 33,000 \$411,292 

PAGE 7

The following table shows equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates for the quarters ended March 31, 2002 and 2001:

	Ownership	Quarter Ended	March 31,
	Percentage	2002	2001
Fractionation:			
BRF	32.25%	\$549	\$18
BRPC	30%	249	152
Promix	33.33%	1,043	393
La Porte	50%	(92)	
OTC	50%	(110)	
Pipelines:			
EPIK	50%	1,683	(922)
Wilprise	37.35%	147	(222)
Tri-States	33.33%	469	(35)
Belle Rose	41.67%	74	(89)
Dixie	19.88%	717	891
Starfish	50%	812	951
Ocean Breeze	25.67%		2
Neptune	25.67%	778	694
Nemo	33.92%	(22)	9
Evangeline	49.5%	(76)	
Octane Enhancement:			
BEF	33.33%	3,006	169

# \$9,227 \$2,011

The initial investment we made in certain equity method unconsolidated affiliates exceeded our share of the historical cost of underlying net assets of such entities. Under this scenario, "excess cost" is recorded for the excess of the purchase price (or cost) of the investment over our share of the underlying net assets of the investee. We have excess cost associated with our investments in Promix, La Porte, Dixie, Neptune and Nemo. The excess cost of these investments is reflected in our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates for these entities. Since each of these excess cost amounts relates to the plant and pipeline assets of each entity, the excess cost of each is amortized to equity earnings from these entities in a manner similar to depreciation. The following table summarizes our excess cost information:

	Initial	Unamortized	balance at	Amortization Charged to Equity Earnings	
	Excess Cost	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001	during 2002	Amortization Period
Fractionation segment: Promix	\$ 7,955	\$ 6,894	\$ 7,083	\$ 9'	9 20 years
La Porte Pipelines segment:	873	866	n/a		7 35 years
Dixie	37,694	35,445	35,714	26	9 35 years
Neptune	12,768	12,312	12,404	9	
Nemo	727	713	718	!	5 35 years

PAGE 8

The following table presents summarized income statement information for our unconsolidated investments accounted for under the equity method (for the periods indicated on a 100% basis).

		Summarized Income Statement Data for the Quarter Ended					
		March 31, 2002			March 31, 2001		
	Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income	Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income	
Fractionation:							
BRF	\$ 4,606	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,702	\$ 4,023	\$ 35	\$ 56	
BRPC	2,951	819	829	3,433	439	505	
Promix	9,864	3,410	3,428	9,002	1,440	1,477	
La Porte		(234)	(235)				
OTC	619	(308)	(352)				
Pipelines:							
EPIK	8,305	3,388	3,400	691	(1,891)	(1,862)	
Wilprise	772	393	394	398	(602)	(594)	
Tri-States	3,099	1,401	1,406	1,632	(126)	(105)	
Belle Rose	507	176	177	147	(219)	(213)	
Dixie	15,128	7,402	4,520	19,327	9,649	5,834	
Starfish	6,429	1,936	1,626	6,616	2,098	1,902	
Ocean Breeze				20	12	12	
Neptune	7,703	3,516	3,307	7,409	3,148	3,369	
Nemo	395	(74)	(70)		(16)	28	
Evangeline	25,509	850	(179)				
Octane Enhancement:							
BEF	47,929	8,978	9,019	37,864	413	507	
Total	\$133,816	\$33,318	\$28,972	\$90,562	\$14,380	\$10,916	

#### 5. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2001, the FASB issued two new pronouncements: SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interest method for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and also applies to all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after June 30, 2001. There are also transition provisions that apply to business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that were accounted for by the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 was effective for our fiscal year that began January 1, 2002 for all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in our consolidated balance sheet at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. We adopted SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002.

At December 31, 2001, our intangible assets were comprised of the values associated with the Shell natural gas processing agreement and the goodwill related to the 1999 MBA acquisition. In accordance with the new standard, we reclassified the goodwill to a separate line item on our consolidated balance sheet apart from the Shell contract. Based upon our initial interpretation of the standard, the Shell natural gas processing agreement will continue to be amortized over its 20-year contract term; however, amortization of the MBA acquisition goodwill will cease due to its indefinite life. Our goodwill will be subject to periodic impairment testing in accordance with SFAS No. 142. For additional information regarding our intangible assets and goodwill including additions to both classes of assets as a result of the Diamond-Koch acquisitions, see Note 6.

Within six months of our adoption of SFAS No. 142 (by June 30, 2002), we will have completed a transitional impairment review to identify if there is an impairment to the December 31, 2001 recorded goodwill or intangible assets of indefinite life using a fair value methodology. Professionals in the business valuation industry will be consulted to validate the assumptions used in such methodologies. Any impairment loss resulting from the transitional impairment test will be recorded as a cumulative effect of a

PAGE 9

change in accounting principle for the quarter ended June 30, 2002. Subsequent impairment losses will be reflected in operating income in the Statements of Consolidated Operations. We are continuing to evaluate the complex provisions of SFAS No. 142 and will fully adopt the standard during 2002 within the prescribed time periods.

In addition to SFAS No. 141 and No. 142, the FASB also issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", in June 2001. This statement establishes accounting standards for the recognition and measurement of a liability for an asset retirement obligation and the associated asset retirement cost. This statement is effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2003. We are continuing to evaluate the provisions of this statement. In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and/or disposal of long-lived assets. We adopted this statement effective January 1, 2002 and determined that it did not have any significant impact on our financial statements as of that date.

#### 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

#### Intangible assets

Our recorded intangible assets primarily include the estimated value assigned to certain contract-based assets representing the rights we own arising from contractual agreements. According to SFAS No. 141, a contract-based intangible with a finite useful life is amortized over its estimated useful life, which is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the entity. It is based on an analysis of all pertinent factors including (a) the expected use of the asset by the entity, (b) the expected useful life or related assets (i.e., fractionation facility, storage well, etc.), (c) any legal, regulatory or contractual provisions, including renewal or extension periods that would not cause substantial costs or modifications to existing agreements, (d) the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors and (e) the level of maintenance required to obtain the expected future cash flows.

At March 31, 2002, our intangible assets primarily consisted of the Shell natural gas processing agreement that we acquired as a result of the TNGL acquisition in August 1999 and certain propylene fractionation and storage contracts we acquired in connection with our Diamond-Koch acquisitions in January and February 2002. The value of the Shell natural gas processing agreement is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its 20-year contract term (currently \$11.1 million annually from 2002 through 2019). If the economic life of this contract were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes in Shell's natural gas exploration and production activities in the Gulf of Mexico, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of this asset to less than the contractually-stated 20-year life of the agreement. Such a change would increase the annual amortization charge at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of the Shell contract was \$191.6 million.

The value of the propylene fractionation and storage contracts acquired from Diamond-Koch is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the economic life of the assets to which they relate, which is currently estimated at 35 years. Although the majority of these contracts have terms of one to two years, we have assumed that our relationship with these customers will extend beyond the contractually-stated term primarily based on historical low customer contract turnover rates within these operations. If the economic life of the assets were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes within the industry or otherwise, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of these contract-based assets to less than 35 years. Such a change would increase amortization expense at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of these contracts was \$60.5 million.

The specific, identifiable intangible assets of a business enterprise depend largely upon the nature of its operations. Potential intangible assets include intellectual property such as technology, patents, trademarks and trade names, customer contracts and relationships, and non-compete agreements, as well as other intangible assets. The approach to the valuation of each intangible asset will vary depending upon the nature of the asset, the business in which it is utilized, and the economic returns it is generating or is expected to generate.

## PAGE 10

#### Goodwill

At March 31, 2002, the value of recorded goodwill was \$81.1 million. Our goodwill is attributable to the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired from Diamond-Koch in early 2002 and from Kinder Morgan and EPCO in July 1999. Since our adoption of SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, our goodwill amounts are no longer amortized. Instead, we will periodically review the reporting units to which the goodwill amounts relate for indications of possible impairment. If such indicators are present (i.e., loss of a significant customer, economic obsolescence of plant assets, etc.), the fair value of the reporting unit, including its related goodwill, will be calculated and compared to its combined book value. Our goodwill is recorded as part of the Fractionation operating segment since it is wholly attributed to acquired assets included in this operating segment.

The fair value of a reporting unit refers to the amount at which it could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and are used to the extent they are available. If quoted market prices are not available, an estimate of fair value is determined based on the best information available to us, including prices of similar assets and the results of using other valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis and multiples of earnings approaches. The underlying assumptions in such models rely on information available to us at a given point in time and are viewed as reasonable and supportable considering available evidence.

If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its book value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no adjustment to earnings would be required. Should the fair value of the reporting unit (including its goodwill) be less than its book value, a charge to earnings would be recorded to adjust goodwill to its implied fair value.

# Pro Forma impact of discontinuation of amortization of goodwill

The following table discloses the pro forma impact on earnings of our discontinuation of the amortization of goodwill related to the MBA acquisition (for the first quarter of 2001).

Reported net income	\$52,270
Discontinue goodwill amortization	111
Adjust minority interest expense	(1)
Adjusted net income	\$52,380

On a pro forma basis, earnings per Unit (both basic and diluted) were not affected by the discontinuation of goodwill amortization due to the immaterial nature of the pro forma adjustment.

PAGE 11

# 7. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Our long-term debt consisted of the following at:

March 31,	December 31,
2002	2001

Borrowings under:		
Senior Notes A, 8.25% fixed rate, due March 2005	\$ 350,000	\$350,000
MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed rate, due March 2010	54,000	54,000
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed rate, due February 2011	450,000	450,000
Multi-Year Credit Facility, due November 2005	230,000	
364-Day Credit Facility, due November 2002 (a)	83,000	
First Union Facility, due April 2002	50,000	
Total principal amount	1,217,000	854,000
Unamortized balance of increase in fair value related to		
hedging a portion of fixed-rate debt	1,955	1,653
Less unamortized discount on:		
\$350 Million Senior Notes	(108)	(117)
\$450 Million Senior Notes	(251)	(258)
Less current maturities of debt	(50,000)	-
Long-term debt	\$1,168,596	\$855,278

(a) Under the terms of this facility, the Operating Partnership has the option to convert this facility into a term loan due November 15, 2003. Management intends to refinance this obligation with a similar obligation at maturity.

At March 31, 2002, we had a total of \$75 million of standby letters of credit capacity under our Multi-Year Credit Facility of which \$18.6 million was outstanding.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. acts as guarantor of certain debt obligations of the Operating Partnership. This parent-subsidiary guaranty provision exists under our Senior Notes, MBFC Loan, Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

In April 2002, we increased the amount that we can borrow under the Multi-Year Credit Facility by \$20 million and the 364-Day Credit Facility by \$80 million, up to an amount not exceeding \$500 million in the aggregate for both facilities. At March 31, 2002, we had borrowed \$313 million under these two facilities; the majority of which was related to the acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation business in February 2002 (see Note 2). In anticipation of the increased borrowing limits under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities, we borrowed \$50 million under a short-term supplemental credit facility that was repaid in late April 2002 with proceeds from the increased availability under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

The indentures under which the Senior Notes and the MBFC Loan were issued contain various restrictive covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2001.

In April 2002, certain covenants of our Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities were amended to allow for increased financial flexibility. The significant changes are as follows (capitalized terms used herein are defined within the credit agreements):

- o We were granted increased flexibility under our Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA ratio for the rolling four quarter period which ends on September 30, 2002. The maximum ratio allowed by our lenders was temporarily raised to 4.5 to 1.0 from 4.0 to 1.0 This modification was required as a result of the hedging losses we incurred during the first quarter of 2002.
- o In addition, we are allowed to exclude from the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA up to \$50 million in losses resulting from hedging NGLs that utilized natural gas-based financial instruments entered into on or prior to April 24, 2002. This exclusion applies to our quarterly Consolidated EBITDA calculations in which the financial impact of such specific

# PAGE 12

instruments were recorded (ending with the calculation for the third quarter of 2003 due to the rolling-four quarter nature of the calculation).

We were in compliance with the covenants of our revolving credit agreements at March 31, 2002.

#### 8. TREASURY UNITS

During the first quarter of 1999, the Operating Partnership established the EPOLP 1999 Grantor Trust (the "Trust") to fund potential future obligations under EPCO's long-term incentive plan (through the exercise of Common Unit options granted to directors of the General Partner and EPCO employees who participate in the business of the Operating Partnership). The Common Units purchased by the Trust are accounted for in a manner similar to treasury stock under the cost method of accounting. At March 31, 2002, the Trust held 204,600 Common Units that are classified as Treasury Units. The Trust purchased 41,000 Common Units during the first quarter of 2002 at a cost of \$2.0 million.

Beginning in July 2000 and later modified in September 2001, the General Partner authorized the Company (specifically, Enterprise Products Partners L.P.) and the Trust to repurchase up to 1.0 million of our publicly-held Common Units through July 2002 (the "Buy-Back Program"). The repurchases will be made during periods of temporary market weakness at price levels that would be accretive to our remaining Unitholders. Under the terms of the Buy-Back Program, Common Units repurchased by the Company were to be retired and Common Units repurchased by the Trust were to remain outstanding and be accounted for as Treasury Stock. In April 2002, the General Partner modified the program to allow for Common Units repurchased by the Company to remain outstanding as Treasury Stock rather than being retired. At March 31, 2002, 534,200 Common Units could be repurchased under the Buy-Back Program.

# 9. EARNINGS PER UNIT

Basic earnings per Unit is computed by dividing net income available to limited partner interests by the weighted-average number of Common and Subordinated Units outstanding during the period. In general, diluted earnings per Unit is computed by dividing net income available to limited partner interests by the weighted-average number of Common, Subordinated and Special Units outstanding during the period. In a period of operating losses, the Special Units are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per Unit due to their antidilutive effect. The following table reconciles the number of Units used in the calculation of basic earnings per Unit and diluted earnings per Unit for each of the quarters ended March 31, 2002 and 2001.

Income (loss) before minority interest General partner interest	\$(17,376) (1,246)	\$52,804 (982)
Income (loss) before minority interest	(18,622)	
available to Limited Partners Minority interest	173	(534)
Net income (loss) available to Limited Partners	\$(18,449)	\$51,288
BASIC EARNINGS PER UNIT Numerator Income (loss) before minority interest available to Limited Partners	\$(18,622)	
Net income (loss) available to Limited Partners	\$(18,449)	
Denominator		
Common Units outstanding Subordinated Units outstanding	51,353 21,410	46,257 21,410
Total	72,763	67,667
Basic Earnings per Unit Income (loss) before minority interest available to Limited Partners	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.77
Net income (loss) available to Limited Partners	\$ (0.25)	\$ 0.76
DILUTED EARNINGS PER UNIT Numerator		
Income (loss) before minority interest available to Limited Partners	\$(18,622)	\$51,822
Net income (loss) available to Limited Partners	\$(18,449)	
Denominator		
Common Units outstanding Subordinated Units outstanding Special Units outstanding	51,353 21,410	46,257 21,410 16,500
Total	72,763	84,167
Diluted Earnings per Unit Income (loss) before minority interest available to Limited Partners	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.62
Net income (loss) available to Limited Partners	\$ (0.25)	

The Special Units are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per Unit for the first quarter of 2002 due to their antidilutive effect. If the Special Units were to be considered in the calculation, the dilutive earnings per Unit would have been a loss of 21 cents. The Special Units will convert into Common Units over the next two years: 9.5 million will convert in August 2002 and the remaining 5.0 million in August 2003. Due to the short-term conversion schedule for this class of equity, management views the Special Units as equivalent to Common Units when internally evaluating diluted earnings per Unit.

# PAGE 14

#### 10. DISTRIBUTIONS

We intend, to the extent there is sufficient available cash from Operating Surplus, as defined by the Partnership Agreement, to distribute to each holder of Common Units at least a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45 per Common Unit. The minimum quarterly distribution is not guaranteed and is subject to adjustment as set forth in the Partnership Agreement. Apart from its pro rata share of the quarterly distributions, the General Partner's interest in quarterly distributions is increased after certain specified target levels are met (the "incentive distributions").

The distribution paid on February 11, 2002 (based on fourth quarter 2001 results) was \$0.625 per Common and Subordinated Unit. As a result of this distribution rate, the General Partner received \$1.4 million in incentive distributions.

The distribution rate declared by the General Partner for the first quarter of 2002 was \$0.67 per Common Unit to Unitholders of record on April 30, 2002. The distribution will be paid on May 10, 2002.

## 11. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS DISCLOSURE

The net effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities is as follows:

	Quarter Ended M	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2002	2001	
(Increase) decrease in:			
Accounts and notes receivable	\$1,623	\$89,620	
Inventories	(25,892)	68,452	
Prepaid and other current assets	(2,494)	(1,824)	
Other assets	(3,186)	(1,128)	
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable	(9,559)	(31,808)	
Accrued gas payable	25,014	(100,315)	
Accrued expenses	(6,588)	(11,391)	
Accrued interest	(16,137)	(2,521)	

Other current liabilities	(10,892)	(16,661)
Other liabilities	(80)	(58)
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	\$(48,191)	\$(7,634)

In January and February of 2002, we paid Diamond-Koch \$368.6 million for its propylene fractionation and NGL and petrochemical storage businesses located in Mont Belvieu, Texas. The allocation of the purchase price affected various balance sheet accounts. See Note 2 for information regarding the allocation of the purchase price for these acquisitions.

We record various financial instruments relating to commodity positions and interest rate swaps at their respective fair values using mark-to-market accounting. For the quarter ended March 31, 2002, we recognized a net \$30.1 million in non-cash mark-to-market losses related to decreases in the fair value of these financial instruments, primarily in our commodity financial instruments portfolio. For the quarter ended March 31, 2001, we recognized a net \$16.4 million in non-cash mark-to-market income from our financial instruments portfolio.

Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2002 per the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows excludes \$14.5 million of restricted cash representing amounts held by a brokerage firm as margin deposits associated with our financial instruments portfolio and for physical purchase transactions made on the NYMEX exchange.

PAGE 15

#### 12. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in commodity prices in our natural gas and NGL businesses and in interest rates with respect to a portion of our debt obligations. We may use financial instruments (i.e., futures, forwards, swaps, options, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics) to mitigate the risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions, primarily in our Processing segment. As a matter of policy, we do not use financial instruments for speculative (or trading) purposes.

## Commodity financial instruments

Our Processing and Octane Enhancement segments are directly exposed to commodity price risk through their respective business operations. The prices of natural gas, NGLs and MTBE are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the risks associated with our Processing segment, we may enter into swaps, forwards, commodity futures, options and other commodity financial instruments with similar characteristics that are permitted by contract or business custom to be settled in cash or with another financial instruments with similar characteristics that are permitted by contract or business custom to be settled in cash or with another financial instrument. The primary purpose of these risk management activities (or hedging strategies) is to hedge exposure to price risks associated with natural gas, NGL inventories, firm commitments and certain anticipated transactions. We do not hedge our exposure to the MTBE markets. Also, in our Pipelines segment, we may utilize a limited number of commodity financial instruments to manage the price Acadian Gas charges certain of its customers for natural gas.

We have adopted a commercial policy to manage our exposure to the risks of its natural gas and NGL businesses. The objective of this policy is to assist us in achieving our profitability goals while maintaining a portfolio with an acceptable level of risk, defined as remaining within the position limits established by the General Partner. Under this policy, we enter into risk management transactions to manage price risk, basis risk, physical risk or other risks related to our commodity positions on both a short-term (less than one month) and long-term basis, generally not to exceed 24 months. The General Partner oversees our hedging strategies associated with physical and financial risks (such as those mentioned previously), approves specific activities subject to the policy (including authorized products, instruments and markets) and establishes specific guidelines and procedures for implementing and ensuring compliance with the policy.

We routinely review our outstanding financial instruments in light of current market conditions. If market conditions warrant, some financial instruments may be closed out in advance of their contractual settlement dates thus realizing income or loss depending on the specific exposure. When this occurs, we may enter into a new commodity financial instrument to reestablish the economic hedge to which the closed instrument relates.

Our commodity financial instruments may not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under the specific guidelines of SFAS No. 133 because of ineffectiveness. A hedge is normally regarded as effective if, among other things, at inception and throughout the term of the financial instrument, we could expect changes in the fair value of the hedged item to be almost fully offset by the changes in the fair value of the financial instrument. When financial instruments do not qualify as effective hedges under the guidelines of SFAS No. 133, changes in the fair value of these positions are recorded on the balance sheet and in earnings through mark-to-market accounting. The use of mark-to-market accounting for these ineffective instruments results in a degree of non-cash earnings volatility that is dependent upon changes in the underlying commodity prices. Although our financial instruments may from time to time be regarded as ineffective hedges under SFAS No. 133, we continue to view these instruments as hedges (i.e., "economic hedges") inasmuch as this was the intent when such contracts were executed. This characterization is consistent with the actual economic performance of these contracts to date and we expect our economic hedges to continue to mitigate (or offset) commodity price risk in the future. The specific accounting for these contracts, however, is consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 133.

We recognized a loss of \$45.1 million in the first quarter of 2002 from our commodity hedging activities that is treated as an increase in operating costs and expenses in our Statements of Consolidated Operations. Of this amount, \$16.4 million has been realized (e.g., paid out to counterparties). The remaining \$28.7 million represents the negative change in value of the open positions between December 31, 2001 and March 31, 2002 (based on market prices at those dates). The market value of our open positions at March 31, 2002 was \$20.8 million payable (a loss). For the first quarter of 2001, we recognized income of \$5.6 million

#### PAGE 16

from these activities, which included the positive impact of the portfolio's March 31, 2001 value of \$13.5 million receivable (recorded as income).

## Interest rate swaps

Our interest rate exposure results from variable-rate borrowings from commercial banks and fixed-rate borrowings pursuant to the Company's Senior Notes and MBFC Loan. We manage a portion of our exposure to changes in interest rates by utilizing interest rate swaps. The objective of holding interest rate swaps is to manage debt service costs by converting a portion of fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt or a portion of variable-rate debt into fixed-rate debt. An interest rate swap, in general, requires one party to pay a fixed-rate on the notional amount while the other party pays a floating-rate based on the notional amount.

The General Partner oversees the strategies associated with financial risks and approves instruments that are appropriate for our requirements. At March 31, 2002, we had one interest rate swap outstanding having a notional amount of \$54 million extending through March 2010. Under this agreement, we exchanged a fixed-rate of 8.70% for a market-based variable-rate. If it elects to do so, the counterparty may terminate this swap in March 2003.

We recognized income of \$0.1 million during the first quarter of 2002 from our interest rate swaps that is treated as a reduction of

interest expense. The fair value of the interest rate swap at March 31, 2002 was a receivable of \$2.4 million. We recognized income of \$5.2 million during the first quarter of 2001 from interest rate swaps. The benefit recorded in 2001 was primarily due to the election of a counterparty to not terminate its interest rate swap early.

#### 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are components of a business about which separate financial information is available and that are regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Generally, financial information is required to be reported on the basis that it is used internally for evaluating segment performance and deciding how to allocate resources to segments.

We have five reportable operating segments: Fractionation, Pipelines, Processing, Octane Enhancement and Other. The reportable segments are generally organized according to the type of services rendered (or process employed) and products produced and/or sold, as applicable. The segments are regularly evaluated by the Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner. Fractionation primarily includes NGL fractionation, isomerization, and polymer grade propylene fractionation services. Pipelines consists of both liquids and natural gas pipeline systems, storage and import/export terminal services. Processing includes the natural gas processing business and its related merchant activities. Octane Enhancement represents our equity interest in BEF, a facility that produces motor gasoline additives to enhance octane (currently producing MTBE). The Other operating segment consists of fee-based marketing services and other plant support functions.

We evaluate segment performance based on gross operating margin. Gross operating margin reported for each segment represents operating income before depreciation and amortization, lease expense obligations retained by EPCO, gains and losses on the sale of assets and general and administrative expenses. In addition, segment gross operating margin is exclusive of interest expense, interest income (from unconsolidated affiliates or others), dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates, minority interest, extraordinary charges and other income and expense transactions.

We include equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates in segment gross operating margin and as a component of revenues. Our equity investments with industry partners are a vital component of our business strategy and a means by which we conduct our operations to align our interests with a supplier of raw materials to a facility or a consumer of finished products from a facility. This method of operation also enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business risk assumed versus what we could accomplish on a stand alone basis. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations. For example, we use the Promix NGL fractionator to process NGLs extracted by our gas plants. The NGLs received from Promix then can be sold by our merchant businesses. Another example would be our relationship with

# PAGE 17

the BEF MTBE facility. Our isomerization facilities process normal butane for this plant and our HSC pipeline transports MTBE for delivery to BEF's storage facility on the Houston Ship Channel.

Consolidated property, plant and equipment and investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates are allocated to each segment on the basis of each asset's or investment's principal operations. The principal reconciling item between consolidated property, plant and equipment and segment property is construction-in-progress. Segment property represents those facilities and projects that contribute to gross operating margin and is net of accumulated depreciation on these assets. Since assets under construction do not generally contribute to segment gross operating margin, these assets are not included in the operating segment totals until they are deemed operational.

Consolidated intangible assets and goodwill are allocated to the segments based on the classification of the assets to which they relate. The increase in intangible assets and goodwill during the first quarter of 2002 is attributable to the Diamond-Koch acquisitions (see Note 2).

Segment gross operating margin is inclusive of intersegment revenues, which are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates. These revenues have been eliminated from the consolidated totals.

# PAGE 18

Information by operating segment, together with reconciliations to the consolidated totals, is presented in the following table:

, , , , , ,	, ,				<i>,</i> .		
		Ope	erating Segmen	nts		Adjs.	
	Fractionation	Pipelines	Processing	Octane Enhancement	Other	and Elims.	Consol. Totals
-							
Revenues from							
external customers:	<b>\$100 100</b>	<b>*</b> ***	<b>*</b> 450 004		<b>AF 4 7</b>		<b>*</b> ***
First Quarter 2002	\$109,422	\$99,081	\$453,034		\$517		\$662,054
First Quarter 2001	89,679	7,187	738,769		680		836,315
Intersegment revenues:							
First Quarter 2002	33,397	24,510	126,260		100	\$(184,267)	
First Quarter 2001	41,652	20,779	110,309		95	(172,835)	
Equity income in							
unconsolidated affiliates	:						
First Quarter 2002	1,639	4,582		\$3,006			9,227
First Quarter 2001	562	1,280		169			2,011
Total revenues:							
First Quarter 2002	144,458	128,173	579,294	3,006	617	(184,267)	671,281
First Quarter 2001	131,893	29,246	849,078	169	775	(172,835)	838, 326
Gross operating margin							
by segment:							
First Quarter 2002	24,377	32,668	(33,376)	3,006	(262)		26,413
First Quarter 2001	25,668	18,123	28, 398	169	535		72,893
Segment assets:							
At March 31, 2002	444,793	897,139	127,842		8,269	57,153	1,535,196
At December 31, 2001	357,122	717,348	124,555		8,921	98,844	1,306,790

Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates:					
At March 31, 2002	99,285	217,726	33,000	61,281	411,292
At December 31, 2001	93, 329	216,029	33,000	55,843	398,201
Intangible Assets:					
Át March 31, 2002	52,748	7,788	191,606		252,142
At December 31, 2001	7,857		194,369		202, 226
Goodwill:					
At March 31, 2002	81,135				81,135

Our revenues are derived from a wide customer base. All consolidated revenues were earned in the United States. Our operations are centered along the Texas, Louisiana and Mississippi Gulf Coast areas.

PAGE 19

A reconciliation of segment gross operating margin to consolidated income before minority interest follows:

	Quarter Ended	March 31,
	2002	2001
Total segment gross operating margin Depreciation and amortization Retained lease expense, net (Gain) loss on sale of assets Selling, general and administrative	\$26,413 (17,237) (2,305) (13) (7,962)	(10,029)
Consolidated operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income from unconsolidated affiliates Dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates Interest income - other Other, net	(1,104) (18,513) 30 954 1,334 (77)	54,417 (6,987) 24 1,632 3,998 (280)
Consolidated income (loss) before minority interest	\$(17,376)	\$52,804

PAGE 20

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION. Item 1B. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. Enterprise Products Operating L.P. Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in thousands)

ASSETS	March 31, 2002 (unaudited)	December 31, 2001
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (includes restricted cash of \$14,521 at March 31, 2002 and \$5,752 at December 31, 2001) Accounts and notes receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful	\$ 44,553	\$ 137,823
accounts of \$20,615 in 2002 and \$20,642 in 2001	257,191	256,927
Accounts receivable - affiliates	2,704	
Inventories	100,329	,
Prepaid and other current assets	44,943	50,207
Total current assets	449,720	518,805
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	1,535,196	
Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Affiliates	411,292	398,201
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,156 in		
2002 and \$13,084 in 2001	252,142	202,226
Goodwill	81,135	
Other Assets	6,991	5,201
Total	\$2,736,476	
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Current maturities of debt	\$50,000	<b>*-1-0-</b>
Accounts payable - trade	59,291	\$54,269
Accounts payable - affiliate Accrued gas payables	15,300 258,550	33,691 233,536
Accrued expenses	15,752	233, 530
Accrued interest	8,165	24,302
Other current liabilities	58,669	44,767
Total current liabilities	465,727	,
Long-Term Debt	1,168,596	
Other Long-Term Liabilities	7,980	8,061
Minority Interest	1,531	1,468
Commitments and Contingencies		
Partners' Equity Limited Partner	1,089,759	1 140 104
General Partner	1,089,759	1,148,124 11,716
	11,120	11,710

Parent's Units acquired by Trust	(8,237)	(6,222)
Total Partners' Equity	1,092,642	1,153,618
Total	\$2,736,476	\$2,431,223

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 21

# Enterprise Products Operating L.P. Statements of Consolidated Operations (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2002	2001
REVENUES Revenues from consolidated operations Equity income in unconsolidated affiliates		\$836,315 2,011
Total COST AND EXPENSES		838,326
Operating costs and expenses Selling, general and administrative	664,423 7,786	777,741 6,168
Total	672,209	783,909
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(928)	54,417
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) Interest expense Interest income from unconsolidated affiliates Dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates Interest income - other Other, net	30 954 1,436	(6,987) 12 1,632 4,145 (280)
Other income (expense)	(16,170)	(1,478)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST MINORITY INTEREST	(17,098) (53)	52,939 (23)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(17,151)	\$52,916

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 22

# Enterprise Products Operating L.P. Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:	\$(17,151)	\$52,916	
Depreciation and amortization Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(9,227)	10,781 (2,011)	
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates Leases paid by EPCO Minority interest		8,866 2,660 23	
Loss (gain) on sale of assets Changes in fair market value of financial instruments (see Note 10) Net effect of changes in operating accounts	14 30,141	(381)	
Operating activities cash flows		48,544	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Capital expenditures Proceeds from sale of assets	(17,112) 10	(25,338) 557	
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	(368,631) (10,752)	(113,083)	
Investing activities cash flows	(396,485)	(137,864)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Long-term debt borrowings Long-term debt repayments	383,000 (20,000)	449,716	

Debt issuance costs Cash distributions to partners Cash contribution from General Partner	(44,154) 39	(3,125) (38,901)
Cash contributions from minority interest Parent's Units acquired by consolidated Trust Increase in restricted cash	10 (2,015) (8,769)	17
Financing activities cash flows	308,111	407,707
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, JANUARY 1	(102,039) 132,071	318,387 58,446
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, MARCH 31	\$30,032	\$376,833

See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

PAGE 23

#### Enterprise Products Operating L.P. Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

#### 1. GENERAL

In the opinion of Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals necessary for a fair presentation of its consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2002 and consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 and 2001. Within these footnote disclosures of Enterprise Products Operating L.P., references to "we", "us", "our" or "the Company" shall mean the consolidated financial statements of Enterprise Products Operating L.P.

Although we believe the disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual report on Form 10-K (File No. 333-93239-01) for the year ended December 31, 2001.

The results of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Dollar amounts presented within these footnote disclosures are stated in thousands of dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

Certain abbreviated entity names and other capitalized terms are described within the glossary of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## 2. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

#### Acquisition of Diamond-Koch propylene fractionation business in February 2002

In February 2002, we completed the purchase of various propylene fractionation assets and certain inventories of refinery grade propylene, propane, and polymer grade propylene from Diamond-Koch. These include a 66.7% interest in a polymer grade propylene fractionation facility located in Mont Belvieu, Texas (the "Mont Belvieu III" facility), a 50% interest in an entity which owns a polymer grade propylene export terminal located on the Houston Ship Channel in La Porte, Texas, and varying interests in several supporting distribution pipelines and related equipment. Mont Belvieu III has the capacity to produce approximately 41 MBPD of polymer grade propylene. We will integrate these assets into our Mont Belvieu operations. The purchase price of \$239.0 million was funded by a drawdown on our Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities (see Note 7).

#### Acquisition of Diamond-Koch storage business in January 2002

In January 2002, we completed the purchase of various hydrocarbon storage assets from Diamond-Koch. The storage facilities consist of 30 salt dome storage caverns with a useable capacity of 68 million barrels, local distribution pipelines and related equipment. The facilities provide storage services for mixed natural gas liquids, ethane, propane, butanes, natural gasoline and olefins (such as ethylene), polymer grade propylene, chemical grade propylene and refinery grade propylene.

The facilities are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas and serve the largest petrochemical and refinery complex in the United States. Collectively, they represent the largest underground storage operation of its kind in the world, containing 14% of the world's underground storage capacity. The size and location of the business provide it with a competitive position to increase its services to expanding Gulf Coast petrochemical complexes. We will integrate these assets into our existing storage operations located in Mont Belvieu. The purchase price of \$129.6 million was funded by utilizing cash on hand.

PAGE 24

# Allocation of purchase price of Diamond-Koch acquisitions

The Diamond-Koch acquisitions will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the initial purchase price of each has been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as follows:

	Estimated Fai		
	Feb. 1, 2002	Jan. 1, 2002	
	Propylene Fractionation	Storage	Total
Inventories Prepaid and other current assets Property, plant and equipment Investments in unconsolidated affiliates Intangible assets (see Note 6) Goodwill Current liabilities	\$ 4,994 2,701 97,626 7,550 53,000 73,279 (107)	\$ 890 120,854 7,844	\$ 4,994 3,591 218,480 7,550 60,844 73,279 (107)

Total purchase price	\$239,043	\$129,588	\$368,631

The balances related to the Diamond-Koch acquisitions included in the consolidated balance sheet dated March 31, 2002 are based upon preliminary information and are subject to change as additional information is obtained. The fair value estimates were developed by independent appraisers using recognized business valuation techniques. The initial purchase price is subject to certain post-closing adjustments that are expected to be finalized during the second quarter of 2002.

The purchase price paid for the propylene fractionation business resulted in \$73.3 million in goodwill. The goodwill represents the value management has attached to future earnings improvements, the strategic location of the assets and their connections and cost advantaged assets. Earnings from the propylene business are expected to improve substantially from the last few years with the years 2003 and 2004 projected to be peak years in the petrochemical business cycle. Additionally, the demand for chemical grade and polymer grade propylene is forecast to grow at an average of 4.4% per year from 2002 to 2006.

The propylene fractionation assets are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas on the Gulf Coast, the largest natural gas liquids and petrochemical marketplace in the U.S. The assets have access to substantial supply from major Gulf Coast and central U.S. producers of refinery grade propylene. The polymer grade products produced at the facility have competitive advantages because of distribution direct to customers via affiliated pipelines and through an affiliated export facility. In addition, we believe this facility has achieved operating cost efficiencies that are much lower than historical levels and are among the lowest in the industry.

PAGE 25

# Pro forma effect of business acquisitions

Our results of operations for the first quarter of 2002 includes two full months (February and March) of the propylene fractionation business and three full months of the storage business. Our 2001 results of operations do not include any impact from these acquisitions. The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma information for the quarters ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 based on historical financial information of the Diamond-Koch propylene fractionation and storage businesses as if both acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented.

The pro forma information is based upon data currently available to and certain estimates and assumptions by management and, as a result, are not necessarily indicative of our financial results had the transactions actually occurred on these dates. Likewise, the unaudited pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of our future financial results.

	Quarter Ended Ma	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002	2001		
Revenues	\$677,807	\$939,874		
Income (loss) before extraordinary item and minority interest	\$(15,736)	\$52,703		
Net income (loss)	\$(15,803)	\$52,682		

# 3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Our property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation are as follows:

	Estimated Useful Life in Years	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Plants and pipelines	5-35	\$1,562,015	\$1,398,843
Underground and other storage facilities	5-35	249,108	127,900
Transportation equipment	3-35	3,682	3,736
Land		15,437	15,517
Construction in progress		57,153	98,844
Total	-	1,887,395	1,644,840
Less accumulated depreciation		352,199	338,050
Property, plant and equipment, net	=	\$1,535,196	\$1,306,790

# 4. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

We own interests in a number of related businesses that are accounted for under the equity or cost method. The investments in and advances to these unconsolidated affiliates are grouped according the operating segment to which they relate. For a general discussion of our operating segments, see Note 11.

We acquired three equity method unconsolidated affiliates as part of our acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation business (see Note 2). We purchased an aggregate 50% interest in La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P. and La Porte Pipeline GP, L.L.C. (collectively, "La Porte") which together own a private polymer grade propylene pipeline extending from Mont Belvieu to La Porte, Texas. In addition, we acquired 50% of the outstanding capital stock of Olefins Terminal Corporation ("OTC") which owns a polymer grade propylene storage facility and related dock infrastructure (located on the Houston Ship Channel) for loading waterborne propylene vessels. Both the La Porte and OTC investments are an integral part of our Mont Belvieu III propylene fractionation

March 31.

December 31.

PAGE 26

operations. These investments are classified as part of our Fractionation operating segment.

The following table shows investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates at:

	Percentage	2002	2001	
Accounted for on equity basis: Fractionation: BRF	32.25%	\$ 29,309	\$ 29,417	

**Ownershin** 

Total	=====	\$411,292 ================	\$398,201 =======
	13.1%	33,000	33,000
Processing: VESCO	13.1%	22 000	22,000
Accounted for on cost basis:			
BEF	33.33%	61,281	55,843
Octane Enhancement:			
Evangeline	49.5%	2,546	2,578
Nemo	33.92%	12,167	12,189
Neptune	25.67%	76,857	76,880
Starfish	50%	25,968	25,352
Dixie	19.88%	38,276	37, 558
Belle Rose	41.67%	11,559	11,624
Tri-States	33.33%	26,812	26,734
Wilprise	37.35%	8,671	8,834
EPIK	50%	14,870	14,280
Pipeline:		,	
OTC	50%	1,690	
La Porte	50%	5,740	,
Promix	33.33%	44,186	45,071
BRPC	30%	18,360	18,841

# PAGE 27

The following table shows equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates for the quarters ended March 31, 2002 and 2001:

	Ownership	Quarter Ended M	arch 31,
	Percentage	2002	2001
Fractionation:			
BRF	32.25%	\$ 549	\$ 18
BRPC	30%	249	152
Promix	33.33%	1,043	393
La Porte	50%	(92)	
OTC	50%	(110)	
Pipelines:			
EPIK	50%	1,683	(922)
Wilprise	37.35%	147	(222)
Tri-States	33.33%	469	(35)
Belle Rose	41.67%	74	(89)
Dixie	19.88%	717	891
Starfish	50%	812	951
Ocean Breeze	25.67%		2
Neptune	25.67%	778	694
Nemo	33.92%	(22)	9
Evangeline	49.5%	(76)	-
Octane Enhancement:			
BEF	33.33%	3,006	169
Total	==	\$9,227	\$2,011

The initial investment we made in certain equity method unconsolidated affiliates exceeded our share of the historical cost of underlying net assets of such entities. Under this scenario, "excess cost" is recorded for the excess of the purchase price (or cost) of the investment over our underlying net assets of the investee. We have excess cost associated with our investments in Promix, La Porte, Dixie, Neptune and Nemo. The excess cost of these investments is reflected in our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates for these entities. Since each of these excess cost amounts relates to the plant and pipeline assets of each entity, the excess cost of each is amortized to equity earnings from these entities in a manner similar to depreciation. The following table summarizes our excess cost information:

	Initial	Unamortized	balance at	Amortization Charged to Equity Earnings	
	-	March 04	01	. , ,	
	Excess Cost	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001	during 2002	Amortization Period
Fractionation segment:					
Promix	\$7,955	\$6,894	\$7,083	\$99	20 years
La Porte	873	866	n/a	7	35 years
Pipelines segment:					
Dixie	37,694	35,445	35,714	269	35 years
Neptune	12,768	12,312	12,404	91	35 years
Nemo	727	713	718	5	35 years

PAGE 28

The following table presents summarized income statement information for our unconsolidated investments accounted for under the equity method (for the periods indicated on a 100% basis).

	Summarized Income Statement Data for the Quarter Ended						
	March 31, 2002			M			
	Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income	Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income	
Fractionation: BRF BRPC	\$ 4,606 2,951	\$ 1,665 819	\$ 1,702 829	\$ 4,023 3,433	\$ 35 439	\$56 505	

	Promix La Porte	9,864	3,410 (234)	3,428 (235)	9,002	1,440	1,477
	ОТС	619	(308)	(352)			
Pipeli	nes:						
	EPIK	8,305	3,388	3,400	691	(1,891)	(1,862)
	Wilprise	772	393	394	398	(602)	(594)
	Tri-States	3,099	1,401	1,406	1,632	(126)	(105)
	Belle Rose	507	176	177	147	(219)	(213)
	Dixie	15,128	7,402	4,520	19,327	9,649	5,834
	Starfish	6,429	1,936	1,626	6,616	2,098	1,902
	Ocean Breeze				20	12	12
	Neptune	7,703	3,516	3,307	7,409	3,148	3,369
	Nemo	395	(74)	(70)		(16)	28
	Evangeline	25,509	850	(179)			
0ctane	Enhancement:						
	BEF	47,929	8,978	9,019	37,864	413	507
	Total	\$133,816	\$33,318	\$28,972	\$90,562	\$14,380	\$10,916

#### 5. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2001, the FASB issued two new pronouncements: SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interest method for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and also applies to all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after June 30, 2001. There are also transition provisions that apply to business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that were accounted for by the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 was effective for our fiscal year that began January 1, 2002 for all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in our consolidated balance sheet at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. We adopted SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002.

At December 31, 2001, our intangible assets were comprised of the values associated with the Shell natural gas processing agreement and the goodwill related to the 1999 MBA acquisition. In accordance with the new standard, we reclassified the goodwill to a separate line item on our consolidated balance sheet apart from the Shell contract. Based upon our initial interpretation of the standard, the Shell natural gas processing agreement will continue to be amortized over its 20-year contract term; however, amortization of the MBA acquisition goodwill will cease due to its indefinite life. Our goodwill will be subject to periodic impairment testing in accordance with SFAS No. 142. For additional information regarding our intangible assets and goodwill including additions to both classes of assets as a result of the Diamond-Koch acquisitions, see Note 2.

Within six months of our adoption of SFAS No. 142 (by June 30, 2002), we will have completed a transitional impairment review to identify if there is an impairment to the December 31, 2001 recorded goodwill or intangible assets of indefinite life using a fair value methodology. Professionals in the business valuation industry will be consulted to validate the assumptions used in such methodologies. Any impairment loss resulting from the transitional impairment test will be recorded as a cumulative effect of a

# PAGE 29

change in accounting principle for the quarter ended June 30, 2002. Subsequent impairment losses will be reflected in operating income in the Statements of Consolidated Operations. We are continuing to evaluate the complex provisions of SFAS No. 142 and will fully adopt the standard during 2002 within the prescribed time periods.

In addition to SFAS No. 141 and No. 142, the FASB also issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", in June 2001. This statement establishes accounting standards for the recognition and measurement of a liability for an asset retirement obligation and the associated asset retirement cost. This statement is effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2003. We are continuing to evaluate the provisions of this statement. In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and/or disposal of long-lived assets. We adopted this statement effective January 1, 2002 and determined that it did not have any significant impact on our financial statements as of that date.

## 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

#### Intangible assets

Our recorded intangible assets primarily include the estimated value assigned to certain contract-based assets representing the rights we own arising from contractual agreements. According to SFAS No. 141, a contract-based intangible with a finite useful life is amortized over its estimated useful life, which is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the entity. It is based on an analysis of all pertinent factors including (a) the expected use of the asset by the entity, (b) the expected useful life or related assets (i.e., fractionation facility, storage well, etc.), (c) any legal, regulatory or contractual provisions, including renewal or extension periods that would not cause substantial costs or modifications to existing agreements, (d) the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors and (e) the level of maintenance required to obtain the expected future cash flows.

At March 31, 2002, our intangible assets primarily consisted of the Shell natural gas processing agreement that we acquired as a result of the TNGL acquisition in August 1999 and certain propylene fractionation and storage contracts we acquired in connection with our Diamond-Koch acquisitions in January and February 2002. The value of the Shell natural gas processing agreement is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its 20-year contract term (currently \$11.1 million annually from 2002 through 2019). If the economic life of this contract were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes in Shell's natural gas exploration and production activities in the Gulf of Mexico, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of this asset to less than the contractually-stated 20-year life of the agreement. Such a change would increase the annual amortization charge at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of the Shell contract was \$191.6 million.

The value of the propylene fractionation and storage contracts acquired from Diamond-Koch is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the economic life of the assets to which they relate, which is currently estimated at 35 years. Although the majority of these contracts have terms of one to two years, we have assumed that our relationship with these customers will extend beyond the contractually-stated term primarily based on historical low customer contract turnover rates within these operations. If the economic life of the assets were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes within the industry or otherwise, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of these contract-based assets to less than 35 years. Such a change would increase amortization expense at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of these contracts was \$60.5 million

The specific, identifiable intangible assets of a business enterprise depend largely upon the nature of its operations. Potential intangible assets include intellectual property such as technology, patents, trademarks and trade names, customer contracts and relationships, and non-compete agreements, as well as other intangible assets. The approach to the valuation of each intangible asset will vary depending upon the nature of the asset, the business in which it is utilized, and the economic returns it is generating or is expected to generate.

## Goodwill

At March 31, 2002, the value of recorded goodwill was \$81.1 million. Our goodwill is primarily attributable to the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired from Diamond-Koch in early 2002 and from Kinder Morgan and EPCO in July 1999. Since our adoption of SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, our goodwill amounts are no longer amortized. Instead, we routinely review the reporting units to which the goodwill amounts relate for indications of possible impairment. If such indicators are present (i.e., loss of a significant customer, economic obsolescence of plant assets, etc.), the fair value of the reporting unit, including its related goodwill, is calculated and compared to its combined book value. Our goodwill is recorded as part of the Fractionation operating segment since it is wholly attributed to acquired assets included in this operating segment.

The fair value of a reporting unit refers to the amount at which it could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and are used to the extent they are available. If quoted market prices are not available, an estimate of fair value is determined based on the best information available to us, including prices of similar assets and the results of using other valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis and multiples of earnings approaches. The underlying assumptions in such models rely on information available to us at a given point in time and are viewed as reasonable and supportable considering available evidence.

If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its book value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no adjustment to earnings would be required. Should the fair value of the reporting unit (including its goodwill) be less than its book value, a charge to earnings would be recorded to adjust goodwill to its implied fair value.

#### Pro Forma impact of discontinuation of amortization of goodwill

The following table discloses the pro forma impact on earnings of our discontinuation of the amortization of goodwill related to the MBA acquisition (for the first quarter of 2001).

Reported net income	\$52,270
Discontinue goodwill amortization	111
Adjust minority interest expense	(1)
Adjusted net income	\$52,380
	=================

On a pro forma basis, earnings per Unit (both basic and diluted) were not affected by the discontinuation of goodwill amortization due to the immaterial nature of the pro forma adjustment.

PAGE 31

#### 7. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Our long-term debt consisted of the following at:

	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Borrowings under:		
Senior Notes A, 8.25% fixed rate, due March 2005	\$ 350,000	\$350,000
MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed rate, due March 2010	54,000	54,000
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed rate, due February 2011	450,000	450,000
Multi-Year Credit Facility, due November 2005	230,000	
364-Day Credit Facility, due November 2002 (a)	83,000	
First Union Facility, due April 2002	50,000	
Total principal amount	1,217,000	854,000
Unamortized balance of increase in fair value related to		
hedging a portion of fixed-rate debt	1,955	1,653
Less unamortized discount on:		
\$350 Million Senior Notes	(108)	(117)
\$450 Million Senior Notes	(251)	(258)
Less current maturities of debt	(50,000)	-
Long-termdebt	\$1,168,596	\$855,278

(a) Under the terms of this facility, the Operating Partnership has the option to convert this facility into a term loan due November 15, 2003. Management intends to refinance this obligation with a similar obligation at maturity.

At March 31, 2002, we had a total of \$75 million of standby letters of credit capacity under our Multi-Year Credit Facility of which \$18.6 million was outstanding.

Our parent, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. acts as guarantor of certain of our debt obligations. This parent-subsidiary guaranty provision exists under our Senior Notes, MBFC Loan, Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

In April 2002, we increased the amount that we can borrow under the Multi-Year Credit Facility by \$20 million and the 364-Day Credit Facility by \$80 million, up to an amount not exceeding \$500 million in the aggregate for both facilities. At March 31, 2002, we had borrowed \$313 million under these two facilities; the majority of which was related to the acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation business in February 2002 (see Note 2). In anticipation of the increased borrowing limits under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities, we borrowed \$500 million under a short-term supplemental credit facility that was repaid in late April 2002 with proceeds from the increased availability under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

The indentures under which the Senior Notes and the MBFC Loan were issued contain various restrictive covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2001.

In April 2002, certain covenants of our Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities were amended to allow for increased financial flexibility. The significant changes are as follows (capitalized terms used herein are defined within the credit agreements):

- We were granted increased flexibility under our Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA ratio for the rolling-four quarter period which ends on September 30, 2002. The maximum ratio allowed by our lenders was temporarily raised to 4.5 to 1.0 from 4.0 to 1.0. This modification was required as a result of the hedging losses we incurred during the first quarter of 2002.
- o In addition, we are allowed to exclude from the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA up to \$50 million in losses resulting

## PAGE 32

instruments were recorded (ending with the calculation for the third quarter of 2003 due to the rolling-four quarter nature of the calculation).

We were in compliance with the covenants of our revolving credit agreements at March 31, 2002.

#### 8. PARENT'S UNITS ACQUIRED BY TRUST

During the first quarter of 1999, we established the EPOLP 1999 Grantor Trust (the "Trust") to fund potential future obligations under EPCO's long-term incentive plan (through the exercise of Common Unit options granted to directors of the General Partner and EPCO employees who participate in our business). The Common Units of our parent purchased by the Trust are accounted for in a manner similar to treasury stock under the cost method of accounting. At March 31, 2002, the Trust held 204,600 Common Units. The Trust purchased 41,000 Common Units during the first quarter of 2002 at a cost of \$2.0 million.

The Trust is a party to our parent's Unit Buy-Back Program under which the Trust and our parent can repurchase up to 1.0 million Common Units through July 2002. The Common Unit purchases made during the first quarter of 2002 were under this program. At March 31, 2002, 534,200 Common Units could be repurchased under this program by the Trust or our parent separately or in combination. Purchases made by our parent will be funded by intercompany loans between us and our parent that will be settled on a quarterly basis.

#### 9. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS DISCLOSURE

The net effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities is as follows:

	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002	2001	
(Increase) decrease in:			
Accounts and notes receivable Inventories	\$1,439 (25,892)	\$ 89,185 68,452	
Prepaid and other current assets	(2,494)	(1,824)	
Other assets Increase (decrease) in:	(3,186)	(1,128)	
Accounts payable	(13,369	(31,689)	
Accrued gas payable Accrued expenses	25,014 (6,588)	(100,315) (11,391)	
Accrued interest	(16,137)	(2,521)	
Other current liabilities Other liabilities	(10,891) (81)	(16,661) (57)	
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	\$(52,185)	\$ (7,949)	

In January and February of 2002, we paid Diamond-Koch \$368.6 million for its propylene fractionation and NGL and petrochemical storage businesses located in Mont Belvieu, Texas. The allocation of the purchase price affected various balance sheet accounts. See Note 2 for information regarding the allocation of the purchase price for these acquisitions.

We record various financial instruments relating to commodity positions and interest rate swaps at their respective fair values using mark-to-market accounting. For the quarter ended March 31, 2002, we recognized a net \$30.1 million in non-cash mark-to-market losses related to decreases in the fair value of these financial instruments, primarily in our commodity financial instruments portfolio. For the quarter ended March 31, 2001, we recognized a net \$16.4 million in non-cash mark-to-market income from our financial instruments portfolio.

#### PAGE 33

Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2002 per the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows excludes \$14.5 million of restricted cash representing amounts held by a brokerage firm as margin deposits associated with our financial instruments portfolio and for physical purchase transactions made on the NYMEX exchange.

#### **10. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in commodity prices in our natural gas and NGL businesses and in interest rates with respect to a portion of our debt obligations. We may use financial instruments (i.e., futures, forwards, swaps, options, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics) to mitigate the risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions, primarily in our Processing segment. As a matter of policy, we do not use financial instruments for speculative (or trading) purposes.

#### Commodity financial instruments

Our Processing and Octane Enhancement segments are directly exposed to commodity price risk through their respective business operations. The prices of natural gas, NGLs and MTBE are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the risks associated with our Processing segment, we may enter into swaps, forwards, commodity futures, options and other commodity financial instruments with similar characteristics that are permitted by contract or business custom to be settled in cash or with another financial instruments. The primary purpose of these risk management activities (or hedging strategies) is to hedge exposure to price risks associated with natural gas, NGL inventories, firm commitments and certain anticipated transactions. We do not hedge our exposure to the MTBE markets. Also, in our Pipelines segment, we may utilize a limited number of commodity financial instruments to manage the price Acadian Gas charges certain of its customers for natural gas.

We have adopted a commercial policy to manage our exposure to the risks of its natural gas and NGL businesses. The objective of this policy is to assist us in achieving our profitability goals while maintaining a portfolio with an acceptable level of risk, defined as remaining within the position limits established by the General Partner. Under this policy, we enter into risk management transactions to manage price risk, basis risk, physical risk or other risks related to our commodity positions on both a short-term (less than one month) and long-term basis, generally not to exceed 24 months. The General Partner oversees our hedging strategies associated with physical and financial risks (such as those mentioned previously), approves specific activities subject to the policy

(including authorized products, instruments and markets) and establishes specific guidelines and procedures for implementing and ensuring compliance with the policy.

We routinely review our outstanding financial instruments in light of current market conditions. If market conditions warrant, some financial instruments may be closed out in advance of their contractual settlement dates thus realizing income or loss depending on the specific exposure. When this occurs, we may enter into a new commodity financial instrument to reestablish the economic hedge to which the closed instrument relates.

Our commodity financial instruments may not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under the specific guidelines of SFAS No. 133 because of ineffectiveness. A hedge is normally regarded as effective if, among other things, at inception and throughout the term of the financial instrument, we could expect changes in the fair value of the hedged item to be almost fully offset by the changes in the fair value of the financial instrument. When financial instruments do not qualify as effective hedges under the guidelines of SFAS No. 133, changes in the fair value of these positions are recorded on the balance sheet and in earnings through mark-to-market accounting. The use of mark-to-market accounting for these ineffective instruments results in a degree of non-cash earnings volatility that is dependent upon changes in the underlying commodity prices. Although our financial instruments may from time to time be regarded as ineffective hedges under SFAS No. 133, we continue to view these instruments as hedges (i.e., "economic hedges") inasmuch as this was the intent when such contracts were executed. This characterization is consistent with the actual economic performance of these contracts to date and we expect our economic hedges to continue to mitigate (or offset) commodity price risk in the future. The specific accounting for these contracts, however, is consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 133.

#### PAGE 34

We recognized a loss of \$45.1 million in the first quarter of 2002 from our commodity hedging activities that is treated as an increase in operating costs and expenses in our Statements of Consolidated Operations. Of this amount, \$16.4 million has been realized (e.g., paid out to counterparties). The remaining \$28.7 million represents the negative change in value of the open positions between December 31, 2001 and March 31, 2002 (based on market prices at those dates). The market value of our open positions at March 31, 2002 was \$20.8 million payable (a loss). For the first quarter of 2001, we recognized income of \$5.6 million from these activities, which included the positive impact of the portfolio's March 31, 2001 value of \$13.5 million receivable (recorded as income).

## Interest rate swaps

Our interest rate exposure results from variable-rate borrowings from commercial banks and fixed-rate borrowings pursuant to the Company's Senior Notes and MBFC Loan. We manage a portion of our exposure to changes in interest rates by utilizing interest rate swaps. The objective of holding interest rate swaps is to manage debt service costs by converting a portion of fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt or a portion of variable-rate debt into fixed-rate debt. An interest rate swap, in general, requires one party to pay a fixed-rate on the notional amount while the other party pays a floating-rate based on the notional amount.

The General Partner oversees the strategies associated with financial risks and approves instruments that are appropriate for our requirements. At March 31, 2002, we had one interest rate swap outstanding having a notional amount of \$54 million extending through March 2010. Under this agreement, we exchanged a fixed-rate of 8.70% for a market-based variable-rate. If it elects to do so, the counterparty may terminate this swap in March 2003.

We recognized income of \$0.1 million during the first quarter of 2002 from our interest rate swaps that is treated as a reduction of interest expense. The fair value of the interest rate swap at March 31, 2002 was a receivable of \$2.4 million. We recognized income of \$5.2 million during the first quarter of 2001 from interest rate swaps. The benefit recorded in 2001 was primarily due to the election of a counterparty to not terminate its interest rate swap early.

## 11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are components of a business about which separate financial information is available and that are regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Generally, financial information is required to be reported on the basis that it is used internally for evaluating segment performance and deciding how to allocate resources to segments.

We have five reportable operating segments: Fractionation, Pipelines, Processing, Octane Enhancement and Other. The reportable segments are generally organized according to the type of services rendered (or process employed) and products produced and/or sold, as applicable. The segments are regularly evaluated by the Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner. Fractionation primarily includes NGL fractionation, isomerization, and polymer grade propylene fractionation services. Pipelines consists of both liquids and natural gas pipeline systems, storage and import/export terminal services. Processing includes the natural gas processing business and its related merchant activities. Octane Enhancement represents our equity interest in BEF, a facility that produces motor gasoline additives to enhance octane (currently producing MTBE). The Other operating segment consists of fee-based marketing services and other plant support functions.

We evaluate segment performance based on gross operating margin. Gross operating margin reported for each segment represents operating income before depreciation and amortization, lease expense obligations retained by EPCO, gains and losses on the sale of assets and general and administrative expenses. In addition, segment gross operating margin is exclusive of interest expense, interest income (from unconsolidated affiliates or others), dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates, minority interest, extraordinary charges and other income and expense transactions.

We include equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates in segment gross operating margin and as a component of revenues. Our equity investments with industry partners are a vital component of our business strategy and a means by which we conduct our

#### PAGE 35

operations to align our interests with a supplier of raw materials to a facility or a consumer of finished products from a facility. This method of operation also enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business risk assumed versus what we could accomplish on a stand alone basis. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations. For example, we use the Promix NGL fractionator to process NGLs extracted by our gas plants. The NGLs received from Promix then can be sold by our merchant businesses. Another example would be our relationship with the BEF MTBE facility. Our isomerization facilities process normal butane for this plant and our HSC pipeline transports MTBE for delivery to BEF's storage facility on the Houston Ship Channel.

Consolidated property, plant and equipment and investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates are allocated to each segment on the basis of each asset's or investment's principal operations. The principal reconciling item between consolidated property, plant and equipment and segment property is construction-in-progress. Segment property represents those facilities and projects that contribute to gross operating margin and is net of accumulated depreciation on these assets. Since assets under construction do not generally contribute to segment gross operating margin, these assets are not included in the operating segment totals until they are deemed operational.

Consolidated intangible assets and goodwill are allocated to the segments based on the classification of the assets to which they relate. The increase in intangible assets and goodwill during the first quarter of 2002 is attributable to the Diamond-Koch

Segment gross operating margin is inclusive of intersegment revenues, which are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates. These revenues have been eliminated from the consolidated totals.

# PAGE 36

Information by operating segment, together with reconciliations to the consolidated totals, is presented in the following table:

	Ope	erating Segmen			مطخم	
Fractionation	Pipelines	Processing	Octane Enhancement	Other	and Elims.	Consol. Totals
,				\$517 680		\$662,054 836,315
33,397 41,652	24,510 20,779	126,260 110,309		100 95	\$(184,267) (172,835)	
1,639			\$3,006 169			9,227 2,011
144,458 131,893	128,173 29,246	579,294 849,078	3,006 169	617 775	(184,267) (172,835)	671,281 838,326
24,377 25,668	32,668 18,123	(33,376) 28,398	3,006 169	(262) 535		26,413 72,893
				,		
99,285 93,329			61,281 55,843			411,292 398,201
52,748 7,857	7,788	191,606 194,369				252,142 202,226
81,135						81,135
	\$109,422 89,679 33,397 41,652 1,639 562 144,458 131,893 24,377 25,668 444,793 357,122 99,285 93,329 52,748 7,857	Fractionation         Pipelines           \$109,422         \$99,081           89,679         7,187           33,397         24,510           41,652         20,779           1,639         4,582           562         1,280           144,458         128,173           131,893         29,246           24,377         32,668           25,668         18,123           444,793         897,139           357,122         717,348           99,285         217,726           93,329         216,029           52,748         7,788           7,857         7,788	Fractionation         Pipelines         Processing           \$109,422         \$99,081         \$453,034           89,679         7,187         738,769           33,397         24,510         126,260           41,652         20,779         110,309           1,639         4,582         128,173           562         1,280         144,458           131,893         29,246         849,078           24,377         32,668         (33,376)           25,668         18,123         28,398           444,793         897,139         127,842           357,122         717,348         124,555           99,285         217,726         33,000           93,329         216,029         33,000           52,748         7,788         191,606           7,857         194,369	FractionationPipelinesProcessingOctane Enhancement\$109,422\$99,081\$453,034\$9,6797,187738,76933,39724,510126,26041,65220,779110,3091,6394,582\$3,006169126,260169144,458128,173579,294131,89329,246849,07824,37732,668(33,376)24,37732,668(33,376)25,66818,12328,398444,793897,139127,842357,122717,348124,55599,285217,72633,00093,329216,02933,00052,7487,788191,6067,8577,788191,606194,369194,369	Fractionation         Pipelines         Processing         Octane Enhancement         Other           \$109,422         \$99,081         \$453,034         \$517         680           33,397         24,510         126,260         100         100           41,652         20,779         110,309         95         169           1,639         4,582         \$3,006         169           144,458         128,173         579,294         3,006         617           131,893         29,246         849,078         169         775           24,377         32,668         (33,376)         3,006         (262)           25,668         18,123         28,398         169         535           444,793         897,139         127,842         8,269         8,921           99,285         217,726         33,000         55,843         5,843           52,748         7,788         191,606         194,369         543	Adjs. and Practionation         Processing         Octane Enhancement         Other         Adjs. and Elims.           \$109,422         \$99,081         \$453,034         \$517         880         \$517           33,397         24,510         126,260         100         \$(184,267)           41,652         20,779         110,309         95         \$(172,835)           1,639         4,582         \$3,006         169           144,458         128,173         579,294         3,006         617         (184,267)           131,893         29,246         849,078         169         775         (172,835)           24,377         32,668         (33,376)         3,006         622         172,835           24,377         32,668         (33,376)         3,006         535         57,153           24,377         32,668         (33,376)         3,006         535         57,153           99,285         217,726         33,000         61,281         57,153           99,285         217,726         33,000         55,843         52,748         7,788         194,369

Our revenues are derived from a wide customer base. All consolidated revenues were earned in the United States. Our operations are centered along the Texas, Louisiana and Mississippi Gulf Coast areas.

PAGE 37

A reconciliation of segment gross operating margin to consolidated income before minority interest follows:

	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002	2001	
Total segment gross operating margin Depreciation and amortization Retained lease expense, net (Gain) loss on sale of assets Selling, general and administrative	\$ 26,413 (17,237) (2,305) (13) (7,786)		
Consolidated operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income from unconsolidated affiliates Dividend income from unconsolidated affiliates Interest income - other Other, net	(928) (18,513) 30 954 1,436 (77)	54,417 (6,987) 12 1,632 4,145 (280)	
Consolidated income (loss) before minority interest	\$(17,098)	\$52,939	

PAGE 38

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. is a publicly-traded master limited partnership (NYSE, symbol "EPD") that conducts substantially all of its business through its 98.9899% owned subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, and a number of investments with industry partners. Since the Operating Partnership owns substantially all of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s consolidated assets and conducts substantially all of its business and operations, the information set forth herein constitutes combined information for the two registrants. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we", "us", "our" or the "Company" are intended to mean the consolidated business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., which includes Enterprise Products Operating L.P. and its subsidiaries.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company and Operating Partnership included in Part I of this report on Form 10-0.

#### General

Our Company was formed in April 1998 to acquire, own and operate all of the natural gas liquid ("NGL") processing and distribution assets of Enterprise Products Company ("EPCO"). We are a leading North American provider of a wide range of midstream energy services to our customers located primarily along the central and western Gulf Coast. Our services include the:

- gathering, transmission and storage of natural gas from both onshore and offshore Louisiana developments;
- purchase and sale of natural gas in south Louisiana; 0
- processing of natural gas into a saleable and transportable product that meets industry quality specifications by removing 0 NGLs and impurities;
- 0 fractionation of mixed NGLs produced as by-products of oil and natural gas production into their component products: ethane, propane, isobutane, normal butane and natural gasoline;
- conversion of normal butane to isobutane through the process of isomerization; 0
- production of MTBE from isobutane and methanol; 0
- transportation of NGL products to customers by pipeline and railcar; 0
- 0
- production of high purity propylene from refinery-sourced propane/propylene mix; import and export of certain NGL and petrochemical products through our dock facilities; 0
- transportation of high purity propylene by pipeline; and 0
- storage of NGL and petrochemical products. 0

Our General Partner, Enterprise Products GP, LLC, owns a 1.0% general partner interest in the Company and a 1.0101% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership. Our principal executive offices are located at 2727 North Loop West, Houston, Texas 77008-1038 and our telephone number is 713-880-6500.

## Recent acquisitions and other investments

In January 2002, we completed the acquisition of Diamond-Koch's Mont Belvieu storage assets from affiliates of Valero Energy Corporation and Koch Industries, Inc. for \$129.6 million. These facilities include 30 storage wells with a useable capacity of 68 MMBbls and allow for the storage of mixed NGLS, ethane, propane, butanes, natural gasoline and olefins (such as ethylene), polymer grade propylene, chemical grade propylene and refinery grade propylene. With the inclusion of the former D-K facilities we own and operate 95 MMBbls of storage capacity at Mont Belvieu, one of the largest such facilities in the world. In addition, we completed the purchase of Diamond-Koch's 66.7% interest in a propylene fractionation facility and related assets in February 2002 at a cost of the purchase of Diamond-Koch's 66.7% interest in a propylene fractionation facility and related assets in February 2002 at a cost of the purchase of Diamond-Koch's 66.7% interest in a propylene fractionation facility and related assets in February 2002 at a cost of approximately \$239 million. Including this purchase, we effectively own 58.3 MBPD of net propylene fractionation capacity in Mont Belvieu and have access to additional customers at this key industry hub.

# PAGE 39

0

# Cautionary Statement regarding Forward-Looking Information and Risk Factors

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains various forward-looking statements and information that are based on our beliefs and those of the General Partner, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to us. When used in this document, words such as "anticipate", "project", "expect", "plan", "forecast", "intend", "could", "believe", "may", and similar expressions and statements regarding the plans and objectives of the Company for future operations, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we and the General Partner believe that such expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, neither we nor the General Partner can give any assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those we anticipated, estimated, projected or expected.

An investment in our debt or equity securities involves a degree of risk. Among the key risk factors that may have a direct bearing on our results of operations and financial condition are:

- competitive practices in the industries in which we compete; 0
- fluctuations in oil, natural gas and NGL prices and production due to weather and other natural and economic forces; 0 operational and systems risks; 0
- environmental liabilities that are not covered by indemnity or insurance; 0
  - the impact of current and future laws and governmental regulations (including environmental regulations) affecting the midstream energy industry in general and our NGL and natural gas operations in particular;
- the loss of a significant customer; 0
- the use of financial instruments to hedge commodity and other risks which prove to be economically ineffective; and 0
- the failure to complete one or more new projects on time or within budget.

The prices of natural gas and NGLs are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. These factors include the level of domestic oil, natural gas and NGL production and development, the availability of imported oil and natural gas, actions taken by foreign oil and natural gas producing nations and companies, the availability of transportation systems with adequate capacity, the availability of competitive fuels and products, fluctuating and seasonal demand for oil, natural gas and NGLs, and conservation and the extent of governmental regulation of production and the overall economic environment.

In addition we must obtain access to new natural gas volumes for our processing business in order to maintain or increase gas plant throughput levels to offset natural declines in field reserves. The number of wells drilled by third parties to obtain new volumes will depend on, among other factors, the price of gas and oil, the energy policy of the federal government and the availability of foreign oil and gas, none of which is in our control.

The products that we process, sell or transport are principally used as feedstocks in petrochemical manufacturing and in the production of motor gasoline and as fuel for residential and commercial heating. A reduction in demand for our products or services by industrial customers, whether because of general economic conditions, reduced demand for the end products made with NGL products, increased competition from petroleum-based products due to pricing differences, adverse weather conditions, governmental regulations affecting prices and production levels of natural gas or the content of motor gasoline or other reasons, could have a negative impact on our results of operation. A material decrease in natural gas production or crude oil refining, as a result of depressed commodity prices or otherwise, or a decrease in imports of mixed butanes, could result in a decline in volumes processed and sold by us.

Lastly, our expectations regarding future capital expenditures are only forecasts regarding these matters. These forecasts may be

substantially different from actual results due to various uncertainties including the following key factors: (a) the accuracy of our estimates regarding capital spending requirements, (b) the occurrence of any unanticipated acquisition opportunities, (c) the need to replace unanticipated losses in capital assets, (d) changes in our strategic direction and (e) unanticipated legal,

#### PAGE 40

regulatory and contractual impediments with regards to our construction projects.

For a description of the tax and other risks of owning our Common Units or the Operating Partnership's debt securities, see our registration documents (together with any amendments thereto) filed with the SEC on Forms S-1 and S-3. Our SEC File number is 1-14323 and our Operating Partnership's SEC File number is 333-93239-01.

#### Our accounting policies

In our financial reporting process, we employ methods, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. These methods, estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Investors should be aware that actual results could differ from these estimates should the underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect. Examples of these estimates and assumptions include depreciation methods and estimated lives of property, plant and equipment, amortization methods and estimated lives of qualifying intangible assets, methods employed to measure the fair value of goodwill, revenue recognition policies and mark-to-market accounting procedures. The following describes the estimation risk in each of these significant financial statement items:

- Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost and is depreciated using the straight-line method over the asset's estimated useful life. Our plants, pipelines and storage facilities have estimated useful lives of five to 35 years. Our miscellaneous transportation equipment have estimated useful lives of three to 35 years. Depreciation is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the asset. The determination of an asset's estimated useful life must take a number of factors into consideration, including technological change, normal depreciation and actual physical usage. If any of these assumptions subsequently change, the estimated useful life of the asset could change and result in an increase or decrease in depreciation expense. Additionally, if we determine that an asset's undepreciated cost may not be recoverable due to economic obsolescence, the business climate, legal or other factors, we would review the asset for impairment and record any necessary reduction in the asset's value as a charge against earnings. At March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the net book value of our property, plant and equipment was \$1.5 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.
- o **Intangible assets**. The specific, identifiable intangible assets of a business enterprise depend largely upon the nature of its operations. Potential intangible assets include intellectual property such as technology, patents, trademarks and trade names, customer contracts and relationships, and non-compete agreements, as well as other intangible assets. The approach to the valuation of each intangible asset will vary depending upon the nature of the asset, the business in which it is utilized, and the economic returns it is generating or is expected to generate.

Our recorded intangible assets primarily include the estimated value assigned to certain contract-based assets representing the rights we own arising from contractual agreements. According to SFAS No. 141, a contract-based intangible with a finite useful life is amortized over its estimated useful life, which is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the entity. It is based on an analysis of all pertinent factors including (a) the expected use of the asset by the entity, (b) the expected useful life or related assets (i.e., fractionation facility, storage well, etc.), (c) any legal, regulatory or contractual provisions, including renewal or extension periods that would not cause substantial costs or modifications to existing agreements, (d) the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors and (e) the level of maintenance required to obtain the expected future cash flows.

At March 31, 2002, our intangible assets primarily consisted of the Shell natural gas processing agreement that we acquired as a result of the TNGL acquisition in August 1999 and certain propylene fractionation and storage contracts we acquired in connection with our Diamond-Koch acquisitions in January and February 2002. The value of the Shell natural gas processing

#### PAGE 41

agreement is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its 20-year contract term (currently \$11.1 million annually from 2002 through 2019). If the economic life of this contract were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes in Shell's natural gas exploration and production activities in the Gulf of Mexico, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of this asset to less than the contractually-stated 20-year life of the agreement. Such a change would increase the annual amortization charge at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of the Shell contract was \$191.6 million.

The value of the propylene fractionation and storage contracts acquired from Diamond-Koch is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the economic life of the assets to which they relate, which is currently estimated at 35 years. Although the majority of these contracts have terms of one to two years, we have assumed that our relationship with these customers will extend beyond the contractually-stated term primarily based on historical low customer contract turnover rates within these operations. If the economic life of the assets were later determined to be impaired due to negative changes within the industry or otherwise, then we might need to reduce the amortization period of these contract-based assets to less than 35 years. Such a change would increase amortization expense at that time. At March 31, 2002, the unamortized value of these contracts was \$60.5 million.

**Goodwill**. At March 31, 2002, the value of recorded goodwill was \$81.1 million. Our goodwill is primarily attributable to the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired from Diamond-Koch in early 2002 and from Kinder Morgan and EPCO in July 1999. Since our adoption of SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, our goodwill amounts are no longer amortized. Instead, we have began to routinely review the reporting units to which the goodwill amounts relate for indications of possible impairment. If such indicators are present (i.e., loss of a significant customer, economic obsolescence of plant assets, etc.), the fair value of the reporting unit, including its related goodwill, is calculated and compared to its combined book value. Our goodwill is recorded as part of the Fractionation operating segment.

The fair value of a reporting unit refers to the amount at which the it could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and are used to the extent they are available. If quoted market prices are not available, an estimate of fair value is determined based on the best information available to us, including prices of similar assets and the results of using other valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis and multiples of earnings approaches. The underlying assumptions in such models rely on information available to us at a given point in time and are viewed as reasonable and supportable considering available evidence.

If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its book value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no adjustment to earnings would be required. Should the fair value of the reporting unit (including its goodwill) be less than its book

value, a charge to earnings would be recorded to adjust goodwill to its implied fair value.

Revenue recognition. In general, we recognize revenue from our customers when all of the following criteria are met: (i) firm contracts are in place, (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) pricing is fixed and determinable and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured. When contracts settle (i.e., either physical delivery of product has taken place or the services designated in the contract have been performed), we determine if an allowance is necessary and record it accordingly. The revenues that we record are not materially based on estimates. We believe the assumptions underlying any revenue estimates that we might use will not prove to be significantly different from actual amounts due to the routine nature of these estimates and the stability of our operations.

Of the contracts that we enter into with customers, the majority fall within five main categories as described below:

- Tolling (or throughput) arrangements where we process or transport customer volumes for a cash fee (usually on a 0 per gallon or other unit of measurement basis);
- In-kind fractionation arrangements where we process customer mixed NGL volumes for a percentage of the end NGL 0 products in lieu of a cash fee (exclusive to our Norco NGL fractionation facility);

# PAGE 42

0

0

- 0 Merchant contracts where we sell products to customers at market-related prices for cash; 0
  - Storage agreements where we store volumes or reserve storage capacity for customers for a cash fee; and Fee-based marketing services where we market volumes for customers for either a percentage of the final cash sales price or a cash fee per gallon handled.

A number of tolling (or throughput) arrangements are utilized in our Fractionation and Pipeline segments. Examples include NGL fractionation, isomerization and pipeline transportation agreements. Typically, we recognize revenue from tolling arrangements once contract services have been performed. At times, the tolling fees we or our affiliates charge for pipeline transportation services are regulated by such governmental agencies as the FERC. A special type of tolling arrangement, an "in-kind" contract, is utilized by various customers at our Norco NGL fractionation facility. An in-kind processing contract allows us to retain a contractually-determined percentage of NGL products produced for the customer in lieu of a cash tolling fee per gallon. Revenue is recognized from these "in-kind" contracts when we sell (at market-related prices) and deliver the fractionated NGLs that we retained.

Our Processing segment businesses employ tolling and merchant contracts. If a customer pays us a cash tolling fee for our natural gas processing services, we record revenue to the extent that natural gas volumes have been processed and sent back to the producer. If we retain mixed NGLs as our fee for natural gas processing services, we record revenue when the NGLs (in mixed and/or fractionated product form) are sold and delivered to customers using merchant contracts. In addition to the Processing segment, merchant contracts are utilized in the Fractionation segment to record revenues from the sale of propylene volumes and in the Pipelines segment to record revenues from the sale of natural gas. Our merchant contracts are generally based on market-related prices as determined by the individual agreements.

We have established an allowance for doubtful accounts to cover potential bad debts from customers. Our allowance amount is generally determined as a percentage of revenues for the last twelve months. In addition, we may also increase the allowance account in response to specific identification of customers involved in bankruptcy proceedings and the like. We routinely review our estimates in this area to ascertain that we have recorded ample reserves to cover forecasted losses. If unanticipated financial difficulties were to occur with a significant customer or customers, there is the possibility that the allowance for doubtful accounts would need to be increased to bring the allowance up to an appropriate level based on the new information obtained. Our allowance for doubtful accounts at March 21 2002 was \$20.6 on the new information obtained. Our allowance for doubtful accounts at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 was \$20.6 million.

Fair value accounting for financial instruments. Our earnings are also affected by use of the mark-to-market method of accounting required under GAAP for certain financial instruments. We use financial instruments such as swaps, forwards and other contracts to manage price risks associated with inventories, firm commitments and certain anticipated transaction affects earnings. The use of mark-to-market accounting for financial instruments results in a degree of non-cash earnings volatility that is dependent upon changes in underlying indexes, primarily commodity prices. Fa for the financial instruments we employ is determined using price data from highly liquid markets such as the NYMEX Fair value commodity exchange.

For the quarter ending March 31, 2002, we recognized losses from our commodity hedging activities of \$45.1 million. Of this loss, \$28.7 million is attributable to the negative change in market value of the portfolio since December 31, 2001 for positions still open at March 31, 2002. For additional information regarding our use of financial instruments to manage risk and the earnings sensitivity of these instruments to changes in underlying commodity prices, see the Processing segment discussion under "Our results of operations" and Item 3 of this report.

Additional information regarding our financial statements or those of the Operating Partnership can be found in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of each entity included elsewhere in this report on

PAGE 43

# Form 10-Q.

# Our results of operations

We have five reportable operating segments: Fractionation, Pipelines, Processing, Octane Enhancement and Other. Fractionation primarily includes NGL fractionation, isomerization and propylene fractionation. Pipelines consists of liquids and natural gas pipeline systems, storage and import/export terminal services. Processing includes our natural gas processing business and related merchant activities. Octane Enhancement represents our interest in a facility that produces motor gasoline additives to enhance octane (currently producing MTBE). The Other operating segment primarily consists of fee-based marketing services.

Our management evaluates segment performance based on gross operating margin ("gross operating margin" or "margin"). Gross operating margin for each segment represents operating income before depreciation and amortization, lease expense obligations retained by EPCO, gains and losses on the sale of assets and selling, general and administrative expenses. Segment gross operating margin is exclusive of interest expense, interest income amounts, dividend income, minority interest, extraordinary charges and other income and expense transactions.

We include equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates in segment gross operating margin and as a component of revenues. 0ur equity investments with industry partners are a vital component of our business strategy and a means by which we conduct our operations to align our interests with a supplier of raw materials to a facility or a consumer of finished products from a facility. This method of operation also enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business

risk assumed versus what we could accomplish on a stand alone basis. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations. For example, we use the Promix NGL fractionator to process NGLs extracted by our gas plants. The NGLs received from Promix then can be sold by our merchant businesses. Another example would be our relationship with the BEF MTBE facility. Our isomerization facilities process normal butane for this plant and our HSC pipeline transports MTBE for delivery to BEF's storage facility on the Houston Ship Channel.

Our gross operating margin by segment (in thousands of dollars) along with a reconciliation to consolidated operating income were as follows for the periods indicated:

	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002	2001	
Gross Operating Margin by segment: Fractionation Pipelines Processing Octane enhancement	\$24,377 32,668 (33,376) 3,006	\$25,668 18,123 28,398 169	
Other	(262)	535	
Gross Operating margin total Depreciation and amortization Retained lease expense, net Loss (gain) on sale of assets Selling, general and administrative expenses	26,413 17,237 2,305 13 7,962	72,893 10,029 2,660 (381) 6,168	
Consolidated operating income (loss)	\$(1,104)	\$54,417	

# PAGE 44

Our significant plant production and other volumetric data were as follows for the periods indicated:

	Quarter Ended March 31,		
	2002	2001	
MBPD, Net			
Equity NGL Production NGL Fractionation Isomerization Propylene Fractionation Octane Enhancement Major NGL and Petrochemical Pipelines	81 204 74 63 4 525	46 165 70 30 3 356	
MMBtu/D, Net  Natural Gas Pipelines	1,259,288	505,567	

The following table illustrates selected average quarterly prices for natural gas, crude oil, selected NGL products and polymer grade propylene since the January 2001:

	Natural Gas, \$/MMBtu	Crude Oil, \$/barrel	Ethane, \$/gallon	Propane, \$/gallon	Normal Butane, \$/gallon	Isobutane, \$/gallon	Polymer Grade Propylene, \$/pound
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)
Fiscal 2001:							
First quarter (c)	\$7.00	\$28.77	\$0.49	\$0.63	\$0.70	\$0.74	\$0.23
Second quarter	\$4.61	\$27.86	\$0.37	\$0.50	\$0.56	\$0.66	\$0.19
Third quarter	\$2.84	\$26.64	\$0.27	\$0.41	\$0.49	\$0.49	\$0.16
Fourth quarter Fiscal 2002:	\$2.38	\$21.04	\$0.21	\$0.34	\$0.40	\$0.39	\$0.18
First quarter	\$2.30	\$21.41	\$0.22	\$0.30	\$0.38	\$0.44	\$0.16

(a) Natural gas, NGL and polymer grade propylene prices represent an average of index prices

(b) Crude Oil price is representative of West Texas Intermediate

(c) Natural gas prices peaked at approximately \$10 per MMBtu in January 2001

Three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to three months ended March 31, 2001

**Revenues, costs and expenses and operating income (loss)**. Revenues were \$671.3 million for the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$838.3 million for the first quarter of 2001. Operating costs and expenses (including selling, general and administrative charges) were \$672.4 million for the first quarter of 2002 versus \$783.9 million for the first quarter of 2001. Operating results were a loss of \$1.1 million for the first quarter of 2002 compared to income of \$54.4 million for the first quarter of 2001.

Revenues declined period to period primarily the result of lower NGL prices offset by an increase in revenues from our Pipeline segment. Weighted-average NGL prices were 62 CPG in the first quarter of 2001 versus 33 CPG in the first quarter of 2002 primarily due to the high price of natural gas and crude oil during the first quarter of 2001 which generally caused NGL prices to increase. The decline in prices from period to period was offset by an overall increase in volumes handled at our facilities and pipelines in the first quarter of 2002 relative to the same period in 2001. Revenues from our Pipelines segment increased primarily the result of the Acadian acquisition which was completed during the second quarter of 2001.

PAGE 45

Operating costs and expenses decreased from period-to-period primarily due to a decline in natural gas expense: the average price of natural gas declined from \$7.00 per MMBtu in the first quarter of 2001 to \$2.30 per MMBtu in the first quarter of 2002. The price of natural gas in the first quarter of 2001 was initially driven by supply issues (particularly as they related to California and

other western U.S. states) and later turned into a more speculative market before prices began to moderate late in the first quarter of 2001. Natural gas prices during most of the first quarter of 2002 were generally stable until mid-March 2002 when supply issues began to resurface and create volatility in the marketplace.

As a result of this volatility in natural gas prices late in the first quarter of 2002, we recorded a \$45.1 million loss on our commodity hedging activities which is classified as an operating expense for the period. The loss was attributable to the utilization of natural gas-based financial instruments to hedge the value of our NGL production related to our natural gas processing operations. This compares to \$5.6 million in income from these activities recorded during the first quarter of 2001 that was treated as a reduction in operating expenses. This change resulted in a \$50.7 million increase in operating costs and expenses quarter-to-quarter. In addition to the commodity hedging loss, operating costs and expenses in our Pipelines segment increased as a result of the Acadian acquisition mentioned previously. These increases were more than offset by the overall reduction in other operating costs, particularly that of natural gas as a feedstock and as a fuel.

Fractionation. Gross operating margin from our Fractionation segment was \$24.4 million for the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$25.7 million for the first quarter of 2001. NGL fractionation margin decreased \$1.1 million during the first quarter of 2002 when compared to the first quarter of 2001. NGL fractionation net volumes improved to 204 MBPD during the first quarter of 2002 versus 165 MBPD for the same period in 2001. NGL fractionation volumes during the first quarter of 2001 were unusually low due to reduced NGL extraction rates at gas processing plants caused by abnormally high natural gas prices (which resulted in a decrease in mixed NGL volumes available for fractionation). The decrease in NGL fractionation margin was primarily due to certain non-routine maintenance charges at our Mont Belvieu facility and lower in-kind fees at our Norco plant (caused by lower NGL prices in 2002 relative to 2001), partially offset by increased margins due to higher overall fractionation volumes at all of our facilities.

Our isomerization business posted a \$4.8 million decrease in margin for the first quarter of 2002 when compared to the first quarter of 2001. Isomerization volumes increased to 74 MBPD during the 2002 period versus 70 MBPD during the 2001 period. The decrease in margin is primarily due to lower isomerization revenues. Certain of our isomerization tolling fees are indexed to historical natural gas prices and were positively impacted when the price of natural gas peaked during the first quarter of 2001.

For the first quarter of 2002, gross operating margin from propylene fractionation was \$4.3 million higher than the first quarter of 2001. The first quarter of 2002 includes \$3.1 million in margin from the propylene fractionation business we acquired from Diamond-Koch in February 2002. The remainder of the increase in margin is primarily due to lower fuel costs at our other Mont Belvieu propylene fractionation facilities attributable to the difference in natural gas prices between the two periods. Net volumes at our propylene fractionation facilities increased to 63 MBPD for the first quarter of 2002 compared with 30 MBPD for the first quarter of 2001. Of the increase in 2002 volumes, 34 MBPD is attributable to operations acquired from Diamond-Koch.

Pipelines. Our Pipelines segment posted a record quarterly gross operating margin of \$32.7 million for the first quarter of 2002, compared to \$18.1 million for the first quarter of 2001. Liquids pipeline volumes increased to a record 525 MBPD during the first quarter of 2002 versus 356 MBPD during the first quarter of 2001. Natural gas pipeline throughput rates increased to 1,259 Bbtu per day during the 2002 quarter compared to 506 Bbtu per day during the 2001 quarter. The increases are attributable to a variety of sources, including the following:

- Our Acadian Gas natural gas pipeline business added \$4.1 million in margin and accounted for 744 Bbtu/d of the increase in
- Margin from our Louisiana Pipeline System increased \$3.2 million quarter-to-quarter primarily due to a 73% increase in pipeline volumes to 185 MBPD for the 2002 period versus 107 MBPD during the 2001 period. NGL volumes transported by this system were negatively impacted by the reduced NGL extraction rates at gas plants during the first quarter of 2001. 0

## PAGE 46

- The Lou-Tex NGL pipeline posted a \$2.3 million increase in margin quarter-to-quarter on a 76% increase in transport volumes 0 due to a strong demand for services. Volumes increased 17 MBPD guarter-to-guarter.
- Equity earnings from EPIK increased \$2.6 million quarter-to-quarter on a 22 MBPD increase in export volumes for the first 0 quarter of 2002. Unusually high domestic prices for propane-related products in the first quarter of 2001 resulted in decreased export opportunities. Product prices during the first quarter of 2002 presented EPIK with a more favorable export environment.
- The acquisition of Diamond-Koch's NGL and petrochemical storage business in January 2002 contributed to a \$1.9 million increase in margin from our Mont Belvieu storage operations.
- For the first quarter of 2002, margin from our HSC pipeline system increased \$0.9 million over the first quarter of 2001. The increase in margin was primarily due to a 47 MBPD increase in transportation volumes of which the increase in EPIK's exports played a key role.

Gross operating margin from our Processing segment was a loss of \$33.4 million for the first Processing. quarter of 2002 versus income of \$28.4 million for the first quarter of 2001. This segment is comprised of our natural gas processing business and related merchant activities and includes results of our commodity hedging strategies. The \$61.8 million margin decrease quarter-to-quarter is primarily due to losses from commodity hedging activities during the first quarter of 2002. The first quarter of 2001 also benefited from strong propane heating demand that did not recur in the first quarter of 2002.

Equity NGL production averaged 81 MBPD for the first quarter of 2002 versus 46 MBPD for the first quarter of 2001. Equitv NGL production during the first quarter of 2002 was adversely impacted by approximately 14 MBPD due to downtime at certain Gulf of Mexico production platforms caused by mechanical problems. Production during the 2001 period reflected reduced extraction rates caused by abnormally high natural gas prices which increased operating costs at our gas processing plants. As a result of lower overall natural gas prices in the first quarter of 2002 compared to the same period in 2001, processing economics have improved leading to higher extraction rates (i.e., higher equity NGL production).

We have employed various hedging strategies to mitigate the effects of fluctuating commodity prices (primarily NGL and natural gas prices) on our gas processing business and related merchant activities. Beginning in late 2000 and extending through March 2002, a large number of our hedging transactions were based on the historical relationship between natural gas prices and NGL prices. This type of hedging strategy utilized the forward sale of natural gas at a fixed-price with the expected margin on the settlement of the position offsetting or mitigating changes in the anticipated margins on NGL merchant activities and the value of equity NGL production. During most of 2001, this strategy proved successful as natural gas prices declined relative to our fixed positions. During the first quarter of 2001, we recognized \$5.6 million in income from our hedging activities of which \$13.5 million was mark-to-market income on positions that were open at March 31, 2001. For the year 2001, this strategy proved successful for us (as the price of natural gas declined relative to our positions) and was responsible for most of the \$101.3 million in income from hedging activities we recorded.

As a result of our success, we continued using this strategy going into 2002. In late March 2002, the effectiveness of this hedging strategy deteriorated due to a rapid increase in natural gas prices whereby the loss in the value of fixed-price natural gas financial instruments was not offset by increased processing margins. A number of factors influenced this rapid increase in natural gas prices including industry concerns that current drilling activity was not sufficient to support production levels needed to support the U.S. economic recovery that is underway and the potential need for natural gas to replace nuclear power in some areas in the U.S. as nuclear power facilities were taken offline for critical maintenance work. At March 31, 2002, we recognized a loss on these hedging activities of \$45.1 million of which \$16.4 million had already been paid to counterparties. At March 31, 2002, we recognized a loss

Due to the inherent uncertainty that was controlling the markets, management decided that it was prudent for the Company to exit this strategy completely, and we did so by late April 2002. By the time that the positions were effectively closed out, the March 31,

2002 market value of \$20.8 million payable increased to \$26.1 million payable. We forecast that the latter sum will be paid to counterparties as follows during 2002: \$15.5 million in the second quarter, \$10.1 million in the third quarter and \$0.5 million in the fourth quarter.

As a result of the loss recognized in the first quarter of 2002, management has elected to take a more traditional approach to hedging activities for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that the hedging strategies used by our natural gas processing and

PAGE 47

related NGL merchant activities (over the short-term) will be limited to those deemed prudent in managing the cost of natural gas consumed as a feedstock in these operations. A variety of factors influence whether or not our hedging strategies are successful. For additional information regarding our commodity financial instruments, see Item 3 of this report on Form 10-Q.

**Octane Enhancement.** Equity earnings from our BEF investment improved to \$3.0 million for the first quarter of 2002 compared with \$0.2 million for the first quarter of 2001. The improvement is attributable to a 64% increase in MTBE production (due to less maintenance downtime during the 2002 period) and increased margins related to lower feedstock prices caused by lower natural gas prices.

**Selling, general and administrative expenses.** These expenses increased to \$8.0 million for the first quarter of 2002 from \$6.2 million for the first quarter of 2001. The increase in expense is primarily due to the additional staff and resources acquired as a result of the Acadian acquisition.

**Interest expense.** Interest expense increased to \$18.5 million for the first quarter of 2002 from \$7.0 million for the first quarter of 2001. During the first quarter of 2001, we recognized a \$9.3 million benefit related to our interest rate swaps compared to \$0.1 million for the first quarter of 2002. We use interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of our fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. With the decline in variable interest rates, our swaps increased in value and provided cash income. Also, the notional amounts of the swaps outstanding during the first quarter of 2002 period. The notional amount reflects that portion of debt principal that we effectively converted to variable interest rates from fixed interest rates.

In addition, interest expense has increased as a result of additional borrowings. Our weighted-average principal balance for the first quarter of 2002 was \$1.1 billion compared to \$854 million for the same period in 2001. Debt increased \$363 million during the first quarter of 2002 primarily the result of borrowings associated with the Diamond-Koch acquisitions.

# General outlook for second quarter of 2002 and remainder of 2002

Our second quarter equity NGL production will be marginally impacted by temporary mechanical problems at certain Gulf of Mexico production platforms. These platforms recommenced production in early May and are expected to return to full production by the end of May. As a result, we expect that our second quarter equity NGL production will be similar to that seen in the first quarter of 2001 (approximately 80 MBPD). Rates should improve to near 85 MBPD over the remainder of the year as these fields come back onstream.

The mechanical problems at the platforms noted above will also temporarily reduce transportation volumes and margin on the Nemo, Manta Ray and Nautilus pipelines in which we have an equity ownership. For the remainder of 2002, we expect quarterly earnings from these investments to approach historical levels. We anticipate our Acadian Gas business to be stable for the remainder of the year with normal margins.

Our propylene fractionation facilities should operate at near capacity for the remainder of 2002. We believe that margins will improve in the second quarter of 2002 due to strong export demand. Propylene fractionation margins for the third and fourth quarter should approximate those seen in the first quarter of 2002. Propylene fractionation volumes are expected to average near 65 MBPD for the second, third and fourth quarters of 2002.

The isomerization business is expected to be stable for the remainder of 2002 with volumes averaging approximately 75 MBPD. Likewise, margin and volume attributable to NGL fractionation services for the second quarter of 2002 is expected to be consistent with that seen in the first quarter of 2002. We expect no significant changes in the third and fourth quarters of 2002 as well.

Equity earnings from BEF during the second quarter of 2002 are expected to improve relative to the first quarter of 2002 as demand for MTBE strengthens as refiners begin purchasing MTBE in preparation for gasoline blending requirements of the upcoming summer driving season. Our forecast of equity earnings from BEF for the third and fourth quarters of 2002 are expected to be seasonally lower than those seen in the first and expected in the second quarters, but are expected to be similar to the levels experienced historically.

PAGE 48

## Our liquidity and capital resources

As noted at the beginning of Item 2, since the Operating Partnership owns substantially all of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s consolidated assets and conducts substantially all of its business and operations, the following discussion of liquidity and capital resources constitutes combined (or consolidated) information for the two registrants. References to equity securities in this discussion pertain to the Units issued by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. References to public debt pertain to those obligations issued by Enterprise Products Operating L.P.

General. Our primary cash requirements, in addition to normal operating expenses and debt service, are for capital expenditures (both sustaining and expansion-related), business acquisitions and distributions to partners. We expect to fund our short-term needs for such items as operating expenses, sustaining capital expenditures and quarterly distributions to partners with operating cash flows. Capital expenditures for long-term needs resulting from internal growth projects and business acquisitions are expected to be funded by a variety of sources including (either separately or in combination) cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under bank credit facilities and the issuance of additional Common Units and public debt. Our debt service requirements are expected to be funded by operating cash flows and/or refinancing arrangements.

Operating cash flows primarily reflect the effects of net income adjusted for depreciation and amortization, equity income and cash distributions from unconsolidated affiliates, fluctuations in fair values of financial instruments and changes in operating accounts. The net effect of changes in operating accounts is generally the result of timing of sales and purchases near the end of each period. Cash flows from operations are directly linked to earnings from our business activities. Like our results of operations, these cash flows are exposed to certain risks including fluctuations in NGL and energy prices, competitive practices in the midstream energy industry and the impact of operational and systems risks. The products that we process, sell or transport are principally used as feedstocks in petrochemical manufacturing and in the production of motor gasoline and as fuel for residential and commercial heating. Reduced demand for our products or services by industrial customers, whether because of general economic conditions, reduced demand for the end products made with NGL products, increased competition from petroleum-based products due to pricing differences or other reasons, could have a negative impact on earnings and thus the availability of cash from operating activities. For a more complete discussion of these and other risk factors pertinent to our businesses, see "*Cautionary Statement regarding Forward-Looking information and Risk Factors*".

As noted above, certain of our liquidity and capital resource requirements are met using borrowings under bank credit facilities and/or the issuance of additional Common Units or public debt (separately or in combination). As of March 31, 2002, total borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities was \$400 million of which \$87 million was available at the end of the first quarter of 2002 (we increased the borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities to \$500 million in April 2002). On February 23, 2001, we filed a \$500 million universal shelf registration (the "February 2001 Shelf") covering the issuance of an unspecified amount of equity or debt securities or a combination thereof. For additional information regarding our debt, see the section below labeled "Our debt obligations."

In June 2000, we received approval from our Unitholders to increase by 25,000,000 the number of Common Units available (and unreserved) for general partnership purposes during the Subordination Period. This increase has improved our future financial flexibility in any potential expansion project or business acquisition. After taking into account the Units issued in connection with TNGL acquisition, 27,275,000 Units are available (and unreserved) on a pre-split basis (see "Two-for-one split of Limited Partner Units" below) for general partnership purposes during the Subordination Period which generally extends until the first day of any quarter beginning after June 30, 2003 when certain financial tests have be satisfied. After this period expires, we may prudently issue an unlimited number of Units for general partnership purposes.

If deemed necessary, we believe that additional financing arrangements can be obtained at reasonable terms. Furthermore, we believe that maintenance of our investment grade credit ratings combined with a continued ready access to debt and equity capital at reasonable rates and sufficient trade credit to operate our businesses efficiently provide a solid foundation to meet our long and short-term liquidity and capital resource requirements.

#### PAGE 49

*Credit ratings*. Our current investment grade credit ratings of Baa2 by Moody's Investor Service and BBB by Standard and Poors highlight our financial flexibility. The outlook for both of the ratings is stable. We maintain regular communications with these rating agencies which independently judge our creditworthiness based on a variety of quantitative and qualitative factors. We believe that the maintenance of an investment grade credit rating is important in managing our liquidity and capital resource requirements.

Two-for-one split of Limited Partner Units.On February 27, 2002, we announced that the Board of Directors of the General Partner had approved a two-for-one split for each class of our Units. The partnership Unit split will be accomplished by distributing one additional partnership Unit for each partnership Unit outstanding to holders of record on April 30, 2002. The Units will be distributed on May 15, 2002. All references to number of Units or earnings per Unit contained in this document relate to the pre-split Units, except if indicated otherwise.

Consolidated cash flows for three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to three months ended March 31, 2001

*Operating cash flows.* Cash flows from operating activities were an outflow of \$10.0 million during the first quarter of 2002 compared to an inflow of \$48.7 million during the first quarter of 2001. Excluding changes in operating accounts which are generally the result of timing of sales and purchases near the end of each period, adjusted quarterly cash flow from operating activities would be an inflow of \$38.2 million in 2002 as compared to an inflow of \$41.1million for 2001. Cash flow from operating activities before changes in operating accounts is an important measure of our liquidity. It provides an indication of our success in generating core cash flows from the assets and investments we own. The \$2.9 million decrease in cash flows for the first quarter of 2002 is primarily due to an increase in hedging losses realized between the quarters offset by additional cash flows from acquisitions (Diamond-Koch in January and February of 2002 and Acadian Gas in April 2001).

As noted under "*Our results of operations*" section, we recorded \$45.1 million in net commodity hedging losses for the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$5.6 million in net commodity hedging income for the first quarter of 2001. Of the net hedging loss for the first quarter of 2002, we have realized (or paid out to counterparties) \$16.4 million of this loss. The difference of \$28.7 million represented the change in market value of the overall portfolio between December 31, 2001 and March 31, 2002. At March 31, 2002, the market value of the commodity financial instruments that were outstanding was a payable of \$20.8 million. Due to continued volatility in the marketplace and losses, management elected to effectively exit the strategy underlying the commodity hedging activities and moved to close out these positions in April 2002. Due to the increase in natural gas prices before we effectively closed out all of these instruments. Of the cumulative \$26.1 million in post-first quarter 2002 cash losses, \$15.5 million is expected to be paid out during the second quarter, \$10.1 million in the third quarter and \$0.5 million in the fourth quarter. By comparison, our net hedging income of \$5.6 million recorded in the first quarter of 2001 consisted of \$13.5 million of mark-to-market income on positions that were open at March 31, 2001 offset by \$7.9 million in realized losses.

Investing cash flows. During the first quarter of 2002, we used \$396.5 million in cash to finance investing activities compared to \$137.9 million for the first quarter of 2001. The 2002 period includes \$368.7 million paid to affiliates of Diamond-Koch to acquire their propylene fractionation and NGL and petrochemical storage businesses located in Mont Belvieu, Texas. This amount is subject to certain post-closing adjustments expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2002. The 2001 period includes approximately \$113 million paid to El Paso to acquire equity interests in several Gulf of Mexico natural gas pipeline systems (our Neptune, Starfish and Nemo equity investments).

On a forward-looking basis, the post-closing purchase price adjustment related to Acadian Gas was completed in April 2002. As a result, we paid an additional \$18.0 million to Shell primarily related to working capital items during the second quarter of 2002.

Financing cash flows. Our financing activities generated \$304.4 million in cash inflows during the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$408.2 million during the first quarter of 2001. The first quarter of 2002 includes \$383 million in borrowings under our revolving credit facilities while the first quarter of 2001 reflects \$450 million in proceeds from the issuance of the Senior Notes B. Cash distributions paid to our partners increased quarter-to-quarter primarily due to increases in both the quarterly distribution rate and the number of Units entitled to received distributions.

#### PAGE 50

# Cash requirements for future growth

Acquisitions. We are committed to the long-term growth and viability of the Company. Our strategy involves expansion through business acquisitions and internal growth projects. In recent years, major oil and gas companies have sold non-strategic assets in the midstream natural gas industry in which we operate. We forecast that this trend will continue, and expect independent oil and natural gas companies to consider similar disposal options. Management continues to analyze potential acquisitions, joint venture or similar transactions with businesses that operate in complementary markets and geographic regions. We believe that the Company is well positioned to continue to grow through acquisitions that will expand its platform of assets and through internal growth projects. Our goal for fiscal 2002 is to invest at least \$400 million in such opportunities that will be accretive to our investors.

The funds needed to achieve this goal can be attained through a combination of operating cash flows, debt and/or equity. During January and February 2002, we spent approximately \$367.5 million to acquire hydrocarbon storage and propylene fractionation facilities and related assets from D-K. Of this amount, approximately \$238.5 million was funded by a drawdown on our Multi-Year and 364-Day credit facilities leaving \$161.5 million of unused commitments available under these credit agreements. The increase in

outstanding debt will translate into additional debt service costs during 2002.

Distributions. Another stated goal of management is to increase the distribution rate to our investors by at least 10% annually. At the end of 2001, the annual rate was \$2.50 per Common Unit. We anticipate that operating cash flows will be sufficient in 2002 to increase the rate to at least \$2.68 per Common Unit (on a pre-split basis). On February 27, 2002, we announced an increase in the quarterly distribution from \$0.625 per Common Unit to \$0.67 per Common Unit on a pre-split basis. Based on the number of distribution-bearing Units projected to be outstanding during 2002, we project that this goal will translate into cash distributions increasing by approximately \$46 million over the amounts paid to partners and the minority interest during 2001.

*Capital spending*. At March 31, 2002, we had \$5.9 million in outstanding purchase commitments attributable to capital projects. Of this amount, \$5.8 million is related to the construction of assets that will be recorded as property, plant and equipment and \$0.1 million is associated with capital projects of our unconsolidated affiliates which will be recorded as additional investments.

During the first quarter of 2002, our capital expenditures were \$17.1 million. For the remainder of 2002, we expect our capital spending to approximate \$46.5 million of which \$21.1 million is forecasted for our Pipelines segment. Our unconsolidated affiliates forecast a combined \$31.1 million in capital expenditures during the remainder of 2002 of which we expect our share to be approximately \$10.2 million, the majority of which relate to expansion projects on our Gulf of Mexico natural gas pipeline systems. These outlays will be recorded as additional investments in unconsolidated affiliates.

PAGE 51

#### Our debt obligations

Our debt consisted of the following at:

	March 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Borrowings under:		
Senior Notes A, 8.25% fixed rate, due March 2005	\$350,000	\$350,000
MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed rate, due March 2010	54,000	54,000
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed rate, due February 2011	450,000	450,000
Multi-Year Credit Facility, due November 2005	230,000	
364-Day Credit Facility, due November 2002 (a)	83,000	
Other short-term borrowing due April 2002	50,000	
Total principal amount	1,217,000	854,000
Unamortized balance of increase in fair value related to	1.055	1 050
hedging a portion of fixed-rate debt	1,955	1,653
Less unamortized discount on:	(100)	(117)
\$350 Million Senior Notes	(108)	(117)
\$450 Million Senior Notes	(251)	(258)
Less current maturities of debt	(50,000)	-
Long-term debt	\$1,168,596	\$855,278

(a) Under the terms of this facility, the Operating Partnership has the option to convert this facility into a term loan due November 15, 2003. Management intends to refinance this obligation with a similar obligation at maturity.

At March 31, 2002, we had a total of \$75 million of standby letters of credit capacity under our Multi-Year Credit Facility of which \$18.6 million was outstanding.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. acts as guarantor of certain debt obligations of the Operating Partnership. This parent-subsidiary guaranty provision exists under our Senior Notes, MBFC Loan, Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

In April 2002, we increased the amount that we can borrow under the Multi-Year Credit Facility by \$20 million and the 364-Day Credit Facility by \$80 million, up to an amount not exceeding \$500 million in the aggregate for both facilities. At March 31, 2002, we had borrowed \$313 million under these two facilities; the majority of which was related to the acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation business in February 2002 (see Note 2). In anticipation of the increased borrowing limits under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities, we borrowed \$50 million under a short-term supplemental credit facility that was repaid in late April 2002 with proceeds from the increased availability under the Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facility.

The indentures under which the Senior Notes and the MBFC Loan were issued contain various restrictive covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2001.

In April 2002, certain covenants of our Multi-Year and 364-Day Credit Facilities were amended to allow for increased financial flexibility. The significant changes are as follows (capitalized terms used herein are defined within the credit agreements):

- o We were granted increased flexibility under our Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA ratio for the rolling-four quarter period which ends on September 30, 2002. The maximum ratio allowed by our lenders was temporarily raised to 4.5 to 1.0 from 4.0 to 1.0. This modification was required as a result of the hedging losses we incurred during the first quarter of 2002.
- o In addition, we are allowed to exclude from the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA up to \$50 million in losses resulting from hedging NGLs that utilized natural gas-based financial instruments entered into on or prior to April 24, 2002. This

PAGE 52

exclusion applies to our quarterly Consolidated EBITDA calculations in which the financial impact of such specific instruments were recorded (ending with the calculation for the third quarter of 2003 due to the rolling-four quarter nature of the calculation).

We were in compliance with the covenants of our revolving credit agreements at March 31, 2002.

#### Summary of contractual obligations and material commercial commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and material purchase and other commitments for the period shown (as of March 31, 2002):

2006

or Material Commercial Commitment	Total	2002	through 2005	through 2007	After 2007
Contractual Obligation (expressed in terms of millions of dollars payable per period:)					
Debt obligations Operating leases	\$1,217.0 \$17.3	\$50.0 \$4.0	\$663.0 \$10.1	\$0.6	\$504.0 \$2.6
Capital spending commitments:	\$17.3	\$4.0	210.1	20.0	\$2.0
Property, plant and equipment Investments in unconsolidated	\$5.8	\$5.8			
affiliates	\$0.1	\$0.1			
Other commitments (expressed in terms of millions of dollars potentially payable per period): Letters of Credit under Multi-Year Credit Facility	\$18.6		\$18.6		
Other Material Contractual Obligations (Purchase commitments expressed in terms of minimum volumes under contract per period:) NGLs (MBbls) Natural gas (BBtus)	27,345 138,605	8,064 10,294	18,941 39,716	340 25,595	63,000

Debt obligations reflects amounts due under our Senior Notes A and B, the MBFC Loan and our revolving credit facilities. Of the \$83 million outstanding under the 364-Day Credit Facility, management is evaluating its refinancing alternatives regarding amounts due in November 2002 under the 364-Day Credit Facility. Management intends to refinance this obligation with a similar obligation at maturity.

We lease certain equipment and processing facilities under noncancelable and cancelable operating leases. The amounts shown in the table above represent minimum future rental payments due on such leases with terms in excess of one year. The amounts shown reflect additional operating lease commitments arising from the Diamond-Koch acquisitions in January and February 2002.

Our letters of credit increased from \$2.4 million at December 31, 2001 to \$18.6 million at March 31, 2002 due to letter of credit requirements associated with our purchase of hydrocarbon imports.

# PAGE 53

#### Recent accounting developments

In June 2001, the FASB issued two new pronouncements: SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interest method for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and also applies to all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after June 30, 2001. There are also transition provisions that apply to business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that were accounted for by the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 was effective for our fiscal year that began January 1, 2002 for all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in our consolidated balance sheet at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. We adopted SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002.

At December 31, 2001, our intangible assets were comprised of the values associated with the Shell natural gas processing agreement and the goodwill related to the 1999 MBA acquisition. In accordance with the new standard, we reclassified the goodwill to a separate line item on our consolidated balance sheet apart from the Shell contract. Based upon our initial interpretation of the standard, the Shell natural gas processing agreement will continue to be amortized over its 20-year contract term; however, amortization of the MBA acquisition goodwill will cease due to its indefinite life. Our goodwill will be subject to periodic impairment testing in accordance with SFAS No. 142. For additional information regarding our intangible assets and goodwill including additions to both classes of assets as a result of the Diamond-Koch acquisitions, see Note 6 in our Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Within six months of our adoption of SFAS No. 142 (by June 30, 2002), we will have completed a transitional impairment review to identify if there is an impairment to the December 31, 2001 recorded goodwill or intangible assets of indefinite life using a fair value methodology. Professionals in the business valuation industry will be consulted to validate the assumptions used in such methodologies. Any impairment loss resulting from the transitional impairment test will be recorded as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle for the quarter ended June 30, 2002. Subsequent impairment losses will be reflected in operating income in the Statements of Consolidated Operations. We are continuing to evaluate the complex provisions of SFAS No. 142 and will fully adopt the standard during 2002 within the prescribed time periods.

In addition to SFAS No. 141 and No. 142, the FASB also issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", in June 2001. This statement establishes accounting standards for the recognition and measurement of a liability for an asset retirement obligation and the associated asset retirement cost. This statement is effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2003. We are continuing to evaluate the provisions of this statement. In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and/or disposal of long-lived assets. We adopted this statement effective January 1, 2002 and determined that it did not have any significant effect on our financial statements as of that date.

#### Uncertainties regarding our investment in BEF

We have a 33.3% ownership interest in BEF, which owns a facility currently producing MTBE. MTBE has come under increasing scrutiny by various governmental agencies and environmental groups over the last few years because of allegations that MTBE contaminates water supplies, causes health problems and has not been as beneficial in reducing air pollution as originally contemplated in clean air programs. Certain states, primarily California, have moved to ban or reduce MTBE use due to these concerns. In addition, the U.S. Senate, in April 2002, passed an energy bill that includes a total ban on the use of MTBE, effective in four years. The Senate bill now goes to a conference committee with the U.S. House of Representatives for resolution. The U.S. House of Representatives energy bill, which passed in August 2001, contains no such ban. We can give no assurance as to whether the federal government or individual states will ultimately adopt legislation banning the use of MTBE.

In April 2002, a jury in California found three energy companies liable for polluting Lake Tahoe's drinking water with MTBE. While this decision sets no legal precedent, this was the first time that a jury has defined gasoline containing MTBE to be a "defective product". This decision is expected to be appealed. Although this development has no direct impact on BEF since our customer uses

the MTBE we produce in its northeastern U.S. operations, it does contribute to the overall challenging outlook regarding the long-term viability of domestic MTBE production.

In light of these developments, we and the other two partners of BEF are actively compiling a contingency plan for the BEF facility should MTBE be banned. We are currently leaning towards a possible conversion of the facility from MTBE production to alkylate production. We believe that if MTBE usage is banned or significantly curtailed, the motor gasoline industry would need a substitute additive to maintain octane levels in gasoline and that alkylate would be an attractive substitute. At present, we estimate that our share of the cost to convert the facility can range from \$10 million to \$30 million depending on the type of alkylate process chosen and level of alkylate production desired by the partnership.

# Conversion of Subordinated Units into Common Units

As a result of the Company satisfying certain financial tests, 5,352,468 (or 25%) of EPCO's Subordinated Units converted into Common Units on May 1, 2002. Should the financial criteria continue to be satisfied through the first quarter of 2003, an additional 25% of the Subordinated Units would undergo an early conversion into Common Units on May 1, 2003. The remaining 50% of Subordinated Units would convert on August 1, 2003 should the balance of the conversion requirements be met. Subordinated Units have no voting rights until converted into Common Units. The conversion(s) will have no impact upon our earnings per unit since the Subordinated Units are already included in both the basic and fully diluted calculations.

#### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in commodity prices in our natural gas and NGL businesses and in interest rates with respect to a portion of our debt obligations. We may use financial instruments (i.e., futures, forwards, swaps, options, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics) to mitigate the risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions, primarily in our Processing segment. As a matter of policy, we do not use financial instruments for speculative (or trading) purposes.

For additional information regarding our financial instruments, see Note 12 of the Company's Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Commodity financial instruments

Our Processing and Octane Enhancement segments are directly exposed to commodity price risk through their respective business operations. The prices of natural gas, NGLs and MTBE are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the risks associated with our Processing segment, we may enter into swaps, forwards, commodity futures, options and other commodity financial instruments with similar characteristics that are permitted by contract or business custom to be settled in cash or with another financial instruments with similar characteristics that are permitted by contract or business custom to be settled in cash or with another financial instrument. The primary purpose of these risk management activities (or hedging strategies) is to hedge exposure to price risks associated with natural gas, NGL inventories, firm commitments and certain anticipated transactions. We do not hedge our exposure to the MTBE markets. Also, in our Pipelines segment, we may utilize a limited number of commodity financial instruments to manage the price Acadian Gas charges certain of its customers for natural gas.

We have adopted a commercial policy to manage our exposure to the risks of its natural gas and NGL businesses. The objective of this policy is to assist us in achieving our profitability goals while maintaining a portfolio with an acceptable level of risk, defined as remaining within the position limits established by the General Partner. Under this policy, we enter into risk management transactions to manage price risk, basis risk, physical risk or other risks related to our commodity positions on both a short-term (less than one month) and long-term basis, generally not to exceed 24 months. The General Partner oversees our hedging strategies associated with physical and financial risks (such as those mentioned previously), approves specific activities subject to the policy (including authorized products, instruments and markets) and establishes specific guidelines and procedures for implementing and ensuring compliance with the policy.

## PAGE 55

Our commodity financial instruments may not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under the specific guidelines of SFAS No. 133 because of ineffectiveness. A hedge is normally regarded as effective if, among other things, at inception and throughout the term of the financial instrument, we could expect changes in the fair value of the hedged item to be almost fully offset by the changes in the fair value of the financial instrument. When financial instruments do not qualify as effective hedges under the guidelines of SFAS No. 133, changes in the fair value of these positions are recorded on the balance sheet and in earnings through mark-to-market accounting. The use of mark-to-market accounting for these ineffective instruments results in a degree of non-cash earnings volatility that is dependent upon changes in the underlying commodity prices. Although our financial instruments may from time to time be regarded as ineffective hedges under SFAS No. 133, we continue to view these instruments as hedges (i.e., "ecconomic hedges") inasmuch as this was the intent when such contracts were executed. This characterization is consistent with the actual economic performance of these contracts to date and we expect our economic hedges to continue to mitigate (or offset) commodity price risk in the future. The specific accounting for these contracts, however, is consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 133.

We assess the risk of our commodity financial instrument portfolio using a sensitivity analysis model. The sensitivity analysis performed on this portfolio measures the potential income or loss (e.g., the change in fair value of the portfolio) based upon a hypothetical 10% movement in the underlying quoted market prices of the commodity financial instruments outstanding at the dates noted within the following table. In general, we derive the quoted market prices used in the model from those actively quoted on commodity exchanges (ex. NYMEX) for instruments of similar duration. In those rare instances where prices are not actively quoted, we employ regression analysis techniques possessing strong correlation factors.

The sensitivity analysis model takes into account the following primary factors and assumptions:

- o the current quoted market price of natural gas;
- o the current quoted market price of NGLs;
- o changes in the composition of commodities hedged (i.e., the mix between natural gas and related NGLs);
- o fluctuations in the overall volume of commodities hedged (for both natural gas and related NGL hedges outstanding);
- o market interest rates, which are used in determining the present value; and
- o a liquid market for such financial instruments.

An increase in fair value of the commodity financial instruments (based upon the factors and assumptions noted above) approximates the income that would be recognized if all of the commodity financial instruments were settled at the dates noted within the table. Conversely, a decrease in fair value of the commodity financial instruments would result in the recording of a loss.

The sensitivity analysis model does not include the impact that the same hypothetical price movement would have on the hedged commodity positions to which they relate. Therefore, the impact on the fair value of the commodity financial instruments of a change in commodity prices would be offset by a corresponding gain or loss on the hedged commodity positions, assuming:

- o the commodity financial instruments function effectively as hedges of the underlying risk;
- the commodity financial instruments are not closed out in advance of their expected term; and
   as applicable, anticipated underlying transactions settle as expected.

We routinely review our outstanding financial instruments in light of current market conditions. If market conditions warrant, some financial instruments may be closed out in advance of their contractual settlement dates thus realizing income or loss depending on the specific exposure. When this occurs, we may enter into a new commodity financial instrument to reestablish the economic hedge to which the closed instrument relates.

#### PAGE 56

The following table shows the impact of hypothetical price movements on our commodity financial instrument portfolio at the dates indicated:

# Sensitivity Analysis for Commodity Financial Instruments Portfolio Estimates of Fair Value ("FV") and Earnings Impact ("EI") due to selected changes in quoted market prices at dates selected

Scenario	Resulting classification	At December 31, 2001	At March 31, 2002	At May 2, 2002
-		(in millions	of dollars)	
FV assuming no change in quoted market prices	Asset(Liability)	\$ 5.6	\$ (20.8)	\$ (19.6)
FV assuming 10% increase in quoted market prices EI assuming 10% increase in quoted market prices	Asset(Liability) Income (Loss)	\$ (0.3) \$ (5.9)	\$ (30.7) \$ (9.9)	\$ (19.8) \$ (0.2)
FV assuming 10% decrease in quoted market prices EI assuming 10% decrease in quoted market prices	Asset(Liability) Income (Loss)	\$ 11.4 \$ 5.8	\$ (10.9) \$ 9.9	\$ (19.5) \$ 0.1

As the table shows, the value of our portfolio declined from a \$5.6 million asset at the end of 2001 to a \$20.8 million payable at March 31, 2002. The negative change in value is primarily due to an increase in natural gas prices that occurred at the end of the first quarter of 2002. The vast majority of our hedging transactions over the last year and a half have been based on the historical relationship between natural gas and NGL prices. This type of hedging strategy utilized the forward sale of natural gas at a fixed-price with the expected margin on the settlement of the position offsetting or mitigating changes in the anticipated margins on NGL merchant activities and the value of our equity NGL production. This strategy was successful during periods of falling natural gas prices (as was the case during most of 2001) and we chose to continue this strategy going into 2002 believing that the fundamentals of the natural gas business indicated additional moderation in prices. Unfortunately, the price of natural gas increased, our fixed positions became less and less profitable until we were finally left in a payable position (i.e., in a loss position on these instruments). At March 31, 2002, we recognized a loss from these activities for the first quarter of 2002 of \$45.1 million of which \$16.4 million was already realized. The \$45.1 million loss is treated as an increase in operating costs and expenses in the Statements of Consolidated Operations.

Due to the inherent uncertainty that was controlling the markets, management decided that it was prudent for the Company to exit this strategy completely and did so by late April 2002. By the time that these positions were effectively closed out in late April, the March 31, 2002 market value of \$20.8 million payable increased to \$26.1 million payable. We forecast that the latter will be paid to counterparties as follows during 2002: \$15.5 million in the second quarter, \$10.1 million in the third quarter and \$0.5 million in the fourth quarter. The value of the portfolio at May 2, 2002 was \$19.6 million payable. A movement in market prices at this date has very little impact on the value of the portfolio because most of the portfolio has been effectively closed as noted above.

As a result of the loss recognized in the first quarter of 2002, management has elected to take a more traditional approach to hedging activities for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that the hedging strategies used by our natural gas processing and related NGL merchant activities (over the short-term) will be limited to those deemed prudent in managing the cost of natural gas consumed as a feedstock in these operations. A variety of factors influence whether or not our hedging strategies are successful.

# Interest rate swaps

Our interest rate exposure results from variable-rate borrowings from commercial banks and fixed-rate borrowings pursuant to the Company's Senior Notes and MBFC Loan. We manage a portion of our exposure to changes in interest rates by utilizing interest rate swaps. The objective of holding interest rate swaps is to manage debt service costs by converting a portion of fixed-rate debt into

PAGE 57

variable-rate debt or a portion of variable-rate debt into fixed-rate debt. An interest rate swap, in general, requires one party to pay a fixed-rate on the notional amount while the other party pays a floating-rate based on the notional amount.

The General Partner oversees the strategies associated with financial risks and approves instruments that are appropriate for our requirements. At March 31, 2002, we had one interest rate swap outstanding having a notional amount of \$54 million extending through March 2010. Under this agreement, we exchanged a fixed-rate of 8.70% for a market-based variable-rate. If it elects to do so, the counterparty may terminate this swap in March 2003.

We recognized income of \$0.1 million during the first quarter of 2002 from our interest rate swaps that is treated as a reduction of interest expense in our Statements of Consolidated Operations. The fair value of the interest rate swap at March 31, 2002 was a receivable of \$2.4 million. This fair value would decrease to \$2.3 million if quoted market interest rates were to increase by 10%.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION. Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

#### (a) Exhibit.

- 2.1 Purchase and Sale Agreement between Coral Energy, LLC and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated as of September 22, 2000. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2000).
- 2.2 Purchase and Sale Agreement dated as of January 16, 2002 by and between Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. and Enterprise Products Texas Operating L.P. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002).
- 2.3 Purchase and Sale Agreement dated as of January 31, 2002 by and between D-K Diamond-Koch, L.L.C., Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. as Sellers, and Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Buyer. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002).

- 3.1 Form of Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement of Form S-1/A, File No. 333-52537, filed on July 21, 1998).
- 3.2 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. dated September 17, 1999. (The Company incorporates by reference the above document included as Exhibit "D" to the Schedule 13D filed September 27, 1999 by Tejas Energy, LLC).
- 3.3 First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enterprise Products GP, LLC dated September 17, 1999. (Exhibit 99.8 on the Company's Form 8-K/A-1 filed October 27, 1999).
- 3.4 Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. dated June 9, 2000. (Exhibit 3.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed August 11, 2000).
- 4.1 Form of Common Unit certificate. (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, File No. 333-52537, filed on July 21, 1998).
- 4.2 Unitholder Rights Agreement among Tejas Energy LLC, Tejas Midstream Enterprises, LLC, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products Operating L.P., Enterprise Products Company, Enterprise Products GP, LLC and EPC Partners II, Inc. dated September 17, 1999. (The Company incorporates by reference the above document included as Exhibit "C" to the Schedule 13D filed September 27, 1999 by Tejas Energy, LLC).

PAGE 58

- 4.3 Contribution Agreement by and among Tejas Energy LLC, Tejas Midstream Enterprises, LLC, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products Operating L.P., Enterprise Products Company, Enterprise Products GP, LLC and EPC Partners II, Inc. dated September 17, 1999. (The Company incorporates by reference the above document included as Exhibit "B" to the Schedule 13 D filed September 27, 1999 by Tejas Energy, LLC).
- 4.4 Registration Rights Agreement between Tejas Energy LLC and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. dated September 17, 1999. (The Company incorporates by reference the above document included as Exhibit "E" to the Schedule 13 D filed September 27, 1999 by Tejas Energy, LLC).
- 4.5 Form of Indenture dated as of March 15, 2000, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and First Union National Bank, as Trustee. (Exhibit 4.1 on the Company's Form 8-K filed March 10, 2000).
- 4.6 Form of Global Note representing \$350 million principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes due 2005 (the "Senior Notes A"). (Exhibit 4.2 on the Company's Form 8-K filed March 10, 2000).
- 4.7 \$250 million Multi-Year Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Multi-Year Credit Facility") among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., First Union National Bank, as administrative agent; Bank One, NA, as documentation agent; and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as syndication agent and the Several Banks from time to time parties thereto dated November 17, 2000. (Exhibit 4.2 on the Company's Form 8-K filed January 25, 2001).
- 4.8 \$150 Million 364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement (the "364-Day Credit Facility") among Enterprise Products Operating L.P. and First Union National Bank, as administrative agent; Bank One, NA, as documentation agent; and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as syndication agent and the Several Banks from time to time parties thereto dated November 17, 2000. (Exhibit 4.3 on the Company's Form 8-K filed January 25, 2001).
- 4.9 Guaranty Agreement (relating to the Multi-Year Credit Facility) by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of First Union National Bank, as administrative agent dated November 17, 2000. (Exhibit 4.4 on the Company's Form 8-K filed January 25, 2001).
- 4.10 Guaranty Agreement (relating to the 364-Day Credit Facility) by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of First Union National Bank, as administrative agent dated November 17, 2000. (Exhibit 4.5 on the Company's Form 8-K filed January 25, 2001).
- 4.11 Form of Global Note representing \$450 million principal amount of 7.50% Senior Notes due 2011 (the "Senior Notes B"). (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed January 25, 2001).
- 4.12 First Amendment to Multi-Year Credit Facility dated April 19, 2001. (Exhibit 4.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed May 14, 2001).
- 4.13 First Amendment to 364-Day Credit Facility dated November 6, 2001, effective as of November 16, 2001. (Exhibit 4.13 to the Company's Form 10-K filed March 21, 2002).
- 4.14\* Second Amendment to Multi-Year Credit Facility dated April 24, 2002.
- 4.15\* Second Amendment to 364-Day Credit Facility dated April 24, 2002.
- \* An asterisk indicates that an exhibit is filed in conjunction with this report. All other documents are incorporated by reference as indicated in their descriptions.

No material contracts were entered into during the first quarter of 2002.

## PAGE 59

## (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

On February 8, 2002, we filed a Form 8-K describing the acquisition of Diamond-Koch's propylene fractionation and NGL and petrochemical storage businesses located in Mont Belvieu, Texas.

On February 28, 2002, we filed a Form 8-K notifying our investors that the General Partner had approved a two-for-one split of the Company's Units on May 15, 2002 (to unitholders of record on April 30, 2002). In addition, we stated that the distribution rate for the first quarter of 2002 was increased from \$0.625 per Common Unit to \$0.67 per Common Unit.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston, State of Texas on May 14, 2002.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (A Delaware Limited Partnership) Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (A Delaware Limited Partnership)

By: Enterprise Products GP, LLC as General Partner for both registrants

/s/ Michael J. Knesek

Vice President, Controller and Principal Accounting Officer

Date: May 14, 2002

<u>SECOND AMENDMENT AND SUPPLEMENT</u> <u>TO CREDIT AGREEMENT</u> (Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility)

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT AND SUPPLEMENT TO CREDIT AGREEMENT (this "<u>Second Amendment</u>") is made and entered into as of the 24th day of April, 2002 (the "<u>Second Amendment Effective Date</u>"), among ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("<u>Borrower</u>"); WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as administrative agent (in such capacity, the "<u>Administrative Agent</u>") for each of the lenders (the "<u>Lender</u>s") that is a signatory or which becomes a signatory to the hereinafter defined Credit Agreement; and the Lenders party hereto.

## <u>RECITALS</u>:

A. On November 17, 2000, the Borrower, the Lenders and the Administrative Agent entered into a certain Credit Agreement (as amended by First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated April 19, 2001, the "<u>Credit Agreement</u>") whereby, upon the terms and conditions therein stated, the Lenders agreed to make certain Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) and extend certain credit to the Borrower.

B. Pursuant to Section 2.01(b) of the Credit Agreement (i) the Borrower has, as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, added Royal Bank of Canada as an additional Lender under the Credit Agreement (the "Additional Lender"), and (ii) certain of the existing Lenders have, as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, increased their respective Commitments (as defined in the Credit Agreement), thereby increasing the total Commitments of the Lenders by an aggregate amount equal to \$20,000,000 making the aggregate amount of the Lenders' Commitments \$270,000,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the Borrower, the Lenders party hereto and the Administrative Agent hereby agree as follows:

1. Certain Definitions.

1.1 <u>Terms Defined Above</u>. As used in this Second Amendment, the terms "Additional Lender", ..."Administrative Agent", "Borrower", "Credit Agreement", "Second Amendment" and "Second Amendment Effective Date", shall have the meanings indicated above.

1.2 <u>Terms Defined in Agreement</u>. Unless otherwise defined herein, all terms beginning with a capital letter which are defined in the Credit Agreement shall have the same meanings herein as therein unless the context hereof otherwise requires.

#### PAGE 1

2. Amendments to Credit Agreement.

2.1 <u>Defined Terms</u>. The following terms defined in Section 1.02 of the Credit Agreement are hereby amended as follows:

(a) The term "Agreement" is hereby amended to mean the Credit Agreement, as amended and supplemented by this Second Amendment and as the same may from time to time be further amended or supplemented.

(b) The term "Commitment" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"<u>Commitment</u>" means, with respect to each Lender, the commitment of such Lender to make Revolving Loans and to acquire participations in Letters of Credit and Swingline Loans hereunder, expressed as an amount representing the maximum aggregate amount of such Lender's Revolving Credit Exposure hereunder, as such commitment may be (a) reduced from time to time pursuant to Section 2.09 and (b) reduced or increased from time to time pursuant to Section 2.01 or assignments by or to such Lender pursuant to Section 9.04. The amount of each Lender's Commitment as of the Second Amendment Effective Date is set forth on Schedule 2.01 to the Second Amendment, or in the Assignment and Acceptance pursuant to which such Lender shall have assumed its Commitment, as applicable. The aggregate amount of the Lenders' Commitments as of the Second Amendment Effective Date is \$270,000,000.

(c) The term "Consolidated EBITDA" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Consolidated EBITDA" means for any period, the sum of (a) the consolidated net income of the Borrower and its consolidated Subsidiaries (excluding Project Finance Subsidiaries) for such period plus, to the extent deducted in determining consolidated net income for such period, the aggregate amount of (i) Consolidated Interest Expense, (ii) income tax expense and (iii) depreciation and amortization expense plus (b) the amount of cash dividends actually received during such period by the Borrower or a Subsidiary (other than a Project Finance Subsidiary) from a Project Finance Subsidiary plus (c) the amount of all payments during such period on leases of the type referred to in clause (d) of the definition herein of Indebtedness and the amount of all payments during such period under other off-balance sheet loans and financings of the type referred to in such clause (d); <u>provided</u>, however, for any four fiscal quarter period in which a fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2002 is included, up to \$50,000,000 in losses resulting from hedging natural gas

# PAGE 2

liquids utilizing natural gas financial instruments entered into on or prior to the Second Amendment Effective Date, shall be excluded from the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA for such four fiscal quarter period.

(d) The term "Lenders" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Lenders" means the Persons listed on Schedule 2.01 to the Second Amendment and any other Person that shall have become a party hereto pursuant to an Assignment and Acceptance or pursuant to Section 2.01(b), other than any such Person that ceases to be a party hereto pursuant to an Assignment and Acceptance or pursuant to Section 2.01(c). Unless the context otherwise requires, the term "Lenders" includes the Swingline Lender. 2.2 <u>Additional Defined Term</u>. Section 1.02 of the Credit Agreement is hereby further amended and supplemented by adding the following new definition, which reads in its entirety as follows:

"<u>Second Amendment</u>" shall mean that certain Second Amendment and Supplement to Credit Agreement dated as of April 24, 2002, among the Borrower, the Lenders party thereto and the Administrative Agent."

2.3 <u>Schedule 2.01 - Commitments</u>. Schedule 2.01 attached to the Credit Agreement is hereby replaced and superseded by Schedule 2.01 attached to this Second Amendment. From and after the Second Amendment Effective Date, each Lender's Commitment shall be as set forth on Schedule 2.01 attached hereto.

2.4 <u>Ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA</u>. Section 6.07(b) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"(b) <u>Ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA</u>. The Borrower shall not permit its ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA for the four full fiscal quarters most recently ended to exceed 4.00 to 1.0 as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of the Borrower; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, for the four fiscal quarter period ending September 30, 2002, the Borrower's ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA shall not exceed 4.50 to 1.0 as of September 30, 2002. For purposes of calculating such ratio, the Project Finance Subsidiaries shall be disregarded. For purposes of this Section 6.07(b), if during any period of four fiscal quarters the Borrower or any Subsidiary acquires any Person (or any interest in any Person) or all or substantially all of the assets of any Person, the EBITDA attributable to such assets or an amount equal to the percentage of ownership of the Borrower in such Person times the EBITDA of such Person, for such period determined on a pro forma basis (which determination, in each case, shall be subject to approval of the Administrative Agent, not to be unreasonably withheld) may be included as Consolidated EBITDA for such period; <u>provided that</u> during the portion of such period that follows such acquisition, the computation in respect of the EBITDA of such Person or such assets, as the case may be, shall be made on the basis of actual (rather than pro forma) results."

# PAGE 3

3. <u>Conditions Precedent</u>. In addition to all other applicable conditions precedent contained in the Credit Agreement, the obligation of the Lenders party hereto (including the Additional Lender) and the Administrative Agent to enter into this Second Amendment shall be conditioned upon the following conditions precedent:

(a) The Administrative Agent shall have received a copy of this Second Amendment, duly completed and executed by the Borrower;

(b) To the extent requested by the Additional Lender or by the Lenders party hereto whose Commitment will increase as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, duly executed Notes payable to the order of such Lender reflecting such Lender's Commitment; and

(c) The Administrative Agent shall have received such other information, documents or instruments as it or its counsel may reasonably request.

4. <u>Representations and Warranties</u>. The Borrower represents and warrants that:

(a) there exists no Default or Event of Default, or any condition or act which constitutes, or with notice or lapse of time or both would constitute, an Event of Default under the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented;

(b) the Borrower has performed and complied with all covenants, agreements and conditions contained in the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented, required to be performed or complied with by it; and

(c) the representations and warranties of the Borrower contained in the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented, were true and correct when made, and are true and correct in all material respects at and as of the time of delivery of this Second Amendment.

5. <u>Extent of Amendments</u>. Except as expressly herein set forth, all of the terms, conditions, defined terms, covenants, representations, warranties and all other provisions of the Credit Agreement are herein ratified and confirmed and shall remain in full force and effect.

6. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Second Amendment may be executed in two or more counterparts, and it shall not be necessary that the signatures of all parties hereto be contained on any one counterpart hereof; each counterpart shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and same instrument.

7. <u>References</u>. On and after the Second Amendment Effective Date, the terms "Agreement", "hereof", "herein", "hereunder", and terms of like import when used in the Credit Agreement shall, except where the context otherwise requires, refer to the Credit Agreement, as amended and supplemented by this Second Amendment.

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT, THE CREDIT AGREEMENT, AS AMENDED HEREBY, THE NOTES AND THE OTHER LOAN DOCUMENTS REPRESENT THE FINAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES AND MAY NOT BE CONTRADICTED BY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR,

## PAGE 4

#### CONTEMPORANEOUS, OR SUBSEQUENT ORAL AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES.

# THERE ARE NO UNWRITTEN ORAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES.

This Second Amendment shall benefit and bind the parties hereto, as well as their respective assigns, successors, heirs and legal representatives.

BORROWER:

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P.

By: Enterprise Products GP, LLC , General Partner

By:	<u>/s/ W. Randall Fowler</u>
Name:	W. Randall Fowler
Title:	Vice President and Treasurer

# LENDERS AND AGENTS:

WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (formerly known as First Union National Bank), Individually and as Administrative Agent

By: <u>/s/ Russell Clingman</u> Name: Russell Clingman Title: Director

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, successor-in-interest to The Chase Manhattan Bank, Individually and as Syndication Agent

By:	
Name:	
Title:	

BANK ONE, NA (Main Office - Chicago), Individually and as Documentation Agent

By: <u>/s/ Dianne L. Russell</u> Name: Dianne L. Russell Title: Director

THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA

By: <u>/s/ A. S. Norsworthy</u> Name: A.S. Norsworthy Title: Senior Manager

MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, Ltd., Individually and as Managing Agent

By: <u>/s/ Jacques Azagury</u> Name: Jacques Azagury Title: Senior Vice President and Manager

NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, A.C.N. 004044937, Individually and as Managing Agent

By:	
Name:	
Title:	

FLEET NATIONAL BANK, Individually and as Managing Agent

By: <u>/s/ Christopher c. Holmgren</u> Name: Christopher C. Holmgren Title: Managing Director

WESTDEUTSCHE LANDESBANK GIRONZENTRALE, NEW YORK BRANCH, Individually and as Co-Agent

By:	
Name:	
Title:	

TORONTO DOMINION (TEXAS), INC., Individually and As Managing Agent

By:	<u>/s/ Debbie A. Greene</u>
Name:	Debbie A. Greene
Title:	Vice President

# GUARANTY BANK

By:	/s/ Jim R. Hamilton
Name:	James R. Hamilton
Title:	Senior Vice President

HIBERNIA NATIONAL BANK

By:	<u>/s/ Nancy G. Moragas</u>
Name:	Nancy G. Moragas
Title:	Vice President

ROYAL BANK OF CANADA

By: <u>/s/ Tom J. Oberaigner</u> Name: Tom J. Oberaigner Title: Senior Manager

BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI, LTD., HOUSTON AGENCY, Individually and as Co-Agent

By:	<u>/s/ K. Glasscock</u>
Name:	K. Glasscock
Title:	VP and Manager

SUNTRUST BANK, Individually and as Co-Agent

By:	<u>/s/ David J. Edge</u>
Name:	David J. Edge
Title:	Director

CITIBANK, N.A.

By:	<u>/s/ Douglas A. Whiddon</u>
Name:	Douglas A. Whiddon
Title:	Attorney-In-Fact

Houston:845511\_4.DOC

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND RATIFICATION OF GUARANTOR

The undersigned ("Guarantor") hereby expressly (i) acknowledges the terms of the foregoing Second Amendment and Supplement to Credit Agreement; (ii) ratifies and affirms its obligations under its Guaranty Agreement dated as of November 17, 2000, in favor of the Administrative Agent; (iii) acknowledges, renews and extends its continued liability under said Guaranty Agreement and Guarantor hereby agrees that its Guaranty Agreement remains in full force and effect; and (iv) guarantees to the Administrative Agent the prompt payment when due of all amounts owing or to be owing by it under its Guaranty Agreement pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof, as modified hereby.

The foregoing acknowledgment and ratification of the undersigned Guarantor shall be evidenced by signing the spaces provided below, to be effective as of Second Amendment Effective Date.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P., a Delaware limited partnership

By: Enterprise Products GP, LLC, General Partner

By: <u>/w/ W. Randall Fowler</u> Name: W. Randall Fowler Title: Vice President and Treasurer

-1-

# SCHEDULE 2.01

# **COMMITMENTS**

JPMorgan Chase Bank	\$ 23,125,000
Bank One, NA (Main Office - Chicago)	\$ 23,125,000
National Australia Bank Limited	\$ 21,250,000
Toronto Dominion (Texas), Inc.	\$ 21,250,000
Fleet National Bank	\$ 21,250,000
Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.	\$ 21,250,000
Royal Bank of Canada	\$ 20,000,000
Bank of Tokyo - Mitsubishi, Ltd., Houston Agency	\$ 15,625,000
SunTrust Bank	\$ 15,625,000
Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale,	\$ 15,625,000
New York Branch	
Guaranty Bank	\$ 12,500,000
Citibank NA	\$ 12,500,000
The Bank of Nova Scotia	\$ 12,500,000
Hibernia National Bank	\$ 9,375,000
TOTAL	\$270,000,000

#### TO CREDIT AGREEMENT (364-Day Credit Facility)

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT AND SUPPLEMENT TO CREDIT AGREEMENT (this "<u>Second Amendment</u>") is made and entered into as of the 24th day of April, 2002 (the "<u>Second Amendment Effective Date</u>"), among ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("<u>Borrower</u>"); WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as administrative agent (in such capacity, the "<u>Administrative Agent</u>") for each of the lenders (the "<u>Lenders</u>") that is a signatory or which becomes a signatory to the hereinafter defined Credit Agreement; and the Lenders party hereto.

# <u>RECITALS</u>:

A. On November 17, 2000, the Borrower, the Lenders and the Administrative Agent entered into a certain Credit Agreement (as amended and supplemented by First Amendment and Supplement to Credit Agreement dated November 6, 2001, effective as of November 16, 2001, the "<u>Credit Agreement</u>") whereby, upon the terms and conditions therein stated, the Lenders agreed to make certain Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) and extend certain credit to the Borrower.

B. Pursuant to Section 2.01(b) of the Credit Agreement (i) the Borrower has, as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, added Royal Bank of Canada as an additional Lender under the Credit Agreement (the "Additional Lender"), and (ii) certain of the existing Lenders have, as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, increased their respective Commitments (as defined in the Credit Agreement), thereby increasing the total Commitments of the Lenders by an aggregate amount equal to \$80,000,000 making the aggregate amount of the Lenders' Commitments \$230,000,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the Borrower, the Lenders party hereto and the Administrative Agent hereby agree as follows:

1. Certain Definitions.

1.1 <u>Terms Defined Above</u>. As used in this Second Amendment, the terms "Additional Lender", "Administrative Agent", "Borrower", "Credit Agreement", "Second Amendment" and "Second Amendment Effective Date", shall have the meanings indicated above.

1.2 <u>Terms Defined in Agreement</u>. Unless otherwise defined herein, all terms beginning with a capital letter which are defined in the Credit Agreement shall have the same meanings herein as therein unless the context hereof otherwise requires.

2. Amendments to Credit Agreement.

2.1 <u>Defined Terms</u>. The following terms defined in Section 1.02 of the Credit Agreement are hereby amended as follows:

PAGE 1

(a) The term "Agreement" is hereby amended to mean the Credit Agreement, as amended and supplemented by this Second Amendment and as the same may from time to time be further amended or supplemented.

(b) The term "Commitment" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Commitment" means, with respect to each Lender, the commitment of such Lender to make Loans hereunder, expressed as an amount representing the maximum aggregate amount of such Lender's Exposure hereunder, as such commitment may be (a) reduced from time to time pursuant to Section 2.09 and (b) reduced or increased from time to time pursuant to Section 2.01 or assignments by or to such Lender pursuant to Section 9.04. The amount of each Lender's Commitment as of the Second Amendment Effective Date is set forth on Schedule 2.01 to the Second Amendment, or in the Assignment and Acceptance pursuant to which such Lender shall have assumed its Commitment, as applicable. The aggregate amount of the Lenders' Commitments as of the Second Amendment Effective Date is \$230,000,000.

(c) The term "Consolidated EBITDA" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Consolidated EBITDA" means for any period, the sum of (a) the consolidated net income of the Borrower and its consolidated Subsidiaries (excluding Project Finance Subsidiaries) for such period plus, to the extent deducted in determining consolidated net income for such period, the aggregate amount of (i) Consolidated Interest Expense, (ii) income tax expense and (iii) depreciation and amortization expense plus (b) the amount of cash dividends actually received during such period by the Borrower or a Subsidiary (other than a Project Finance Subsidiary) from a Project Finance Subsidiary plus (c) the amount of all payments during such period on leases of the type referred to in clause (d) of the definition herein of Indebtedness and the amount of all payments during such period under other off-balance sheet loans and financings of the type referred to in such clause (d); <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, for any four fiscal quarter period in which a fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2002 is included, up to \$50,000,000 in losses resulting from hedging natural gas liquids utilizing natural gas financial instruments entered into on or prior to the Second Amendment Effective Date shall be excluded from the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA for such four fiscal quarter period.

PAGE 2

(d) The term "Lenders" is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"Lenders" means the Persons listed on Schedule 2.01 to the Second Amendment and any other Person that shall have become a party hereto pursuant to an Assignment and Acceptance or pursuant to Section 2.01(b), other than any such Person that ceases to be a party hereto pursuant to an Assignment and Acceptance or pursuant to Section 2.01(c). 2.2 <u>Additional Defined Term</u>. Section 1.02 of the Credit Agreement is hereby further amended and supplemented by adding the following new definition, which reads in its entirety as follows:

"<u>Second Amendment</u>" shall mean that certain Second Amendment and Supplement to Credit Agreement dated as of April 24, 2002, among the Borrower, the Lenders party thereto and the Administrative Agent.

2.3 <u>Schedule 2.01 - Commitments</u>. Schedule 2.01 attached to the Credit Agreement is hereby replaced and superseded by Schedule 2.01 attached to this Second Amendment. From and after the Second Amendment Effective Date, each Lender's Commitment shall be as set forth on Schedule 2.01 attached hereto.

2.4 <u>Ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA</u>. Section 6.07(b) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"(b) <u>Ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA</u>. The Borrower shall not permit its ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA for the four full fiscal quarters most recently ended to exceed 4.00 to 1.0 as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of the Borrower; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, for the four fiscal quarter period ending September 30, 2002, the Borrower's ratio of Consolidated Indebtedness to Consolidated EBITDA shall not exceed 4.50 to 1.0 as of September 30, 2002. For purposes of calculating such ratio, the Project Finance Subsidiaries shall be disregarded. For purposes of this Section 6.07(b), if during any period of four fiscal quarters the Borrower or any Subsidiary acquires any Person (or any interest in any Person) or all or substantially all of the assets of any Person, the EBITDA attributable to such assets or an amount equal to the percentage of ownership of the Borrower in such Person times the EBITDA of such Person, for such period determined on a pro forma basis (which determination, in each case, shall be subject to approval of the Administrative Agent, not to be unreasonably withheld) may be included as Consolidated EBITDA for such period; <u>provided that</u> during the portion of such period that follows such acquisition, the computation in respect of the EBITDA of such Person or such assets, as the case may be, shall be made on the basis of actual (rather than pro forma) results."

3. <u>Conditions Precedent</u>. In addition to all other applicable conditions precedent contained in the Credit Agreement, the obligation of the Lenders party hereto (including the Additional Lender) and the Administrative Agent to enter into this Second Amendment shall be conditioned upon the following conditions precedent:

(a) The Administrative Agent shall have received a copy of this Second Amendment, duly completed and executed by the Borrower;

# PAGE 3

(b) To the extent requested by the Additional Lender or by the Lenders party hereto whose Commitment will increase as of the Second Amendment Effective Date, duly executed Notes payable to the order of such Lender reflecting such Lender's Commitment; and

(c) The Administrative Agent shall have received such other information, documents or instruments as it or its counsel may reasonably request.

4. <u>Representations and Warranties</u>. The Borrower represents and warrants that:

(a) there exists no Default or Event of Default, or any condition or act which constitutes, or with notice or lapse of time or both would constitute, an Event of Default under the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented;

(b) the Borrower has performed and complied with all covenants, agreements and conditions contained in the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented, required to be performed or complied with by it; and

(c) the representations and warranties of the Borrower contained in the Credit Agreement, as hereby amended and supplemented, were true and correct when made, and are true and correct in all material respects at and as of the time of delivery of this Second Amendment.

5. <u>Extent of Amendments</u>. Except as expressly herein set forth, all of the terms, conditions, defined terms, covenants, representations, warranties and all other provisions of the Credit Agreement are herein ratified and confirmed and shall remain in full force and effect.

6. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Second Amendment may be executed in two or more counterparts, and it shall not be necessary that the signatures of all parties hereto be contained on any one counterpart hereof; each counterpart shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and same instrument.

7. <u>References</u>. On and after the Second Amendment Effective Date, the terms "Agreement", "hereof", "herein", "hereunder", and terms of like import when used in the Credit Agreement shall, except where the context otherwise requires, refer to the Credit Agreement, as amended and supplemented by this Second Amendment.

# PAGE 4

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT, THE CREDIT AGREEMENT, AS AMENDED HEREBY, THE NOTES AND THE OTHER LOAN DOCUMENTS REPRESENT THE FINAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES AND MAY NOT BE CONTRADICTED BY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR, CONTEMPORANEOUS, OR SUBSEQUENT ORAL AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES.

#### THERE ARE NO UNWRITTEN ORAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES.

This Second Amendment shall benefit and bind the parties hereto, as well as their respective assigns, successors, heirs and legal representatives.

# BORROWER:

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING L.P.

By: Enterprise Products GP, LLC , General Partner

By:	<u>/s/ W. Randall Fowler</u>
Name:	W. Randall Fowler
Title:	Vice President and Treasurer

# LENDERS AND AGENTS:

WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (formerly known as First Union National Bank), Individually and as Administrative Agent

By: <u>/s/ Russell Clingman</u> Name: Russell Clingman Title: Director

BANK ONE, NA (Main Office - Chicago), Individually and as Co-Syndication Agent

By: <u>/s/ Dianne L. Russell</u> Name: Dianne L. Russell Title: Director

THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA, Individually and as Co-Syndication  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Agent}}$ 

By: <u>/s/ A.S. Norsworthy</u> Name: A.S. Norsworthy Title: Senior Manager

MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, Ltd., Individually and as Managing Agent

By: <u>/s/ Jacques Azagury</u> Name: Jacques Azagury Title: Senior vice President and Manager

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FLEET}}$  NATIONAL BANK, Individually and as Co-Documentation Agent

By: <u>/s/ Christopher C. Holmgren</u> Name: Christopher Holmgren Title: Managing Director

WESTDEUTSCHE LANDESBANK GIRONZENTRALE, NEW YORK BRANCH, Individually and as Co-Documentation Agent

TORONTO DOMINION (TEXAS), INC.

By: <u>/s/ Debbie A. Greene</u> Name: Debbie A. Greene Title: Vice President

GUARANTY BANK

By:	/s/ Jim R. Hamilton
Name:	James R. Hamilton
Title:	Senior Vice President

HIBERNIA NATIONAL BANK

Name:	Nancy G. Moragas
Title:	Vice President

ROYAL BANK OF CANADA

By:	/s/ Tor	<u>n J. Oberaigner</u>
Name:	Tom J.	Oberaigner
Title:	Senior	Manger

BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI, LTD., HOUSTON AGENCY

By:	<u>/s/ K. Glasscock</u>	<
Name:	K. Glasscock	
Title:	VP and Manger	

SUNTRUST BANK, Individually and as Managing Agent

By: <u>/s/ David J. Edge</u> Name: David J. Edge Title: Director

CITIBANK, N.A.

By:	<u>/s/ Douglas A. Whiddon</u>
Name:	Douglas A. Whiddon
Title:	Attorney-In-Fact

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND RATIFICATION OF GUARANTOR

The undersigned ("Guarantor") hereby expressly (i) acknowledges the terms of the foregoing Second Amendment and Supplement to Credit Agreement; (ii) ratifies and affirms its obligations under its Guaranty Agreement dated as of November 17, 2000, in favor of the Administrative Agent; (iii) acknowledges, renews and extends its continued liability under said Guaranty Agreement and Guarantor hereby agrees that its Guaranty Agreement remains in full force and effect; and (iv) guarantees to the Administrative Agent the prompt payment when due of all amounts owing or to be owing by it under its Guaranty Agreement pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof, as modified hereby.

The foregoing acknowledgment and ratification of the undersigned Guarantor shall be evidenced by signing the spaces provided below, to be effective as of Second Amendment Effective Date.

ENTERPRISE	PRODUCTS	PARTNERS	L.P.,	а	Delaware	limited
partnership						

By: Enterprise Products GP, LLC, General Partner

By:	<u>/s/ W. Randall Fowler</u>
Name:	W. Randall Fowler
Title:	Vice President and Treasurer

# SCHEDULE 2.01

# **COMMITMENTS**

Lender Wachovia Bank, National Association	<u>Commitment</u> \$ 27,625,000
Bank One, NA (Main Office - Chicago)	\$ 25,000,000
Toronto Dominion (Texas), Inc.	\$ 12,500,000
Fleet National Bank	\$ 26,750,000
Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.	\$ 15,000,000
Bank of Tokyo - Mitsubishi, Ltd., Houston Agency	\$ 10,000,000
SunTrust Bank	\$ 23,500,000
Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale,	\$ 15,000,000
New York Branch	
Guaranty Bank	\$7,500,000
Citibank NA	\$ 16,500,000
The Bank of Nova Scotia	\$ 25,000,000
Hibernia National Bank	\$ 5,625,000

Royal Bank of Canada

TOTAL

\$ 20,000,000
\$230,000,000