# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  $\checkmark$ **ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE 0 **ACT OF 1934** 

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_

Commission file number: 1-32610

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

**Delaware** 

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

Large accelerated filer o

13-4297064

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Non-accelerated filer  $\square$ 

1100 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

(713) 381-6500

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

2727 North Loop West Houston, Texas 77008-1044

(Former Address)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☑ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No ☑

There were 88,884,116 units of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. outstanding at August 4, 2006. These units trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "EPE."

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page No.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.	
Item 1. Financial Statements. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	2
<u>Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income</u>	3
Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive income  Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows	4
Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows  Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Partners' Equity	5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	J
1. Partnership Organization and Basis of Financial Statement Presentation	6
2. General Accounting Policies and Related Matters	6 9
3. Accounting for Equity Awards	12
4. Financial Instruments	16
	17
5. Inventories	
6. Property, Plant and Equipment	18
7. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Affiliates	19
8. Business Acquisitions	20
9. Intangible Assets and Goodwill	21
10. Debt Obligations	22
11. Partners' Equity	24
12. Business Segments	25
13. Related Party Transactions	29
14. Earnings per Unit	31
15. Commitments and Contingencies	32
16. Significant Risks and Uncertainties – Weather-Related Risks	34
17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information	35
18. Condensed Financial Information of Operating Partnership	35
19. Subsequent Events	37
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	39
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.	66
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.	68
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.	69
Item 1A. Risk Factors.	69
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	69
Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities.	69
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	70
Item 5. Other Information.	70
Item 6. Exhibits.	70
<u>Signatures</u>	73
Section 302 Certification	
Section 302 Certification	
Section 1350 Certification	
Section 1350 Certification	

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

Item 1. Financial Statements.

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in thousands)

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,887	\$ 42,650
Restricted cash	21,655	14,952
Accounts and notes receivable — trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$20,121 at		
June 30, 2006 and \$25,849 at December 31, 2005	1,324,611	1,448,026
Accounts receivable — related parties	12,228	3,077
Inventories	451,237	339,606
Prepaid and other current assets	169,626	120,308
Total current assets	2,004,244	1,968,619
Property, plant and equipment, net	9,018,275	8,689,024
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	464,605	471,921
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$205,055 at June 30, 2006 and \$163,121 at		
December 31, 2005	909,323	913,626
Goodwill	493,995	494,033
Deferred tax asset	3,444	3,606
Other assets	150,613	47,359
Total assets	\$13,044,499	\$12,588,188
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable — trade	\$ 265,123	\$ 266,771
Accounts payable — related parties	37,655	24,310
Accrued gas payables	1,392,239	1,372,837
Accrued expenses	30,160	30,294
Accrued interest	70,426	71,286
Other current liabilities	188,471	127,473
Total current liabilities	1,984,074	1,892,971
Long-term debt	4,967,901	4,968,280
Other long-term liabilities	131,315	84,594
Minority interest	5,260,137	4,927,037
Commitments and contingencies		
Partners' equity		
Limited partner units (88,884,116 units outstanding)	690,145	696,223
General partner	10	11
Accumulated other comprehensive income	10,917	19,072
Total partners' equity	701,072	715,306
Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$13,044,499	\$12,588,188

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. UNAUDITED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			For the Six Months Ended June 30,				
		2006	suite 50,	2005		2006	suite 50,	2005
REVENUES								
Third parties	\$3,	,404,419	\$2	,590,820	\$6	,564,418	\$5	,088,149
Related parties		113,434		80,948		203,509		139,141
Total	3,	,517,853	2	,671,768	6	,767,927	5	,227,290
COST AND EXPENSES								
Operating costs and expenses								
Third parties	3,	,244,576	2	,461,244	6	,189,796	4	,779,317
Related parties		79,009		68,889		180,652		134,460
Total operating costs and expenses	3,	,323,585	2	,530,133	6	,370,448	4	,913,777
General and administrative costs								
Third parties		6,827		7,763		10,190		13,241
Related parties		10,972		11,119		22,170		20,794
Total general and administrative costs		17,799		18,882		32,360		34,035
Total costs and expenses	3,	,341,384	2	,549,015	6	,402,808	4	,947,812
EQUITY IN INCOME OF UNCONSOLIDATED								
AFFILIATES		8,012		2,581		12,041		10,860
OPERATING INCOME		184,481		125,334		377,160		290,338
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)								
Interest expense		(58,643)		(56,746)		(118,786)		(110,159)
Interest expense – related parties		(55,5 .5)		(5,689)		(110,700)		(11,328)
Other, net		3,406		1,258		5,389		2,182
Other expense		(55,237)		(61,177)		(113,397)		(119,305)
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES,		(55,257)		(01,1//)		(110,007)		(110,000)
MINORITY INTEREST AND CHANGE IN								
ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE		129,244		64,157		263,763		171,033
Provision for income taxes		(6,271)		1,034		(9,163)		(735)
INCOME BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST AND	_	( , ,				(,,,		( )
CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE		122,973		65,191		254,600		170,298
Minority interest		(100,340)		(54,424)		(209,708)		(149,996)
INCOME BEFORE CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING		( )		(- ) )		(,,		( - ) )
PRINCIPLE		22,633		10,767		44,892		20,302
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (see Note 3)		,,,,,				96		,
NET INCOME	\$	22,633	\$	10,767	\$	44,988	\$	20,302
Cash flow financing hedges (see Note 4)	<b>.</b>	1,638	Ψ	10,7 07	Ψ	1,638	Ψ	_0,50_
Amortization of cash flow financing hedges		(1,052)		(1,006)		(2,093)		(2,001)
Change in fair value of commodity hedges		(7,951)				(7,700)		(1,434)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	15,268	\$	9.761	\$	36,833	\$	16,867
				-,				,
ALLOCATION OF NET INCOME:								
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$	22,631	\$	10,766	\$	44,984	\$	20,300
-								
General partner interest in net income	\$	2	\$	1	\$	4	\$	2
EARNINGS PER UNIT: (see Note 14)								
Basic income per unit	\$	0.25	\$	0.14	\$	0.51	\$	0.27
Diluted income per unit	\$	0.25	\$	0.14	\$	0.51	\$	0.27

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. UNAUDITED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 44,988	\$ 20,302	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided from operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion in operating costs and expenses	212,768	201,013	
Depreciation and amortization in general and administrative costs	3,820	3,536	
Amortization in interest expense	657	(370)	
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(12,041)	(10,860)	
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates	20,348	38,908	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(96)		
Operating lease expense paid by EPCO, Inc.	1,056	1,056	
Minority interest	209,708	149,996	
Gain on sale of assets	(197)	(5,353)	
Deferred income tax expense	9,179	3,875	
Changes in fair market value of financial instruments	(53)	111	
Net effect of changes in operating accounts (see Note 17)	71,007	(296,194)	
Net cash provided from operating activities	561,144	106,020	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Capital expenditures	(575,419)	(435,769)	
Contributions in aid of construction costs	34,941	27,032	
Proceeds from sale of assets	256	42,267	
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	(6,703)	13,130	
Cash used for business combinations and asset purchases	(38,100)	(181,079)	
Acquisition of intangible asset		(1,750)	
Advances to Jonah affiliate (see Note 13)	(97,767)		
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(14,115)	(80,650)	
Advances to unconsolidated affiliates	7,120	(1,130)	
Return of investment of unconsolidated affiliate		47,500	
Cash used in investing activities	(689,787)	(570,449)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		·	
Borrowings under debt agreements	1,458,500	2,612,345	
Repayments of debt	(1,413,500)	(2,342,748)	
Debt issuance costs	(1,018)	(8,286)	
Distributions paid to partners	(51,113)	(11,779)	
Distributions paid to minority interests	(345,413)	(315,240)	
Contributions from minority interests	463,424	538,264	
Cash provided by financing activities	110,880	472,556	
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(17,763)	8,127	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, JANUARY 1	42,650	25,006	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, JUNE 30	\$ 24,887	\$ 33,133	

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. UNAUDITED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED PARTNERS' EQUITY (Dollars in thousands)

			Accumulated Other	
	Limited Partners	General Partner	Comprehensive Income	Total
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$696,223	\$11	\$19,072	\$715,306
Net income	44,984	4		44,988
Distributions to partners	(51,108)	(5)		(51,113)
Operating leases paid by EPCO, Inc.	56			56
Amortization of equity-related awards	40			40
Change in fair value of financial instruments			(7,700)	(7,700)
Interest rate hedging financial instruments recorded as cash flow				
hedges:				
- Change in fair value			1,638	1,638
- Amortization of gain as component of interest expense			(2,093)	(2,093)
Change in accounting method for equity awards	(50)			(50)
Balance, June 30, 2006	\$690,145	\$10	\$10,917	\$701,072

# ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Partnership Organization and Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

#### Significant Relationships referenced in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our" or "Enterprise GP Holdings L.P." are intended to mean and include the business and operations of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., the parent company, as well as its consolidated subsidiaries, which include Enterprise Products GP, LLC and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

References to "the parent company" are intended to mean and include Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., individually as the parent company, and not on a consolidated basis.

References to "EPE Holdings" mean EPE Holdings, LLC, which is the general partner of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

References to "Enterprise Products Partners" mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

References to "Enterprise Products GP" mean Enterprise Products GP, LLC, which is the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

References to "EPCO" mean EPCO, Inc., which is a related party affiliate to all of the foregoing named entities.

References to "*TEPPCO*" mean TEPPCO Partners, L.P., a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, which is an affiliate of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. References to "*TEPPCO GP*" refer to the general partner of TEPPCO, which is wholly owned by a private company subsidiary of EPCO.

#### Partnership organization and formation

Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the ticker symbol "EPE." Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. was formed in April 2005 and completed its initial public offering in August 2005.

Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. is the owner of Enterprise Products GP, which is the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners. The primary business purpose of Enterprise Products GP is to manage the affairs and operations of Enterprise Products Partners, which is a North American energy company that provides a wide range of services to producers and consumers of natural gas, natural gas liquids ("NGLs"), crude oil and certain petrochemicals. Enterprise Products Partners is an industry leader in the development of pipeline and other midstream energy infrastructure in the continental United States and Gulf of Mexico. Enterprise Products Partners conducts substantially all of its business through a wholly owned subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (the "Operating Partnership").

Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. is owned 99.99% by its limited partners and 0.01% by EPE Holdings, its general partner. EPE Holdings is a wholly owned subsidiary of Dan Duncan LLC, the membership interests of which are owned by Dan L. Duncan. Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., EPE Holdings, Dan Duncan LLC, Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners are affiliates and under common control of Dan L. Duncan, the Chairman and controlling shareholder of EPCO. Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and Enterprise Products GP have no independent operations outside those of Enterprise Products Partners.

#### Basis of presentation of consolidated financial statements

The historical consolidated financial statements of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 reflect the consolidated financial statements of Enterprise Products GP, which has been deemed our predecessor company. Our predecessor's financial information is presented on substantially the same basis that our consolidated results of operations and financial condition have been presented since the contribution of net assets to us from EPCO in August 2005.

Since the parent company owns the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners, it controls the activities of Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners. The parent company consolidates the financial information of these subsidiaries with that of its own. We refer to the consolidated group of entities as Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

Aside from minority interest-related amounts (see Note 2), debt and interest expense recognized in connection with the parent company's borrowings, our consolidated financial statements do not differ materially from those of Enterprise Products Partners.

Our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of results expected for the full year.

Except per unit amounts, or as noted within the context of each footnote disclosure, dollar amounts presented in the tabular data within these footnote disclosures are stated in thousands of dollars.

In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals necessary for fair presentation. Although we believe our disclosures in these financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"). These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (Commission File No. 1-32610).

#### Parent company financial information

The parent company has no separate operating activities apart from those conducted by the Operating Partnership. The principal sources of cash flow for the parent company are its investments in limited partner and general partner interests of Enterprise Products Partners. The parent company's primary cash requirements are for general and administrative expenses, debt service requirements and distributions to its partners. The parent company's assets and liabilities are not available to satisfy the debts and other obligations of Enterprise Products Partners.

In order to fully understand the financial condition and results of operations of the parent company, we are providing the financial information of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. apart from that of our consolidated partnership information included within this Item 1.

The following table presents the parent company's balance sheets at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
Current assets	\$ 1,602	\$ 608
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates (1)	835,914	834,837
Other assets	508	
Total assets	\$838,024	\$835,445
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities	\$ 1,369	\$ 4,704
Long Term debt (2)	146,500	134,500
Partners' equity	690,155	696,241
Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$838,024	\$835,445

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the parent company's equity-method investments in Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners. These parent company investments are eliminated in the process of consolidating the financial statements of the parent company with those of Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners.

The following table presents the parent company's income statements for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates (1)	\$25,342	\$50,450
General and administrative costs	(411)	(1,129)
Operating income	24,931	49,321
Other income (expense)		
Interest expense (2)	(2,311)	(4,377)
Interest income	13	26
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	22,633	44,970
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		18
Net income	\$22,633	\$44,988

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the parent company's earnings from its equity-method investments in Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents borrowings outstanding under the parent company's credit facility. For additional information regarding the parent company's debt obligation, see Note 10.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents interest expense associated with the parent company's credit facility.

The following table shows the parent company's statement of cash flow for the six months ended June 30, 2006:

Operating activities	
Net income	\$ 44,988
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities:	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(18)
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(50,450)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (1)	59,178
Amortization of debt issue costs	170
Amortization of equity related awards	15
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	(5,007)
Cash provided by operating activities	48,876
Investing activities	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates (2)	(8,891)
Cash used in investing activities	(8,891)
Financing activities	
Net borrowings under debt agreements (2)	12,000
Debt issuance costs	(1,018)
Distributions paid to partners (3)	(51,113)
Cash used in financing activities	(40,131)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(146)
Cash and cash equivalents, at formation	508
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 362

- (1) Represents distributions received by the parent company from its equity-method investments in Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners.
- (2) In March 2006, the parent company borrowed \$8.6 million under its credit facility to fund a capital contribution to Enterprise Products GP to maintain Enterprise Products GP's 2% general partner interest in Enterprise Products Partners.
- (3) Represents distributions paid to partners in February 2006 (with respect to the fourth quarter of 2005) and May 2006 (with respect to the first quarter of 2006).

# 2. General Accounting Policies and Related Matters

#### Use of estimates

In accordance with GAAP, we use estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during each reporting period. Our actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### New accounting pronouncements

Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 04-13, "Accounting for Purchases and Sale of Inventory With the Same Counterparty." This accounting guidance requires that two or more inventory transactions with the same counterparty should be viewed as a single nonmonetary transaction, if the transactions were entered into in contemplation of one another. Exchanges of inventory between entities in the same line of business should be accounted for at fair value or recorded at carrying amounts, depending on the classification of such inventory. This guidance was effective April 1, 2006, and our adoption of this guidance had no impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

EITF 06-3, "How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation)." This accounting guidance requires companies to disclose their policy regarding the presentation of tax receipts on the face of their income statements. This guidance specifically applies to taxes imposed by governmental

authorities on revenue-producing transactions between sellers and customers (gross receipts taxes are excluded). This guidance is effective January 1, 2007. As a matter of policy, we report such taxes on a net basis.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments." This accounting standard amends SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, amends SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, and resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue D1, Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests to Securitized Financial Assets. A hybrid financial instrument is one that embodies both an embedded derivative and a host contract. For certain hybrid financial instruments, SFAS 133 requires an embedded derivative instrument be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a separate derivative instrument. SFAS 155 amends SFAS 133 to provide a fair value measurement alternative for certain hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that would otherwise be recognized as a derivative separately from the host contract. For hybrid financial instruments within its scope, SFAS 155 allows the holder of the instrument to make a one-time, irrevocable election to initially and subsequently measure the instrument in its entirety at fair value instead of separately accounting for the embedded derivative and host contract. We are evaluating the effect of this recent guidance, which is effective January 1, 2007 for our partnership.

#### Change in accounting principle

In January 2006, we adopted the provisions of SFAS 123(R), "Share-Based Payment." Upon adoption of this accounting standard, we recognized a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of \$1.5 million (a benefit), of which \$1.4 million is included as a component of minority interest expense since the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners (other than the parent company) were allocated their share of this benefit. For additional information regarding our adoption of SFAS 123(R), see Note 3.

#### Accounting for employee benefit plans

Dixie Pipeline Company ("Dixie"), a consolidated subsidiary, directly employs the personnel operating its pipeline system. Certain of these employees are eligible to participate in Dixie's defined contribution plan and pension and postretirement benefit plans. Due to the immaterial nature of Dixie's employee benefit plans to our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows, our discussion is limited to the following:

<u>Defined contribution plan.</u> Dixie contributed \$0.1 million to its company-sponsored defined contribution plan during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. During the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, Dixie contributed \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million to its company-sponsored defined contribution plan, respectively.

<u>Pension and postretirement benefit plans.</u> Dixie's net pension benefit costs were \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, Dixie's net pension benefit costs were \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. Dixie's net postretirement benefit costs were \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, Dixie's net postretirement benefit costs were \$0.1 million. During the remainder of 2006, Dixie expects to contribute approximately \$0.2 million to its postretirement benefit plan and between \$2 million and \$4.4 million to its pension plan.

#### Minority interest

Minority interest represents third-party and related party ownership interests in the net assets of certain of our subsidiaries. For financial reporting purposes, the assets and liabilities of our majority owned subsidiaries are consolidated with those of the parent company, with any third-party investor's ownership in our consolidated balance sheet amounts shown as minority interest. The following table presents the components of minority interest at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners:		
Third-party owners of Enterprise Products Partners (1)	\$4,760,571	\$4,403,490
Related party owners of Enterprise Products Partners (2)	378,822	420,378
Joint venture partners (3)	120,744	103,169
Total minority interest on consolidated balance sheet	\$5,260,137	\$4,927,037

- (1) Consist of non-affiliate public unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners
- (2) Consist of unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners that are related party affiliates of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. This group is primarily comprised of affiliates of EPCO.
- (3) Represents third-party ownership interests in our majority-owned consolidated subsidiaries such as Seminole Pipeline Company ("Seminole").

  The following table presents the components of minority interest expense for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Third-party owners of Enterprise Products GP				\$ (92)	
Limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners	\$ 99,802	\$54,044	\$206,972	147,763	
Joint venture partners	538	380	2,736	2,325	
Total	\$100,340	\$54,424	\$209,708	\$149,996	

The following table presents distributions paid to and contributions received from the major classes of minority interest holders during the periods indicated:

		ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005
Distributions paid to minority interests:		
Limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners	\$341,282	\$311,086
Joint venture partners	4,131	4,154
Total	\$345,413	\$315,240
Contributions from minority interests:		
Limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners	\$444,406	\$514,700
Joint venture partners	19,018	23,564
Total	\$463,424	\$538,264

Distributions paid to the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners primarily represent the quarterly cash distributions paid by Enterprise Products Partners (excluding limited partner interests owned by the parent company). Contributions from the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners primarily represent proceeds Enterprise Products Partners received from its common unit offerings (other than related cash receipts from the parent company).

#### Provision for income taxes

Prior to the second quarter of 2006, our provision for income taxes related to federal income tax and state franchise and income tax obligations of Seminole and Dixie, which are both corporations and represented our only consolidated subsidiaries that were historically subject to such income taxes. In May 2006, the State of Texas enacted a new business tax (the "Texas Margin Tax") that replaced the existing state franchise tax. In general, legal entities that do business in Texas are subject to the Texas Margin Tax. Limited partnerships, limited liability companies, corporations, limited liability partnerships and joint ventures are examples of the types of entities that are subject to the Texas Margin Tax. As a result of the change in tax law, our tax status in the State of Texas changed from nontaxable to taxable. The tax is considered an income tax for purposes of adjustments to deferred tax liability as the tax is determined by applying a tax rate to a base that considers both revenues and expenses. The Texas Margin Tax becomes effective for margin tax reports due on or after January 1, 2008. The Texas Margin Tax due in 2008 will be based on revenues earned during the 2007 fiscal year.

The Texas Margin Tax is assessed at 1% of Texas-sourced taxable margin. The taxable margin is the lesser of (1) 70% of total revenue or (2) total revenue less (a) cost of goods sold or (b) compensation and benefits. Our deferred tax liability, which is a component of other long-term liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, reflects the net tax effects of temporary differences related to items such as property, plant and equipment. Therefore, the deferred tax liability is noncurrent. We have calculated and recorded an estimated deferred tax liability of approximately \$6.1 million for the Texas Margin Tax. The non-cash offsetting charge of \$6.1 million is shown on our unaudited condensed statements of consolidated operations and comprehensive income as a component of provision for income taxes for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006.

#### 3. Accounting for Equity Awards

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R) to account for equity awards. Prior to our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for equity awards using the intrinsic value method described in Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." SFAS 123(R) requires us to recognize compensation expense related to equity awards based on the fair value of the award at the grant date. The fair value of an equity award is estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Under SFAS 123(R), the fair value of an award is amortized to earnings on a straight-line basis over the requisite service or vesting period.

Upon our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we recognized a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of \$1.5 million (a benefit), of which \$1.4 million is included as a component of minority interest expense since the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners (other than the parent company) were allocated their share of this benefit. The cumulative effect adjustment is based on SFAS 123(R)'s requirement to recognize compensation expense based upon the grant date fair value of an equity award and the application of an estimated forfeiture rate to unvested awards. In addition, previously recognized deferred compensation expense of \$14.6 million related to Enterprise Products Partners' nonvested (or "restricted") common units was reversed on January 1, 2006. At June 30, 2006, our equity awards related solely to Enterprise Products Partners. The parent company has not issued any such awards.

Prior to our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we did not recognize any compensation expense related to unit options of Enterprise Products Partners; however, compensation expense was recognized in connection with awards granted by EPE Unit L.P. (the "Employee Partnership") and the issuance of nonvested units of Enterprise Products Partners. The effects of applying SFAS 123(R) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 did not have a material effect on our net income or basic and diluted earnings per unit.

Since we adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, we have not restated the financial statements of prior periods to reflect this new standard. The following table shows the pro forma effects on our earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as if the fair value method of SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" had been used instead of the intrinsic-value method of APB 25. The only equity awards outstanding during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were unit options and nonvested units.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
Reported net income	\$10,767	\$20,302
Additional unit option-based compensation expense estimated using fair value-based method	(177)	(354)
Pro forma net income	\$10,590	\$19,948
Basic and diluted earnings per unit:		
As reported and pro forma	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.27

#### **Unit options**

Under EPCO's 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1998 Plan"), non-qualified incentive options to purchase a fixed number of Enterprise Products Partners' common units may be granted to EPCO's key employees who perform management, administrative or operational functions for us. When issued, the exercise price of each option grant is equivalent to the market price of the underlying equity on the date of grant. In general, options granted under the 1998 Plan have a vesting period of four years and remain exercisable for ten years from the date of grant.

In order to fund its obligations under the 1998 Plan, EPCO purchases common units at fair value either in the open market or directly from Enterprise Products Partners. When employees exercise unit options, we reimburse EPCO for our allocable share of the cash difference between the strike price paid by the employee and the actual purchase price paid by EPCO for the units issued to the employee.

The fair value of each option to purchase Enterprise Products Partners' common units is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates various assumptions including (i) an expected life of the options of seven years, (ii) risk-free interest rates ranging from 3.1% to 6.4%, (iii) an expected distribution yield on common units of Enterprise Products Partners ranging from 5.3% to 10%, and (iv) expected unit price volatility on Enterprise Products Partners' common units ranging from 20% to 30%. In general, our assumption of expected life represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding based on an analysis of historical option activity. Our selection of the risk-free interest rate is based on published yields for U.S. government securities with comparable terms. The expected distribution yield and unit price volatility for Enterprise Products Partners' units is estimated based on several factors, which include an analysis of our historical unit price volatility and distribution yield over a period equal to the expected life of the option.

The information in the following table shows unit option activity under the 1998 Plan.

_	Number of Units	Weighted- average strike price	Weighted- average remaining contractual term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	2,082,000	\$22.16		
Granted	590,000	\$24.85		
Exercised	(63,000)	\$14.75		
Forfeited	(45,000)	\$24.28		
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	2,564,000	\$22.92	7.91	\$3,594
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	714,000	\$19.87	5.35	\$3,594

<sup>(1)</sup> Aggregate intrinsic value reflects fully vested unit options of Enterprise Products Partners at June 30, 2006.

The total intrinsic value of Enterprise Products Partners' unit options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. We recognized \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million of compensation expense associated with unit options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

As of June 30, 2006, there was an estimated \$1.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested unit options granted under the 1998 Plan to EPCO employees who work on our behalf. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we received cash of \$1.6 million from the exercise of unit options, and our option-related reimbursements to EPCO were \$0.7 million.

#### Nonvested units

Under the 1998 Plan, Enterprise Products Partners may issue nonvested (or, "restricted") common units to key employees of EPCO and directors of Enterprise Products GP. The 1998 Plan provides for the issuance of 3,000,000 restricted common units of Enterprise Products Partners, of which 1,933,088 remain authorized for issuance at June 30, 2006.

In general, Enterprise Products Partners' restricted unit awards allow recipients to acquire the underlying common units (at no cost to the recipient) once a defined vesting period expires, subject to certain forfeiture provisions. The restrictions on such nonvested units generally lapse four years from the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The fair value of such restricted units is based on (i) the market price of the underlying common units on the date of grant and (ii) an allowance for forfeitures.

The following table summarizes information regarding Enterprise Products Partners' restricted units for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

	Number of Units	Weighted- average grant date fair value
Restricted units at December 31, 2005	751,604	\$24.49
Granted	400,400	\$24.85
Vested	(39,711)	\$23.91
Forfeited	(37,276)	\$24.14
Restricted units at June 30, 2006	1,075,017	\$24.66

The total fair value of Enterprise Products Partners' restricted units that vested during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recognized \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million of compensation expense, respectively, associated with Enterprise Products Partners' nonvested units.

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$13.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested units issued to EPCO employees that work on our behalf. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.1 years.

#### **Employee Partnership**

In connection with the initial public offering of the parent company in August 2005, the Employee Partnership was formed to serve as an incentive arrangement for certain employees of EPCO through a "profits interest" in the Employee Partnership. At inception, the Employee Partnership used \$51 million in contributions it received from an affiliate of EPCO (which was admitted as the Class A limited partner of the Employee Partnership as a result of such contribution) to purchase 1,821,428 units of the parent company in August 2005. Certain EPCO employees, including all of EPE Holdings' and Enterprise Products GP's executive officers other than Dan L. Duncan, have been issued Class B limited partner interests without any capital contribution and admitted as Class B limited partners of the Employee Partnership.

As described in its partnership agreement, the Employee Partnership will be liquidated upon the earlier of (i) August 2010 or (ii) a change in control of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. or its general partner, EPE Holdings. Upon liquidation of the Employee Partnership, units having a fair market value equal to the Class A limited partner's capital base will be distributed to the Class A limited partner, plus any Class A preferred return for the quarter in which liquidation occurs. Any remaining units will be distributed to the Class B limited partners as a residual profits interest in the Employee Partnership as an award.

Prior to our adoption of SFAS 123(R), the estimated value of the profits interest was accounted for in a manner similar to a stock appreciation right. Upon our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we began recognizing compensation expense based upon the estimated grant date fair value of the Class B partnership equity awards.

The fair value of the Class B partnership equity awards was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates various assumptions including (i) an expected life of the awards of five years; (ii) a risk-free interest rate of 4.1%; (iii) an expected dividend yield on units of Enterprise GP Holdings of 3%; and (iv) an expected Enterprise GP Holdings unit price volatility of 30%. In general, the methodology we followed to estimate the fair value of the Class B partnership equity awards is similar to that used to estimate the fair value of Enterprise Products Partners' unit options.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recognized \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million of compensation expense, respectively, associated with such profits interests. As of June 30, 2006, there was \$10.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the profits interests, of which we estimate our allocable share to be \$9.8 million. That cost is expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis through the third quarter of 2010.

#### Parent company's long-term incentive plan

The parent company can issue 250,000 of its units in connection with a long-term incentive plan of EPCO (the "2005 Plan"). No awards were issued under the 2005 Plan as of June 30, 2006.

#### 4. Financial Instruments

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in commodity prices and interest rates. We may use financial instruments (i.e., futures, forwards, swaps, options and other financial instruments with similar characteristics) to mitigate the risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions. In general, the type of risks we attempt to hedge are those related to (i) the variability of future earnings, (ii) fair values of certain debt instruments and (iii) cash flows resulting from changes in certain interest rates or commodity prices. As a matter of policy, we do not use financial instruments for speculative (or "trading") purposes.

#### **Interest Rate Risk Hedging Program**

Our interest rate exposure results from variable and fixed interest rate borrowings under various debt agreements. We manage a portion of our interest rate exposures by utilizing interest rate swaps and similar arrangements, which allow us to convert a portion of fixed rate debt into variable rate debt or a portion of variable rate debt into fixed rate debt.

*Fair value hedges – Interest rate swaps*. As summarized in the following table, we had eleven interest rate swap agreements outstanding at June 30, 2006 that were accounted for as fair value hedges.

Hedged Fixed Rate Debt	Number Of Swaps	Period Covered by Swap	Termination Date of Swap	Fixed to Variable Rate (1)	Notional Amount
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed rate, due Feb. 2011	1	Jan. 2004 to Feb. 2011	Feb. 2011	7.50% to 8.15%	\$50 million
Senior Notes C, 6.375% fixed rate, due Feb. 2013	2	Jan. 2004 to Feb. 2013	Feb. 2013	6.375% to 6.69%	\$200 million
Senior Notes G, 5.6% fixed rate, due Oct. 2014	6	4th Qtr. 2004 to Oct. 2014	Oct. 2014	5.6% to 6.14%	\$600 million
Senior Notes K, 4.95% fixed rate, due June 2010	2	Aug. 2005 to June 2010	June 2010	4.95% to 5.73%	\$200 million

<sup>1)</sup> The variable rate indicated is the all-in variable rate for the current settlement period.

The total fair value of these eleven interest rate swaps at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, was a liability of \$64.9 million and \$19.2 million, respectively, with an offsetting decrease in the fair value of the underlying debt. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 reflects a \$1.1 million expense and a \$2.9 million benefit from these swap agreements, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, interest expense reflects a \$0.9 million expense and a \$7.5 million benefit, respectively, from these swap agreements.

<u>Cash flow hedges – Treasury Locks.</u> During the second quarter of 2006, the Operating Partnership entered into a treasury lock transaction having a notional amount of \$250 million. In addition, in July 2006, the Operating Partnership entered into an additional treasury lock transaction having a notional amount of \$50 million. A treasury lock is a specialized agreement that fixes the price (or yield) on a specific treasury security for an established period of time. A treasury lock purchaser is protected from a rise in the yield of the underlying treasury security during the lock period. The Operating Partnership's purpose of entering into these transactions was to hedge the underlying U.S. treasury rate related to its anticipated issuance of subordinated debt. In July 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$300 million in principal amount of its Junior Notes A (see Note 19). Each of the treasury lock transactions was designated as a cash flow hedge under SFAS 133. In July 2006, the Operating Partnership elected to terminate these treasury lock transactions and recognized a minimal gain.

# Commodity Risk Hedging Program

The prices of natural gas, NGLs and petrochemical products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the risks associated with such products, we may enter into commodity financial instruments. The primary purpose of our commodity risk management activities is to hedge our exposure to price risks associated with (i) natural gas purchases, (ii) NGL production and inventories, (iii) related firm commitments, (iv) fluctuations in transportation revenues where the underlying fees are based on natural gas index prices and (v) certain anticipated transactions involving either natural gas, NGLs or certain petrochemical products.

The fair value of our commodity financial instrument portfolio at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was a liability of \$7.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded \$5.7 million and \$5.3 million of expense related to our commodity financial instruments, respectively, which is included in operating costs and expenses on our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income. We recorded nominal amounts of earnings from our commodity financial instruments during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

#### 5. Inventories

The following table shows our inventory amounts at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Working inventory	\$406,169	\$279,237
Forward-sales inventory	45,068	60,369
Inventory	\$451,237	\$339,606

Our regular trade (or "working") inventory is comprised of inventories of natural gas, NGLs, and petrochemical products that are available for sale or used by us in the provision of services. Our forward sales inventory consists of segregated NGL and natural gas volumes dedicated to the fulfillment of forward-sales contracts. Both inventories are valued at the lower of average cost or market.

Costs and expenses, as shown on our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income, include cost of sales related to the sale of inventories. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, such consolidated cost of sales amounts were \$3 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. We recorded \$5.7 billion and \$4.3 billion of such consolidated cost of sales amounts for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Due to fluctuating commodity prices in the NGL, natural gas and petrochemical industry, we recognize lower of cost or market adjustments when the carrying values of our inventories exceed their net realizable value. These non-cash charges are a component of cost of sales in the period they are recognized. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, we recognized \$0.3 million and \$7.4 million, respectively, of lower of cost or market adjustments. We recorded \$12 million and \$17 million of such adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### 6. Property, Plant and Equipment

The following table shows our property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation at the dates indicated:

	Estimated Useful Life in Years	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Plants and pipelines(1)	5-35 <sub>(5)</sub>	\$ 8,489,508	\$8,209,580
Underground and other storage facilities(2)	5-35(6)	552,458	549,923
Platforms and facilities(3)	23-31	161,880	161,807
Transportation equipment <sup>(4)</sup>	3-10	22,245	24,939
Land		38,589	38,757
Construction in progress		1,074,165	854,595
Total		10,338,845	9,839,601
Less accumulated depreciation		1,320,570	1,150,577
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$ 9,018,275	\$8,689,024

<sup>(1)</sup> Plants and pipelines includes processing plants; NGL, petrochemical, oil and natural gas pipelines; terminal loading and unloading facilities; office furniture and equipment; buildings; laboratory and shop equipment; and related assets.

Depreciation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$86.9 million and \$79.2 million, respectively. We recorded \$170.4 million and \$158.1 million of depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Capitalized interest on our construction projects for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$12.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. We recorded \$21.6 million and \$7.6 million of capitalized interest on our construction projects for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup> Underground and other storage facilities includes underground product storage caverns; storage tanks; water wells; and related assets.

<sup>(3)</sup> Platforms and facilities includes offshore platforms and related facilities and other associated assets.

<sup>(4)</sup> Transportation equipment includes vehicles and similar assets used in our operations.

<sup>(5)</sup> In general, the estimated useful lives of major components of this category are: processing plants, 20-35 years; pipelines, 18-35 years (with some equipment at 5 years); terminal facilities, 10-35 years; office furniture and equipment, 3-20 years; buildings 20-35 years; and laboratory and shop equipment, 5-35 years.

<sup>(6)</sup> In general, the estimated useful lives of major components of this category are: underground storage facilities, 20-35 years (with some components at 5 years); storage tanks, 10-35 years; and water wells, 25-35 years (with some components at 5 years).

#### 7. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Affiliates

We own interests in a number of related businesses that are accounted for using the equity method. Our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates are grouped according to the business segment to which they relate. For a general discussion of our business segments, see Note 12. The following table shows our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates at the dates indicated.

	Ownership Percentage at		and advances to ted Affiliates at
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
NGL Pipelines & Services:			
Venice Energy Services Company, LLC ("VESCO")	13.1%	\$ 38,609	\$ 39,689
K/D/S Promix LLC ("Promix")	50%	55,330	65,103
Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC ("BRF")	32.3%	26,096	25,584
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:			
Evangeline(1)	49.5%	4,547	3,151
Coyote Gas Treating, LLC ("Coyote")	50%	1,510	1,493
Offshore Pipelines & Services:			
Poseidon Oil Pipeline Company, L.L.C. ("Poseidon")	36%	62,296	62,918
Cameron Highway Oil Pipeline Company ("Cameron Highway")	50%	62,789	58,207
Deepwater Gateway, L.L.C. ("Deepwater Gateway")	50%	115,628	115,477
Neptune Pipeline Company, L.L.C. ("Neptune")	25.67%	67,405	68,085
Nemo Gathering Company, LLC ("Nemo")	33.92%	10,527	12,157
Petrochemical Services:			
Baton Rouge Propylene Concentrator, LLC ("BRPC")	30%	14,870	15,212
La Porte(2)	50%	4,998	4,845
Total		\$464,605	\$471,921

(1) Refers to our ownership interests in Evangeline Gas Pipeline Company, L.P. and Evangeline Gas Corp., collectively.

(2) Refers to our ownership interests in La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P. and La Porte GP, LLC, collectively.

On occasion, the price we pay to purchase an equity interest in a company exceeds the underlying book capital account we acquire. Such excess cost amounts are included within our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates. At June 30, 2006, our investments in Promix, La Porte, Neptune, Poseidon, Cameron Highway and Nemo included excess cost amounts totaling \$47 million, all of which was attributed to values in excess of the underlying tangible asset values. Amortization of such excess cost amounts was \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, amortization of such amounts was \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

The following table shows our equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates by business segment for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 1,924	\$ 2,837	\$ 3,442	\$ 7,285
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	904	682	1,506	1,262
Offshore Pipelines & Services(1)	4,769	(1,075)	6,703	1,900
Petrochemical Services	415	137	390	413
Total	\$ 8,012	\$ 2,581	\$ 12,041	\$ 10,860

Equity earnings from Cameron Highway for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were reduced by a charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of Cameron Highway's project debt in June 2005. The reduction in equity earnings from Cameron Highway for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, is offset by increases in equity earnings from investments we acquired in connection with the GulfTerra Merger.

#### Summarized financial information of unconsolidated affiliates

The following table presents unaudited income statement data for our current unconsolidated affiliates, aggregated by business segment, for the periods indicated (on a 100% basis).

	Summarized Income Statement Information for the Three Months Ended					
		June 30, 2006				
		Operating	Net		Operating	Net
	Revenues	Income (Loss)	Income (Loss)	Revenues	Income	Income (Loss)
NGL Pipelines & Services(1)	\$ 60,220	\$ (2,238)	\$ (1,785)	\$ 69,382	\$ 14,060	\$ 14,392
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	77,381	2,363	1,722	82,054	4,055	1,251
Offshore Pipelines & Services(2)	39,554	20,166	12,804	37,289	18,886	(10,468)
Petrochemical Services	5,557	1,645	1,665	3,952	720	730

- (1) The decrease in earnings generated by the unconsolidated affiliates within our NGL Pipelines & Services segment is primarily attributable to losses incurred by VESCO due to the effects of Hurricane Katrina.
- (2) Earnings for Cameron Highway for the three months ended June 30, 2005 were reduced by a charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of Cameron Highway's project debt in June 2005.

		Summarized Income Statement Information for the Six Months Ended				
		June 30, 2006				
	Revenues	Operating Income (Loss)	Net Income (Loss)	Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income (Loss)
NGL Pipelines & Services(1)	\$ 80,506	\$ (24,363)	\$ (23,463)	\$139,346	\$ 27,833	\$ 28,431
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	159,723	4,705	2,914	135,048	6,202	2,323
Offshore Pipelines & Services(2)	71,250	31,096	16,484	67,652	33,796	(1,565)
Petrochemical Services	9,425	1,831	1,875	8,047	1,849	1,871

<sup>(1)</sup> The decrease in earnings generated by the unconsolidated affiliates within our NGL Pipelines & Services segment is primarily attributable to losses incurred by VESCO due to the effects of Hurricane Katrina.

#### 8. Business Acquisitions

In March 2006, we paid \$38.1 million to TEPPCO for its Pioneer natural gas processing plant located in Opal, Wyoming and certain natural gas processing rights related to production from the Jonah and Pinedale fields located in the Greater Green River Basin in Wyoming. This acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the cost has been allocated based on estimated preliminary fair values as follows:

Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 469
Intangible assets	37,631
Total assets acquired	38,100
Total consideration given	\$ 38,100

Management developed the fair value estimates underlying this preliminary purchase price allocation using recognized business valuation techniques.

After completing this acquisition, we commenced construction to increase the capacity of the Pioneer natural gas processing plant, and started work on a related cryogenic natural gas processing facility. Upon completion of the cryogenic natural gas processing facility, we will have the required capacity to process natural gas production from the Jonah and Pinedale fields that is expected to be transported to our Wyoming facilities as a result of the contract rights we acquired from TEPPCO. See Note 9 for information regarding the intangible assets recorded in connection with this acquisition.

See Note 19 for subsequent events involving (i) our acquisition of natural gas pipeline assets located in South Texas in July 2006 and (ii) our acquisition of an NGL pipeline from ExxonMobil in August 2006.

<sup>(2)</sup> Earnings for Cameron Highway for the six months ended June 30, 2005 were reduced by a charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of Cameron Highway's project debt in June 2005.

#### 9. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

#### Identifiable Intangible assets

The following table summarizes our intangible assets by segment. Our intangible assets primarily consist of contracts and customer relationships.

	At June 30, 2006			At Decemb	er 31, 2005
Business Segment	Gross Value	Accum. Amort.	Carrying Value	Accum. Amort.	Carrying Value
NGL Pipelines & Services(1)	\$ 392,894	\$ (92,150)	\$300,744	\$ (79,485)	\$275,778
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	457,798	(60,761)	397,037	(43,955)	413,843
Offshore Pipelines & Services	207,012	(43,947)	163,065	(32,480)	174,532
Petrochemical Services	56,674	(8,197)	48,477	(7,201)	49,473
Total	\$1,114,378	\$(205,055)	\$909,323	\$(163,121)	\$913,626

(1) During the first six months of 2006, we recorded an additional \$37.6 million of intangible assets in connection with our acquisition of the Pioneer natural gas processing plant and associated natural gas processing rights. The value we assigned to these processing rights will be amortized to earnings using methods that closely resemble the pattern in which the economic benefits of the underlying natural gas resource bases from which the customers produce are estimated to be consumed or otherwise used. Our estimate of the useful life of each resource base is based on a number of factors, including third-party reserve estimates, the economic viability of production and exploration activities and other industry factors.

The following table shows amortization expense by segment associated with our intangible assets for the periods indicated:

		hree Months June 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 6,304	\$ 7,045	\$ 12,665	\$ 13,472
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	8,348	8,847	16,806	17,820
Offshore Pipelines & Services	5,633	6,488	11,467	13,210
Petrochemical Services	497	508	996	997
Total	\$ 20,782	\$ 22,888	\$ 41,934	\$ 45,499

For the remainder of 2006, amortization expense associated with our intangible assets is currently estimated at \$40.6 million.

#### Goodwill

The following table summarizes our goodwill amounts by segment at the dates indicated. Of the \$494 million of goodwill at June 30, 2006, \$387.1 million was recorded in connection with the merger of GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. ("GulfTerra") with a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise Products Partners in September 2004.

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 54,942	\$ 54,960
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	282,977	282,997
Offshore Pipelines & Services	82,386	82,386
Petrochemical Services	73,690	73,690
Totals	\$493,995	\$494,033

#### 10. Debt Obligations

Our consolidated debt consisted of the following at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Parent Company debt obligations:		
\$200 Million Credit Facility, variable rate, due January 2009(1)	\$ 146,500	\$ 134,500
Operating Partnership debt obligations:		
Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility, variable rate, due October 2011(2)	530,000	490,000
Pascagoula MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed-rate, due March 2010	54,000	54,000
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed-rate, due February 2011	450,000	450,000
Senior Notes C, 6.375% fixed-rate, due February 2013	350,000	350,000
Senior Notes D, 6.875% fixed-rate, due March 2033	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes E, 4.00% fixed-rate, due October 2007	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes F, 4.625% fixed-rate, due October 2009	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes G, 5.60% fixed-rate, due October 2014	650,000	650,000
Senior Notes H, 6.65% fixed-rate, due October 2034	350,000	350,000
Senior Notes I, 5.00% fixed-rate, due March 2015	250,000	250,000
Senior Notes J, 5.75% fixed-rate, due March 2035	250,000	250,000
Senior Notes K, 4.950% fixed-rate, due June 2010	500,000	500,000
Dixie Revolving Credit Facility, variable rate, due June 2007	10,000	17,000
Debt obligations assumed from GulfTerra	5,067	5,067
Total principal amount	5,045,567	5,000,567
Other, including unamortized discounts and premiums and changes in fair value(3)	(77,666)	(32,287)
Long-term debt	\$4,967,901	\$4,968,280
Standby letters of credit outstanding	\$ 46,558	\$ 33,129

- (1) The parent company amended and restated its \$525 Million Credit Facility in January 2006 resulting in a new \$200 Million Credit Facility.
- (2) In June 2006, the Operating Partnership executed a second amendment (the "Second Amendment") to the credit agreement governing its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. The Second Amendment, among other things, extends the maturity date of amounts borrowed under the Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility from October 2010 to October 2011 with respect to \$1.2 billion of the commitments. Borrowings with respect to the remaining \$48 million in commitments mature in October 2010.
- (3) The June 30, 2006 amount includes \$64 million related to fair value hedges and \$13.7 million in net unamortized discounts. The December 31, 2005 amount includes \$18.2 million related to fair value hedges and \$14.1 million in net unamortized discounts.

#### Parent company debt obligation

<u>\$200 Million Credit Facility</u>. In January 2006, the parent company amended and restated its \$525 Million Credit Facility to reflect a new borrowing capacity of \$200 million, which includes a sublimit of \$25 million for letters of credit. Amounts borrowed under the new \$200 Million Credit Facility are due in January 2009. The parent company has secured its borrowings under this credit agreement by a pledge of its limited and general partner ownership interests in Enterprise Products Partners.

Amounts borrowed under this credit agreement bear interest at a variable interest rate selected by the parent company at the time of each borrowing equal to (i) the greater of (a) the prime rate publicly announced by Citibank N.A. or (b) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% or (ii) a Eurodollar rate. Variable interest rates based on either the prime rate or Federal Funds Effective Rate will be increased by an applicable margin ranging from 0% to 0.75%. Variable interest rates based on Eurodollar rates will be increased by an applicable margin ranging from 1% to 1.75%.

The \$200 Million Credit Facility contains various covenants related to the parent company's ability, and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries (excluding Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners), to incur certain indebtedness, grant certain liens, make fundamental structural changes, make distributions following an event of default and enter into certain restricted agreements. The credit agreement also requires the parent company to satisfy certain quarterly financial covenants including (i) its leverage ratio must not exceed 4.5 to 1, except under certain circumstances, and (ii) its minimum net worth must exceed \$525 million.

#### **Enterprise Products Partners-Subsidiary guarantor relationships**

Enterprise Products Partners guarantees the debt obligations of its Operating Partnership, with the exception of the Dixie revolving credit facility and the senior subordinated notes assumed from GulfTerra. If the Operating Partnership were to default on any debt guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners, Enterprise Products Partners would be responsible for full repayment of that obligation.

#### **Operating Partnership debt obligations**

Apart from that discussed below, there have been no significant changes in the terms of the Operating Partnership's debt obligations since those reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In March 2006, Enterprise Products Partners generated net proceeds of \$430 million in connection with the sale of 18,400,000 of its common units in an underwritten equity offering. Subsequently, this amount was contributed to the Operating Partnership, which, in turn, used this amount to temporarily reduce debt outstanding under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

In June 2006, the Operating Partnership executed a second amendment (the "Second Amendment") to the credit agreement governing its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. The Second Amendment, among other things, extends the maturity date of the Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility from October 2010 to October 2011 with respect to \$1.2 billion of the commitments. Borrowings with respect to \$48 million in commitments mature in October 2010. The Second Amendment also modifies the Operating Partnership's financial covenants to, among other things, allow the Operating Partnership to include in the calculation of its Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement) pro forma adjustments for material capital projects. In addition, the Second Amendment allows for the issuance of hybrid debt, such as the \$300 million in principal amount of fixed/floating unsecured junior subordinated notes issued by the Operating Partnership in July 2006 (see Note 19).

#### **Covenants**

We were in compliance with the covenants of our consolidated debt agreements at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

#### Information regarding variable interest rates paid

The following table shows the range of interest rates paid and weighted-average interest rate paid on our consolidated variable-rate debt obligations during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

	Range of interest rates paid	Weighted-average interest rate paid
Parent Company's \$200 Million Credit Facility	5.44% to 8.00%	5.80%
Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility	4.87% to 8.00%	5.35%
Dixie Revolving Credit Facility	4.67% to 5.55%	5.00%

# Consolidated debt maturity table

Our scheduled maturities of debt principal amounts over the next five years and in total thereafter are presented in the following table. No amounts are currently due in 2006 or 2008.

2007	\$ 510,000
2009	646,500
2010	607,067
Thereafter	3,282,000
Total scheduled principal payments	\$5,045,567

#### Joint venture debt obligations

We have three unconsolidated affiliates with long-term debt obligations. The following table shows (i) our ownership interest in each entity at June 30, 2006, (ii) total debt of each unconsolidated affiliate at June 30, 2006 (on a 100% basis to the joint venture) and (iii) the corresponding scheduled maturities of such debt.

	Our		Scheduled Maturities of Debt					
	Ownership Interest	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	After 2010
Cameron Highway	50.0%	\$415,000			\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000	\$315,000
Poseidon	36.0%	92,000						92,000
Evangeline	49.5%	30,650	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	5,000	5,000	10,650	
Total		\$537,650	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 60,650	\$407,000

The credit agreements of our joint ventures contain various affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants. Our joint ventures were in compliance with all such covenants at June 30, 2006.

<u>Amendment of Cameron Highway debt</u>. In March 2006, Cameron Highway amended the note purchase agreement governing its senior secured notes to primarily address the effect of reduced deliveries of crude oil to Cameron Highway resulting from production delays. In general, this amendment modified certain financial covenants in light of production forecasts made by management. In addition, the amendment increased the face amount of the letters of credit required to be issued by the Operating Partnership and an affiliate of our joint venture partner from \$18.4 million each to \$36.8 million each.

Also, the amendment specifies that Cameron Highway cannot make distributions to its partners during the period beginning March 30, 2006 and ending on the earlier of (i) December 31, 2007 or (ii) the date on which Cameron Highway's debt service coverage ratios are not less than 1.5 to 1 for three consecutive fiscal quarters. In order for Cameron Highway to resume paying distributions to its partners, no default or event of default can be present or continuing at the date Cameron Highway desires to start paying such distributions.

<u>Amendment of Poseidon debt</u>. In May 2006, Poseidon amended its revolving credit facility to, among other things, reduce commitments from \$170 million to \$150 million, extend the maturity date from January 2008 to May 2011 and lower the borrowing rate.

#### 11. Partners' Equity

The units of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. represent limited partner interests, which give the holders thereof the right to participate in distributions and to exercise the other rights and privileges available to them under the First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (the "Partnership Agreement") of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

#### **Capital Accounts**

In accordance with the Partnership Agreement, capital accounts are maintained for the general partner and the limited partners of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. The capital account provisions of the Partnership Agreement incorporate principles established for U.S. Federal income tax purposes and are not comparable to the equity accounts reflected under GAAP in our consolidated financial statements. Earnings and cash distributions are allocated to the partners of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. in accordance with their respective percentage interests.

#### **Distributions**

Our quarterly cash distributions for 2006 are presented in the following table:

		Cash Distribution History			
	Distribution per Unit	Record Date	Payment Date		
1st Quarter 2006	\$ 0.295	Apr. 28, 2006	May 11, 2006		
2nd Quarter 2006	\$ 0.310	Jul. 31, 2006	Aug. 11, 2006		

## Accumulated other comprehensive income

The following table summarizes transactions affecting our accumulated other comprehensive income since December 31, 2005.

	Commodity Financial Instruments	Interest Rate Financial Instruments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balance
Balance, December 31, 2005		\$ 19,072	\$ 19,072
Change in fair value of commodity financial instruments	\$ (7,700)		(7,700)
Reclassification of gain on settlement of interest rate financial instruments		(2,093)	(2,093)
Reclassification of change in fair value of interest rate financial instruments		1,638	1,638
Balance, June 30, 2006	\$ (7,700)	\$ 18,617	\$ 10,917

During the remainder of 2006, we will reclassify \$2.1 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings as a reduction in consolidated interest expense.

#### 12. Business Segments

We have four reportable business segments: NGL Pipelines & Services, Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, Offshore Pipelines & Services and Petrochemical Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the type of services rendered (or technology employed) and products produced and/or sold.

We evaluate segment performance based on the non-GAAP financial measure of gross operating margin. Gross operating margin (either in total or by individual segment) is an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations. This measure forms the basis of our internal financial reporting and is used by senior management in deciding how to allocate capital resources among business segments. We believe that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that our management uses in evaluating segment results. The GAAP measure most directly comparable to total segment gross operating margin is operating income. Our non-GAAP financial measure of total segment gross operating margin should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP operating income.

We define total (or consolidated) segment gross operating margin as operating income before: (i) depreciation, amortization and accretion expense; (ii) operating lease expenses for which we do not have the payment obligation; (iii) gains and losses on the sale of assets; and (iv) general and administrative expenses. Gross operating margin is exclusive of other income and expense transactions, provision for income taxes, minority interest, extraordinary charges and the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles. Gross operating margin by segment is calculated by subtracting segment operating costs and expenses (net of the adjustments noted above) from segment revenues, with both segment totals before the elimination of intersegment and intrasegment transactions.

Segment revenues and operating costs and expenses include intersegment and intrasegment transactions, which are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates. Our consolidated

revenues reflect the elimination of all material intercompany (both intersegment and intrasegment) transactions.

We include equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates in our measurement of segment gross operating margin and operating income. Our equity investments with industry partners are a vital component of our business strategy. They are a means by which we conduct our operations to align our interests with those of customers and/or suppliers. This method of operation also enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business risk assumed versus what we could accomplish on a stand-alone basis. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations.

Our integrated midstream energy asset system (including the midstream energy assets of our equity method investees) provides services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs and petrochemicals. Our asset system has multiple entry points. In general, hydrocarbons can enter our asset system through a number of ways, such as an offshore natural gas or crude oil pipeline, an offshore platform, a natural gas processing plant, an NGL gathering pipeline, an NGL fractionator, an NGL storage facility, an NGL transportation or distribution pipeline or an onshore natural gas pipeline. At each link along this asset system, we typically earn revenues based on volume or receive an ownership of products such as NGLs.

Many of our equity investees are present within our integrated midstream asset system. For example, we have ownership interests in several offshore natural gas and crude oil pipelines. Other examples include our use of the Promix NGL fractionator to process mixed NGLs extracted by our gas plants. The fractionated NGLs we receive from Promix can then be sold in our NGL marketing activities. Given the integral nature of our equity investees to our operations, we believe the treatment of earnings from our equity method investees as a component of gross operating margin and operating income is appropriate.

Our consolidated revenues were earned in the United States and derived from a wide customer base. The majority of our plant-based operations are located in Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi and New Mexico. Our natural gas, NGL and crude oil pipelines are located in a number of regions of the United States including (i) the Gulf of Mexico offshore Texas and Louisiana; (ii) the south and southeastern United States (primarily in Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama); and (iii) certain regions of the central and western United States. Our marketing activities are headquartered in Houston, Texas and serve customers in a number of regions of the United States including the Gulf Coast, West Coast and Mid-Continent areas.

Consolidated property, plant and equipment and investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates are allocated to each segment on the basis of each asset's or investment's principal operations. The principal reconciling item between consolidated property, plant and equipment and the total value of segment assets is construction-in-progress. Segment assets represent the net book carrying value of facilities and other assets that contribute to gross operating margin of a particular segment. Since assets under construction generally do not contribute to segment gross operating margin, such assets are excluded from segment asset totals until they are deemed operational. Consolidated intangible assets and goodwill are allocated to each segment based on the classification of the assets to which they relate.

The following table shows our measurement of total segment gross operating margin for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues(1)	\$ 3,517,853	\$ 2,671,768	\$ 6,767,927	\$ 5,227,290
Less: Operating costs and expenses(1)	(3,323,585)	(2,530,133)	(6,370,448)	(4,913,777)
Add: Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates(1)	8,012	2,581	12,041	10,860
Depreciation, amortization and accretion in operating costs and				
expenses (2)	107,952	101,048	212,768	201,013
Operating lease expense paid by EPCO(2)	528	528	1,056	1,056
Loss (gain) on sale of assets in operating costs and expenses (2)	(136)	83	(197)	(5,353)
Total segment gross operating margin	\$ 310,624	\$ 245,875	\$ 623,147	\$ 521,089

<sup>(1)</sup> These amounts are taken from our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income.

A reconciliation of total segment gross operating margin to operating income and income before provision for income taxes, minority interest and the cumulative effect of change in accounting principle follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Total segment gross operating margin	\$ 310,624	\$ 245,875	\$ 623,147	\$ 521,089
Adjustments to reconcile total gross operating margin to operating income:				
Depreciation, amortization and accretion in operating costs and expenses	(107,952)	(101,048)	(212,768)	(201,013)
Operating lease expense paid by EPCO	(528)	(528)	(1,056)	(1,056)
Gain (loss) on sale of assets in operating costs and expenses	136	(83)	197	5,353
General and administrative costs	(17,799)	(18,882)	(32,360)	(34,035)
Consolidated operating income	184,481	125,334	377,160	290,338
Other expense	(55,237)	(61,177)	(113,397)	(119,305)
Income before provision for income taxes, minority interest and cumulative				
effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 129,244	\$ 64,157	\$ 263,763	\$ 171,033

<sup>(2)</sup> These non-cash expenses are taken from the operating activities section of our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

Information by segment, together with reconciliations to our consolidated totals, is presented in the following table:

		Reportable	Segments			
	NGL Pipelines	Onshore Pipelines	Offshore Pipelines	Petrochemical	Adjustments and	Consolidated
Revenues from third parties:	& Services	& Services	& Services	Services	Eliminations	Totals
Three months ended June 30, 2006	\$2,553,212	\$ 308,410	\$ 29,506	\$ 513,291		\$3,404,419
Three months ended June 30, 2005	1,945,196	259,213	31,984	354,427		2,590,820
Six months ended June 30, 2006	4,891,908	721,411	51,858	899,241		6,564,418
Six months ended June 30, 2005	3,802,650	506,147	61,532	717,820		5,088,149
31x months ended June 30, 2003	3,802,030	300,147	01,332	/1/,020		3,000,149
Revenues from related parties:						
Three months ended June 30, 2006	37,101	75,914	419			113,434
Three months ended June 30, 2005	1,858	78,816	253	21		80,948
Six months ended June 30, 2006	44,049	158,869	591			203,509
Six months ended June 30, 2005	3,620	135,031	439	51		139,141
Intersegment and intrasegment revenues:						
Three months ended June 30, 2006	1,077,547	31,588	390	103,449	\$(1,212,974)	
Three months ended June 30, 2005	767,030	8,400	432	87,137	(862,999)	
Six months ended June 30, 2006	1,973,792	59,729	703	186,266	(2,220,490)	
Six months ended June 30, 2005	1,496,707	18,417	628	141,887	(1,657,639)	
Total revenues:						
Three months ended June 30, 2006	3,667,860	415,912	30,315	616,740	(1,212,974)	3,517,853
Three months ended June 30, 2005	2,714,084	346,429	32,669	441,585	(862,999)	2,671,768
Six months ended June 30, 2006	6,909,749	940,009	53,152	1,085,507	(2,220,490)	6,767,927
Six months ended June 30, 2005	5,302,977	659,595	62,599	859,758	(1,657,639)	5,227,290
Equity in income in unconsolidated affiliates:						
Three months ended June 30, 2006	1,924	904	4,769	415		8,012
Three months ended June 30, 2005	2,837	682	(1,075)	137		2,581
Six months ended June 30, 2006	3,442	1,506	6,703	390		12,041
Six months ended June 30, 2005	7,285	1,262	1,900	413		10,860
Gross operating margin by individual						
business segment and in total:						
Three months ended June 30, 2006	146,414	86,651	20,515	57.044		310,624
Three months ended June 30, 2005	120,328	84,903	22,034	18,610		245,875
Six months ended June 30, 2006	317,364	183,454	37,767	84,562		623,147
Six months ended June 30, 2005	273,632	164,261	45,258	37,938		521,089
Segment assets:						
At June 30, 2006	3,143,499	3,557,642	733,047	509,922	1,074,165	9,018,275
At December 31, 2005	3,075,048	3,622,318	632,222	504,841	854,595	8,689,024
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates (see Note 7):						
At June 30, 2006	120.025	6.057	210 645	10.060		161 COF
At June 30, 2006 At December 31, 2005	120,035 130,376	6,057 4,644	318,645 316,844	19,868 20,057		464,605 471,921
At December 31, 2005	130,376	4,044	310,044	20,057		4/1,921
Intangible Assets (see Note 9):	200 744	207.027	102.005	40 477		000 222
At June 30, 2006	300,744	397,037	163,065	48,477		909,323
At December 31, 2005	275,778	413,843	174,532	49,473		913,626
Goodwill (see Note 9):		202 277	00.000	<b>=</b> 2.222		(22.25=
At June 30, 2006	54,942	282,977	82,386	73,690		493,995
At December 31, 2005	54,960	282,997	82,386	73,690		494,033
		28				

Revenues from the sale and marketing of NGL products within the NGL Pipelines & Services business segment accounted for 69% and 66% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 68% and 66% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenues from the sale and marketing of petrochemical products within the Petrochemical Services segment accounted for 11% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 11% and 12% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenues from the sale and marketing of natural gas using onshore assets accounted for 8% and 9% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 9% and 8% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### 13. Related Party Transactions

The following table summarizes our related party transactions for the periods indicated:

	For the The Ended J		For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Revenues from consolidated operations					
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 33,448	\$ 2	\$ 39,080	\$ 286	
Unconsolidated affiliates	79,986	80,946	164,429	138,855	
Total	\$113,434	\$ 80,948	\$203,509	\$139,141	
Operating costs and expenses					
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 71,105	\$ 64,991	\$ 166,062	\$123,994	
Unconsolidated affiliates	7,904	3,898	14,590	10,466	
Total	\$ 79,009	\$ 68,889	\$ 180,652	\$ 134,460	
General and administrative expenses					
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 10,972	\$ 11,119	\$ 22,170	\$ 20,794	
Interest expense					
EPCO and affiliates		\$ 5,689		\$ 11,328	

**General**. We have an extensive and ongoing relationship with EPCO and its affiliates, which include the following significant entities:

- § EPCO and its private company subsidiaries;
- § EPE Holdings, our general partner;
- § the Employee Partnership; and
- § TEPPCO and its general partner ("TEPPCO GP"), which are controlled by affiliates of EPCO.

Unless noted otherwise, our agreements with EPCO are not the result of arm's length transactions. As a result, we cannot provide assurance that the terms and provisions of such agreements are at least as favorable to us as we could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

EPCO is a private company controlled by Dan L. Duncan, who is also a director and Chairman of EPE Holdings and Enterprise Products GP. At June 30, 2006, EPCO and its affiliates beneficially owned 77,037,403 (or 86.7%) of the parent company's outstanding units and 144,384,693 (or 34.5%) of Enterprise Products Partners' common units, which includes 13,454,498 common units of Enterprise Products Partners owned by the parent company.

The principal business activity of EPE Holdings and Enterprise Products GP is to act as the managing partner of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and Enterprise Products Partners, respectively. The executive officers and certain of the directors of EPE Holdings and Enterprise Products GP are employees of EPCO.

In connection with its general partner interest in Enterprise Products Partners, Enterprise Products GP received cash distributions of \$47.3 million and \$35.3 million from Enterprise Products Partners during

the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts include \$40.1 million and \$29.1 million of incentive distributions for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The parent company owns all of the membership interests of Enterprise Products GP.

We, EPE Holdings, Enterprise Products Partners and Enterprise Products GP are separate legal entities apart from each other and apart from EPCO and its other affiliates, with assets and liabilities that are separate from those of EPCO and its other affiliates. EPCO depends on the cash distributions it receives from us, Enterprise Products Partners and other investments to fund its other operations and to meet its debt obligations. EPCO and its affiliates received \$148.3 million and \$117.8 million in cash distributions from us during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with its limited and general partner interests in us.

The ownership interests in the parent company and Enterprise Products Partners that are owned or controlled by EPCO and its affiliates, other than those interests owned by the parent company, Dan Duncan LLC and certain trusts affiliated with Dan L. Duncan, are pledged as security under the credit facility of an affiliate of EPCO. This credit facility contains customary and other events of default relating to EPCO and certain affiliates, including us, Enterprise Products Partners and TEPPCO.

We have entered into an agreement with an affiliate of EPCO to provide trucking services to us for the transportation of NGLs and other products. We also lease office space in various buildings from affiliates of EPCO. The rental rates in these lease agreements approximate market rates. In addition, we buy and sell NGL products to and from a foreign affiliate of EPCO at market-related prices in the normal course of business.

In September 2004, Enterprise Products GP borrowed \$370 million from an affiliate of EPCO to finance the purchase of a 50% membership interest in the general partner of GulfTerra. This note payable was repaid in August 2005 using borrowings under the parent company's credit facility. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we recorded \$5.7 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, of interest related to this affiliate note payable.

<u>Relationship with TEPPCO</u>. We received \$11.5 million and \$17 million from TEPPCO during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, from the sale of hydrocarbon products. During the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, we paid TEPPCO \$6.2 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, for NGL pipeline transportation and storage services. We paid TEPPCO \$10.6 million and \$8.6 million for NGL pipeline transportation and storage services during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In March 2006, we paid \$38.1 million to TEPPCO for its Pioneer natural gas processing plant located in Opal, Wyoming and certain natural gas processing rights related to production from the Jonah and Pinedale fields located in the Greater Green River Basin in Wyoming. This transaction was reviewed and approved by the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the board of directors of the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners and the general partner of TEPPCO, and a fairness opinion was rendered by an independent third-party. TEPPCO will have no continued involvement in the contracts or in the operations of the Pioneer facility. In addition, the unaudited pro forma financial impact of this transaction is not significant.

In August 2006, we announced a joint venture in which we and TEPPCO will be partners in TEPPCO's Jonah Gas Gathering Company. The Jonah Gas Gathering Company owns the Jonah Gas Gathering System ("the Jonah system"), located in the Greater Green River Basin of southwestern Wyoming, which gathers and transports natural gas produced from the Jonah and Pinedale fields to natural gas processing plants and major interstate pipelines that deliver natural gas to end-use markets.

A letter of intent executed by us and TEPPCO in February 2006 provided that we would manage the construction and fund the initial capital cost of the Phase V expansion of the Jonah system. In connection with the joint venture arrangement, we and TEPPCO intend to continue the Phase V expansion, which is expected to increase the system capacity of the Jonah system from 1.5 Bcf/d to 2.4 Bcf/d and to

significantly reduce system operating pressures, which is anticipated to lead to increased production rates and ultimate reserve recoveries. The first portion of the expansion, which is believed to increase the system gathering capacity to 2 Bcf/d, is projected to be completed in the first quarter of 2007 at an estimated cost of approximately \$275 million. The second portion of the expansion is expected to cost approximately \$140 million and be completed by the end of 2007.

We will manage the Phase V construction project, and in the third quarter of 2006, TEPPCO will reimburse us for 50% of the Phase V capital cost incurred through August 1, 2006. After August 1, 2006, we and TEPPCO will equally share the capital costs of the Phase V expansion. Our ultimate ownership interest in Jonah Gas Gathering Company will be based on our share of the total cost of the Phase V expansion. Upon completion of the expansion project, we and TEPPCO are expected to own an approximate 20% and 80% interest, respectively, in Jonah Gas Gathering Company, with us serving as operator. Our expenditures associated with this project were \$106.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, of which \$97.8 million has been paid to vendors. Other assets on our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2006 include the \$106.9 million of expenditures related to this project.

<u>Administrative Services Agreement</u>. We have no employees. All of our management, administrative and operating functions are performed by employees of EPCO pursuant to an administrative services agreement ("ASA"). We and our general partner, Enterprise Products Partners and its general partner, and TEPPCO and its general partner, among other affiliates, are parties to the ASA. We reimburse EPCO for the costs of its employees who perform operating functions for us and for costs related to its other management and administrative employees.

## Relationships with unconsolidated affiliates

Our significant related party transactions with unconsolidated affiliates consist of the sale of natural gas to Evangeline and the purchase of NGL storage, transportation and fractionation services from Promix. In addition, we sell natural gas to Promix and process natural gas at VESCO.

#### 14. Earnings per Unit

Basic earnings per unit is computed by dividing net income or loss allocated to limited partner interests by the weighted-average number of distribution-bearing units outstanding during a period. Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. had no dilutive securities at June 30, 2006. The amount of net income allocated to limited partner interests is derived by subtracting the general partner's share of the parent company's net income from net income.

The following table shows the allocation of net income to our general partner for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income	\$22,633	\$10,767	\$44,988	\$20,302
Multiplied by general partner ownership interest	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%
General partner interest in net income	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 2

The following table shows the calculation of our limited partners' interest in net income and basic and diluted earnings per unit.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Income before change in accounting principle and general partner				
interest	\$22,633	\$10,767	\$44,892	\$20,302
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			96	
Net income	22,633	10,767	44,988	20,302
General partner interest in net income	(2)	(1)	(4)	(2)
Net income available to limited partners	\$22,631	\$10,766	\$44,984	\$20,300
BASIC EARNINGS PER UNIT				
Numerator				
Income before change in accounting principle and general				
partner interest	\$22,633	\$10,767	\$44,892	\$20,302
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			96	
General partner interest in net income	(2)	(1)	(4)	(2)
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$22,631	\$10,766	\$44,984	\$20,300
Denominator				
Units	88,884	74,667	88,884	74,667
Basic earnings per unit				-
Income before change in accounting principle and general				
partner interest	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.27
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			*	
General partner interest in net income	*	*	*	*
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.27
DILUTED EARNINGS PER UNIT				
Numerator				
Income before change in accounting principle and general				
partner interest	\$22,633	\$10,767	\$44,892	\$20,302
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			96	
General partner interest in net income	(2)	(1)	(4)	(2)
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$22,631	\$10,766	\$44,984	\$20,300
Denominator				
Units	88,884	74,667	88,884	74,667
Diluted earnings per unit				
Income before change in accounting principle and general				
partner interest	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.27
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			*	
General partner interest in net income	*	*	*	*
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.27

<sup>\*</sup> Amount is negligible

## 15. Commitments and Contingencies

## Litigation

On occasion, we are named as a defendant in litigation relating to our normal business activities, including regulatory and environmental matters. Although we insure against various business risks to the extent we believe it is prudent, there is no assurance that the nature and amount of such insurance will be adequate, in every case, to indemnify us against liabilities arising from future legal proceedings as a result of our ordinary business activities. We are not aware of any significant litigation, pending or threatened, that may have a significant adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

A number of lawsuits have been filed by municipalities and other water suppliers against various manufacturers of reformulated gasoline containing methyl tertiary butyl ether ("MTBE"). In general, such suits have not named manufacturers of MTBE as defendants, and there have been no such lawsuits filed against our subsidiary that owns an octane-additive production facility. It is possible, however, that former MTBE manufacturers such as our subsidiary could ultimately be added as defendants in such lawsuits or in new lawsuits.

We acquired additional ownership interests in our octane-additive production facility from affiliates of Devon Energy Corporation ("Devon"), which sold us its 33.3% interest in 2003, and Sunoco, Inc. ("Sun"), which sold us its 33.3% interest in 2004. As a result of these acquisitions, we own 100% of our Mont Belvieu, Texas octane-additive production facility. Devon and Sun have indemnified us for any liabilities (including potential liabilities as described in the preceding paragraph) that are in respect of periods prior to the date we purchased such interests. There are no dollar limits or deductibles associated with the indemnities we received from Sun and Devon with respect to potential claims linked to the period of time they held ownership interests in our octane-additive production facility.

#### **Operating leases**

We lease certain property, plant and equipment under noncancelable and cancelable operating leases. Our significant lease agreements involve (i) the lease of underground caverns for the storage of natural gas and NGLs, (ii) leased office space with an affiliate of EPCO, and (iii) land held pursuant to right-of-way agreements. In general, our material lease agreements have original terms that range from 14 to 20 years and include renewal options that could extend the agreements for up to an additional 20 years. Lease expense is charged to operating costs and expenses on a straight line basis over the period of expected economic benefit. Contingent rental payments are expensed as incurred. Lease and rental expense included in operating income was \$10 million and \$8.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, lease and rental expense included in operating income was \$19.7 million and \$18.2 million, respectively.

There have been no material changes in our operating lease commitments since December 31, 2005, except for the renewal of our Wilson natural gas storage facility lease. During the first quarter of 2006, we exercised our right to renew the Wilson lease for an additional 20-year period. Our rental payments under the renewal agreement are at a fixed rate. Under the renewal agreement, we have the option to purchase the Wilson natural gas storage facility at either December 31, 2024 for \$61 million or January 25, 2028 for \$55 million. In addition, the lessor, at its election, may cause us to purchase the facility for \$65 million at the end of any calendar quarter beginning on March 31, 2008 and extending through December 31, 2023. After adjusting for the renewal, the incremental future minimum lease payments associated with our lease of the Wilson natural gas storage facility are as follows: \$4.1 million, 2008; \$5.5 million, 2009; \$5.5 million, 2010; and \$94.9 million thereafter.

#### Performance guaranty

In December 2004, a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership entered into the Independence Hub Agreement (the "Hub Agreement") with six oil and natural gas producers. The Hub Agreement, as amended, obligates the subsidiary (i) to construct an offshore platform production facility to process 1 Bcf/d of natural gas and condensate and (ii) to process certain natural gas and condensate production of the six producers following construction of the platform facility.

In conjunction with the Hub Agreement, the Operating Partnership guaranteed the performance of its subsidiary under the Hub Agreement up to \$426 million. In December 2004, 20% of this guaranteed amount was assumed by Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc. (formerly known as Cal Dive International, Inc.), our joint venture partner in the Independence Hub project. The remaining \$341 million represents our share of the anticipated construction cost of the platform facility. This amount represents the cap on the Operating Partnership's potential obligation to the six producers for the cost of constructing the platform under the remote scenario where the six producers finish construction of the platform facility. This performance guarantee continues until the earlier to occur of (i) all of the guaranteed obligations of the

subsidiary shall have been terminated, paid or otherwise discharged in full, (ii) upon mutual written consent of the Operating Partnership and the producers or (iii) mechanical completion of the production facility. We currently expect that mechanical completion of the platform will occur in January 2007; therefore, we anticipate that the performance guaranty will exist until at least this future period.

In accordance with FIN 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others," we recorded the fair value of the performance guaranty using an expected present value approach. Given the remote probability that the Operating Partnership would be required to perform under this guaranty, we have estimated the fair value of the performance guaranty at approximately \$1.2 million, which is a component of other current liabilities on our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2006.

#### 16. Significant Risks and Uncertainties - Weather-Related Risks

EPCO renewed its property and casualty insurance programs during the second quarter of 2006. As a result of severe hurricanes such as Katrina and Rita that occurred in 2005, market conditions for obtaining property damage insurance coverage were difficult. Under our renewed insurance programs, coverage is more restrictive including increased physical damage and business interruption deductibles. For example, our deductible for onshore physical damage increased from \$2.5 million to \$5 million per event and our deductible period for onshore business interruption claims increased from 30 days to 60 days. Additional restrictions will also be applied in the event of damage from named windstorms.

In addition to changes in coverages, the cost of property damage insurance increased substantially from prior periods. At present, our annualized cost of insurance premiums for all lines of coverage is approximately \$49.2 million, which represents a \$28.1 million (or 133%) increase from our 2005 annualized insurance cost.

The following is a discussion of the general status of insurance claims related to significant storm events that affected our assets in 2004 and 2005. To the extent we include estimates regarding the dollar value of damages, please be aware that a change in our estimates may occur as additional information becomes available to us.

<u>Hurricane Ivan insurance claims</u>. Our final purchase price allocation related to the merger of GulfTerra with a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise Products Partners in September 2004 (the "GulfTerra Merger") included a \$26.2 million receivable for insurance claims related to expenditures to repair property damage to certain pre-merger GulfTerra assets caused by Hurricane Ivan. During the first quarter of 2006, we received cash reimbursements from insurance carriers totaling \$24.1 million related to these property damage claims, and we expect to recover the remaining \$2.1 million in late 2006. If the final recovery of funds is different than the amount previously expended, we will recognize an income impact at that time.

In addition, we have submitted business interruption insurance claims for our estimated losses caused by Hurricane Ivan. During the first quarter of 2006, we received claim proceeds of \$10.2 million, and in April 2006 we received an additional \$2 million. To the extent we receive cash proceeds from business interruption insurance claims, they are recorded as a gain in our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income in the period of receipt.

<u>Hurricanes Katrina and Rita insurance claims</u>. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, both significant storms, affected certain of our Gulf Coast assets in August and September of 2005, respectively. Inspection, evaluation and repair of property damage to our facilities is continuing. To the extent that insurance proceeds from property damage claims do not cover our estimated recoveries (in excess of the \$5 million of insurance deductibles we expensed during the third quarter of 2005), such shortfall will be charged to earnings when realized. We recorded \$63.5 million of estimated recoveries from property damage claims arising from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, based on amounts expended through June 30,

2006. To the extent we receive cash proceeds from business interruption claims, they will be recorded as a gain in our statements of consolidated operations and comprehensive income in the period of receipt.

### 17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

We prepare our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows using the indirect method. The indirect method derives net cash flows from operating activities by adjusting net income to remove (i) the effects of all deferrals of past operating cash receipts and payments, such as changes during the period in inventory, deferred income and the like, (ii) the effects of all accruals of expected future operating cash receipts and cash payments, such as changes during the period in receivables and payables, (iii) the effects of all items classified as investing or financing cash flows, such as gains or losses on sale of assets or gains or losses from the extinguishment of debt and (iv) other non-cash amounts such as depreciation, amortization and changes in the fair market value of instruments.

The net effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities is as follows for the periods indicated:

	For the Si Ended J	
	2006	2005
Decrease (increase) in:		
Accounts and notes receivable	\$ 115,000	\$ 65,627
Inventories	(111,631)	(178,769)
Prepaid and other current assets	(48,258)	(19,505)
Other assets	7,601	31,106
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	11,697	(113,767)
Accrued gas payable	19,402	(48,552)
Accrued expenses	35,911	(31,062)
Accrued interest	(860)	(705)
Other current liabilities	45,701	565
Other long-term liabilities	(3,556)	(1,132)
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	\$ 71,007	\$(296,194)

Third parties may be obligated to reimburse us for all or a portion of project expenditures on certain of our capital projects. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction projects and production well tie-ins. We received \$34.9 million and \$27 million as contributions in aid of our construction costs during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## 18. Condensed Financial Information of Operating Partnership

The Operating Partnership conducts substantially all of the business of Enterprise Products Partners. Currently, neither the parent company, Enterprise Products GP nor Enterprise Products Partners have any independent operations or any material assets outside those of the Operating Partnership.

Enterprise Products Partners guarantees the debt obligations of its Operating Partnership, with the exception of the Dixie revolving credit facility and the senior subordinated notes assumed from GulfTerra. If the Operating Partnership were to default on any debt guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners, Enterprise Products Partners would be responsible for full repayment of that obligation. For additional information regarding our consolidated debt obligations, see Note 10.

The reconciling items between our consolidated financial statements and those of the Operating Partnership are substantially the same as the differences between our consolidated financial statements and those of Enterprise Products Partners, as discussed in Note 1.

The following table shows condensed consolidated balance sheet data for the Operating Partnership at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
Current assets	\$ 2,000,077	\$ 1,960,015
Property, plant and equipment, net	9,018,275	8,689,024
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates, net	464,605	471,921
Intangible assets, net	909,323	913,626
Goodwill	493,995	494,033
Deferred tax asset	3,444	3,606
Other assets	147,578	39,014
Total	\$13,037,297	\$12,571,239
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities	\$ 1,980,810	\$ 1,894,227
Long-term debt	4,821,401	4,833,781
Other long-term liabilities	131,201	84,486
Minority interest	124,497	106,159
Partners' equity	5,979,388	5,652,586
Total	\$13,037,297	\$12,571,239
	<b>.</b>	
Total Operating Partnership debt obligations guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners	\$ 4,884,000	\$ 4,844,000

The following table shows condensed consolidated statements of operations data for the Operating Partnership for the periods indicated:

		For the Three Months Ended June 30,		ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues	\$3,517,853	\$2,671,768	\$6,767,927	\$5,227,290
Costs and expenses	3,339,326	2,548,221	6,397,972	4,945,867
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	8,012	2,581	12,041	10,860
Operating income	186,539	126,128	381,996	292,283
Other income (expense)	(53,413)	(55,741)	(109,925)	(108,216)
Income before provision for income taxes, minority interest				
and change in accounting principle	133,126	70,387	272,071	184,067
Provision for income taxes	(6,272)	1,034	(9,164)	(735)
Income before minority interest and change in accounting				
principle	126,854	71,421	262,907	183,332
Minority interest	(534)	(392)	(2,733)	(2,333)
Income before change in accounting principle	126,320	71,029	260,174	180,999
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			1,475	
Net income	\$ 126,320	\$ 71,029	\$ 261,649	\$ 180,999

#### 19. Subsequent Events

## July 2006 Junior Notes Offering

In July 2006, the Operating Partnership sold \$300 million in principal amount of fixed/floating, unsecured, long-term subordinated notes due 2066 ("Junior Notes A"). The Operating Partnership used the proceeds from issuing this subordinated debt to temporarily reduce borrowings outstanding under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes. The Operating Partnership's payment obligations under Junior Notes A are subordinated to all of its current and future senior indebtedness (as defined in the Indenture Agreement). Enterprise Products Partners has guaranteed repayment of amounts due under Junior Notes A through an unsecured and subordinated guarantee.

The indenture agreement governing Junior Notes A allows the Operating Partnership to defer interest payments on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years subject to certain conditions. The indenture agreement also provides that, unless (i) all deferred interest on Junior Notes A has been paid in full as of the most recent interest payment date, (ii) no event of default under the Indenture has occurred and is continuing and (iii) Enterprise Products Partners is not in default of its obligations under related guarantee agreements, then the Operating Partnership and Enterprise Products Partners cannot declare or make any distributions with respect to any of their respective equity securities or make any payments on indebtedness or other obligations that rank *pari passu* with or subordinate to Junior Notes A.

The Junior Notes A will bear fixed rate interest of 8.375% from July 2006 to August 2016, payable semi-annually in arrears in February and August of each year, commencing in February 2007. Thereafter, the Junior Notes A will bear variable rate interest at an annual rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate for the related interest period plus 3.708%, payable quarterly in arrears in February, May, August and November of each year commencing in November 2016. Interest payments may be deferred on a cumulative basis for up to ten consecutive years, subject to the certain provisions. The Junior Notes A mature in August 2066 and are not redeemable by the Operating Partnership prior to August 2016 without payment of a make-whole premium.

In connection with the issuance of Junior Notes A, the Operating Partnership entered into a Replacement Capital Covenant in favor of the covered debtholders (as named therein) pursuant to which the Operating Partnership agreed for the benefit of such debtholders that it would not redeem or repurchase such junior notes unless such redemption or repurchase is made from the proceeds of issuance of certain securities.

## July 2006 Acquisition of Natural Gas Gathering Assets in South Texas

In July 2006, we acquired certain natural gas gathering systems and related gathering and processing contracts from Cerrito Gathering Company, Ltd. ("Cerrito"), an affiliate of Lewis Energy Group, L.P. ("Lewis"). The total consideration paid by us was \$325 million, which consisted of approximately \$146 million in cash and the issuance of approximately 7.1 million Enterprise Products Partners' common units.

The Cerrito gathering systems are located in South Texas and are connected to over 1,450 wells having an aggregate production volume of over 100 MMcf/d of natural gas sourced from the Olmos and Wilcox Trends in South Texas. The Cerrito gathering systems consist of 484 miles of pipeline, comprised of 312 miles of pipeline we acquired from Lewis in this transaction and 172 miles of pipeline that we own and had previously leased to Lewis. The Cerrito gathering system is supported by 31,000 horsepower of compression. Volumes currently gathered by the Cerrito systems are delivered into our South Texas gas processing and pipeline transportation system.

These gathering systems will be supported by a long-term dedication by Lewis of its production from the Olmos formation. In addition to the natural gas gathering and processing dedication, the transaction also includes a long-term dedication to transport lean gas gathered and treated at Lewis' Big

Reef Treating facility. The Big Reef facility will gather and treat sour gas production from the southern portion of the Edwards Trend in South Texas.

## August 2006 Purchase of NGL Pipeline

In August 2006, we purchased 226 miles of NGL pipelines extending from Corpus Christi, Texas to Pasadena, Texas from ExxonMobil Pipeline Company. The total purchase price for these assets was \$97.9 million in cash. We funded this asset purchase using borrowings under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. This pipeline will be used to transport mixed NGLs from our South Texas natural gas processing plants to our Mont Belvieu fractionation facilities.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the ticker symbol "EPE." Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. was formed in April 2005 and completed its initial public offering in August 2005.

## Significant Relationships referenced in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our" or "Enterprise GP Holdings L.P." are intended to mean and include the business and operations of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., the parent company, as well as its consolidated subsidiaries, which include Enterprise Products GP, LLC and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

References to "the parent company" are intended to mean and include Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., individually as the parent company, and not on a consolidated basis.

References to "EPE Holdings" mean EPE Holdings, LLC, which is the general partner of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

References to "Enterprise Products Partners" mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

References to "Enterprise Products GP" mean Enterprise Products GP, LLC, which is the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

References to "EPCO" mean EPCO, Inc., which is a related party affiliate to all of the foregoing named entities.

References to "*TEPPCO*" mean TEPPCO Partners, L.P., a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, which is an affiliate of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. References to "*TEPPCO GP*" refer to the general partner of TEPPCO, which is wholly owned by a private company subsidiary of EPCO.

#### General

The parent company is the owner of Enterprise Products GP, which is the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners. The primary business purpose of Enterprise Products GP is to manage the affairs and operations of Enterprise Products Partners, which is a North American energy company that provides a wide range of services to producers and consumers of natural gas, natural gas liquids ("NGLs"), crude oil and certain petrochemicals. Enterprise Products Partners is an industry leader in the development of pipeline and other midstream energy infrastructure in the continental United States and Gulf of Mexico. Enterprise Products Partners conducts substantially all of its business through a wholly owned subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (the "Operating Partnership").

We are owned 99.99% by our limited partners and 0.01% by EPE Holdings. We, EPE Holdings, Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners are affiliates and under common control of Dan L. Duncan, the Chairman and controlling shareholder of EPCO. We and Enterprise Products GP have no independent operations outside those of Enterprise Products Partners.

This quarterly report contains various forward-looking statements and information based on our beliefs and those of EPE Holdings, our general partner, as well as assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. Please read the section titled "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information" included within this Item 2.

As generally used in the energy industry and in this document, the terms listed below have the following meanings:

/d = per day

BBtus = billion British thermal units

Bcf = billion cubic feet
MBPD = thousand barrels per day
Mdth = thousand dekatherms
MMBbls = million barrels

MMBtus = million British thermal units

MMcf = million cubic feet Mcf = thousand cubic feet

TBtu = trillion British thermal units

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included under Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and with the information contained within our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (Commission File No. 1-32610).

## **BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The parent company has no separate operating activities apart from those conducted by the Operating Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners. In order to fully understand the financial condition and results of operations of the parent company on a stand-alone basis, we include discussions of parent company matters apart from those of our consolidated partnership.

The historical consolidated financial statements of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 reflect the consolidated financial statements of Enterprise Products GP, which has been deemed our predecessor company. Our predecessor's financial information is presented on substantially the same basis that our consolidated results of operations and financial condition have been presented since the contribution of net assets to us from EPCO in August 2005.

Since the parent company owns the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners, it controls the activities of Enterprise Products GP and Enterprise Products Partners. The parent company consolidates the financial information of these subsidiaries with that of its own. We refer to the consolidated group of entities as Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In general, our outlook for 2006 remains the same as that discussed in our annual report on Form 10-K for 2005. The following summarizes our significant developments since December 31, 2005 through the date of this filing.

- In January 2006, the parent company amended and restated its \$525 Million Credit Facility to reflect a new borrowing capacity of \$200 million, which includes a sublimit of \$25 million for letters of credit. For additional information regarding the amended and restated credit agreement of the parent company, please read Note 10 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.
- In March 2006, Enterprise Products Partners sold 18,400,000 of its common units in a public offering (including an over-allotment amount of 2,400,000 common units), which generated net proceeds of approximately \$430 million. These proceeds include a cash contribution of \$8.6 million by Enterprise Products GP to maintain its 2% general partner interest in Enterprise Products Partners. Enterprise Products GP acquired the funds necessary to make this contribution from the parent company, which, in turn, acquired the funds through borrowings under its new \$200 million credit facility.

- In March 2006, we announced plans to expand our petrochemical assets located in southeast Texas at an expected cost of \$205 million. These plans include the construction of a new propylene fractionator at our Mont Belvieu, Texas facility and the expansion of two refinery grade propylene pipelines. These additions are expected to be complete in late 2007.
- In March 2006, we purchased the Pioneer natural gas processing plant and certain natural gas processing rights from TEPPCO for \$38.1 million in cash.
- In April 2006, we announced plans to expand our Houston Ship Channel NGL import and export facility and related pipeline and other assets to accommodate an expected increase in throughput volumes. This expansion project is expected to cost \$40 million and be completed in the second quarter of 2007.
- In July 2006, the Operating Partnership sold \$300 million in principal amount of fixed/floating unsecured junior subordinated notes. For additional information regarding this issuance of debt, please read "*Liquidity and Capital Resources*" included within this Item 2.
- In July 2006, we acquired natural gas gathering systems and related gathering and processing contracts from Cerrito Gathering Company, Ltd. ("Cerrito"), an affiliate of Lewis Energy Group L.P. ("Lewis"). The total consideration paid by us was \$325 million, which consisted of approximately \$146 million in cash and the issuance of approximately 7.1 million Enterprise Products Partners' common units.
- In July 2006, we signed long-term agreements with CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. ("CenterPoint Energy") to provide firm natural gas transportation and storage services to its natural gas utility, primarily in the Houston metropolitan area.
- In August 2006, we purchased 226 miles of NGL pipelines extending from Corpus Christi, Texas to Pasadena, Texas from ExxonMobil Pipeline Company ("ExxonMobil"). The total purchase price for these assets was \$97.9 million in cash.

For additional information regarding our capital spending and acquisitions, please read "Capital Spending" included within this Item 2.

## **CAPITAL SPENDING**

We are committed to the long-term growth and viability of Enterprise Products Partners. Part of our business strategy involves expansion through business combinations, growth capital projects and investments in joint ventures. We believe that we are positioned to continue to grow our system of assets through the construction of new facilities and to capitalize on expected future production increases from such areas as the Piceance Basin of western Colorado, the Greater Green River Basin in Wyoming, and the deepwater Gulf of Mexico.

Management continues to analyze potential acquisitions, joint ventures and similar transactions with businesses that operate in complementary markets or geographic regions. In recent years, major oil and gas companies have sold non-strategic assets in the midstream energy sector in which we operate. We forecast that this trend will continue, and expect independent oil and natural gas companies to consider similar divestitures.

Based on information currently available, we estimate our consolidated capital spending during 2006 will approximate \$2.1 billion, of which \$0.6 billion was spent during the first six months of 2006. Of the remaining \$1.5 billion forecast to be spent during the third and fourth quarters of 2006, \$1.4 billion is attributable to growth capital projects and acquisitions. The \$1.4 billion includes the \$325 million of consideration we paid or issued to Lewis in July 2006 to acquire natural gas gathering assets located in South Texas and the \$100 million we paid to ExxonMobil in August 2006 to acquire NGL pipelines.

Our forecast of consolidated capital expenditures is based on our strategic operating and growth plans, which are dependent upon our ability to generate the required funds from either operating cash flows or from other means, including borrowings under debt agreement and potential divestitures of assets to third and/or related parties. Our forecast of capital expenditures may change due to factors beyond our control, such as weather related issues, changes in supplier prices or adverse economic conditions. Furthermore, our forecast may change as a result of decisions made by management at a later date, which may include acquisitions or decisions to take on additional partners.

Our success in raising capital, including the formation of joint ventures to share costs and risks, continues to be the principal factor that determines how much we can spend. We believe our access to capital resources is sufficient to meet the demands of our current and future operating growth needs, and although we currently intend to make the forecasted expenditures discussed above, we may adjust the timing and amounts of projected expenditures in response to changes in capital markets.

The following table summarizes our capital spending by activity for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
Capital spending for business combinations and asset purchases:		
Pioneer natural gas processing plant and associated processing rights purchased from TEPPCO	\$ 38,100	
Indirect interests in the Indian Springs natural gas gathering and processing assets		\$ 74,854
Additional ownership interests in Dixie Pipeline Company ("Dixie")		68,608
Additional ownership interests in Mid-America and Seminole pipeline systems		25,000
Other business combinations		12,617
Total	38,100	181,079
Capital spending for property, plant and equipment:	•	_
Growth capital projects	475,947	371,894
Sustaining capital projects	64,531	36,843
Total	540,478	408,737
Capital spending attributable to unconsolidated affiliates:		
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	6,995	81,780
Advances to Jonah affiliate	97,767	
Total capital spending	\$683,340	\$671,596

Our capital spending for growth capital projects (as presented in the preceding table) are net of amounts we received from third parties as contributions in aid of our construction costs. Such contributions were \$34.9 million and \$27 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On certain of our capital projects, third parties are obligated to reimburse us for all or a portion of project expenditures. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction projects and production well tie-ins.

At June 30, 2006, we had \$200.9 million in outstanding purchase commitments, which primarily relate to growth capital projects in the Rocky Mountains and offshore Gulf of Mexico that are expected to be placed in service in 2006 and 2007.

## Significant Recently Announced Growth Capital Projects

The following summarizes our significant growth capital projects initiated since December 31, 2005 through the date of this filing.

<u>Piceance Basin Gas Processing Project</u>. In January 2006, we announced the execution of a minimum 15-year natural gas processing agreement with an affiliate of the EnCana Corporation ("EnCana"). Under that agreement, we will have the right to process up to 1.3 Bcf/d of EnCana's natural gas production from the Piceance Basin area of western Colorado. To accommodate this production, we have begun construction of the Meeker natural gas processing facility in Rio Blanco County, Colorado. In addition, we will construct an approximate 50-mile NGL pipeline that will connect our Meeker facility with our Mid-America Pipeline System. The Meeker natural gas processing plant, which will provide us with 750 MMcf/d of natural gas processing capacity and the ability to recover up to 35 MBPD of NGLs, is expected to be placed in service in mid-2007 at a cost of \$285 million. We are currently working to secure production dedications from additional producers. In June 2006, EnCana executed an option which requires us to build an expansion of the Meeker facility by mid-2009. Under the terms of the agreement, EnCana has certain guaranteed payment obligations to us.

Wyoming Gas Processing Projects. In January 2006, we announced our intent to purchase from an affiliate of TEPPCO the Pioneer natural gas processing plant located in Opal, Wyoming and the rights of TEPPCO and its affiliates to process natural gas originating from the Jonah and Pinedale fields in the Greater Green River Basin in Wyoming. We completed this acquisition in March 2006 at a cost of \$38.1 million and commenced construction to increase the processing capacity of the Pioneer plant from 300 MMcf/d to 600 MMcf/d at an additional cost of \$21 million. This expansion was completed in July 2006. This transaction was reviewed and approved by the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the board of directors of the general partners of Enterprise Products Partners and the general partner of TEPPCO, and a fairness opinion was rendered by an independent third-party. TEPPCO will have no continued involvement in the contracts or in the operations of the Pioneer facility.

In addition, to handle future production growth in the region, we started construction of a new natural gas processing plant in July 2006 having a capacity of 650 MMcf/d adjacent to the Pioneer plant. We expect our new natural gas processing plant to be placed in service by the third quarter of 2007 at an expected cost of \$250 million.

<u>Phase V Jonah Expansion</u>. In August 2006, we announced a joint venture in which we and TEPPCO will be partners in TEPPCO's Jonah Gas Gathering Company. The Jonah Gas Gathering Company owns the Jonah Gas Gathering System ("the Jonah system"), located in the Greater Green River Basin of southwestern Wyoming, which gathers and transports natural gas produced from the Jonah and Pinedale fields to natural gas processing plants and major interstate pipelines that deliver natural gas to end-use markets.

A letter of intent executed by us and TEPPCO in February 2006 provided that we would manage the construction and fund the initial capital cost of the Phase V expansion of the Jonah system. In connection with the joint venture arrangement, we and TEPPCO intend to continue the Phase V expansion, which is expected to increase the system capacity of the Jonah system from 1.5 Bcf/d to 2.4 Bcf/d and to significantly reduce system operating pressures, which is anticipated to lead to increased production rates and ultimate reserve recoveries. The first portion of the expansion, which is believed to increase the system gathering capacity to 2 Bcf/d, is projected to be completed in the first quarter of 2007 at an estimated cost of approximately \$275 million. The second portion of the expansion is expected to cost approximately \$140 million and be completed by the end of 2007.

We will manage the Phase V construction project, and in the third quarter of 2006, TEPPCO will reimburse us for 50% of the Phase V capital cost incurred through August 1, 2006. After August 1, 2006, we and TEPPCO will equally share the capital costs of the Phase V expansion. Our ultimate ownership interest in Jonah Gas Gathering Company will be based on our share of the total cost of the Phase V expansion. Upon completion of the expansion project, we and TEPPCO are expected to own an

approximate 20% and 80% interest, respectively, in Jonah Gas Gathering Company, with us serving as operator.

Our expenditures associated with this project were \$106.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, of which \$97.8 million has been paid to vendors

<u>Expansion of Mont Belvieu Petrochemical Assets.</u> In March 2006, we announced an expansion of petrochemical assets in Mont Belvieu and southeast Texas. This expansion project includes (i) the construction of a new propylene fractionator at our Mont Belvieu complex, which will increase our propylene/propane fractionation capacity by approximately 15 MBPD and (ii) the expansion of two refinery grade propylene gathering pipelines which will add 50 MBPD of gathering capacity into Mont Belvieu. These projects are expected to be operational by late 2007 and are expected to cost \$205 million.

<u>Expansion of Houston Ship Channel Import and Export Facility.</u> In April 2006, we announced an expansion of our NGL import and export terminal located on the Houston Ship Channel. This expansion project will increase offloading capability of our import facility from a maximum peak operating rate of 240 MBPD to 480 MBPD and the maximum loading rate of our export facility from 140 MBPD to 160 MBPD. As part of this expansion project, we will increase the transportation and processing capacities of certain of our assets that serve the terminal in order to accommodate the expected increase in import volumes. This expansion project is expected to cost \$40 million and be completed in the second quarter of 2007.

<u>Purchase of Natural Gas Gathering Assets in South Texas</u>. In July 2006, we acquired certain natural gas gathering systems and related gathering and processing contracts from Cerrito, an affiliate of Lewis. Total consideration paid by us was \$325 million, comprised of approximately \$146 million in cash and the issuance of approximately 7.1 million common units of Enterprise Products Partners.

The Cerrito gathering systems are located in South Texas and are connected to over 1,450 wells having an aggregate production volume of over 100 MMcf/d of natural gas sourced from the Olmos and Wilcox Trends in South Texas. The Cerrito gathering systems consist of 484 miles of pipeline, comprised of 312 miles of pipeline we acquired from Lewis in this transaction and 172 miles of pipeline that we own and had previously leased to Lewis. The Cerrito gathering system is supported by 31,000 horsepower of compression. Volumes currently gathered by the Cerrito systems are delivered into our South Texas gas processing and pipeline transportation system.

These gathering systems will be supported by a long-term dedication by Lewis of its production from the Olmos formation. In addition to the natural gas gathering and processing dedication, the transaction also includes a long-term dedication to transport lean gas gathered and treated at Lewis' Big Reef Treating facility. The Big Reef facility will gather and treat sour gas production from the southern portion of the Edwards Trend in South Texas.

<u>Purchase of NGL Pipeline</u>. In August 2006, we purchased 226 miles of NGL pipelines extending from Corpus Christi, Texas to Pasadena, Texas from ExxonMobil. The total purchase price for these assets was \$97.9 million in cash. This pipeline will be used to transport mixed NGLs from our South Texas natural gas processing plants to our Mont Belvieu fractionation facilities.

<u>Mid-America Pipeline System – Skellytown to Conway Addition</u>. In June 2005, we began engineering and design work to construct a 190-mile, 12-inch NGL pipeline that will have the capacity to move up to 67 MBPD of mixed NGLs bi-directionally between Skellytown, Texas and Conway, Kansas and an additional 48 MBPD from Skellytown, Texas to Hobbs, New Mexico. Construction of this pipeline began in the spring of 2006 and is expected to cost \$90 million and be placed in service by March 2007.

## **Pipeline Integrity Costs**

Our NGL, petrochemical and natural gas pipelines are subject to pipeline safety programs administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation, through its Office of Pipeline Safety. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we spent approximately \$13.1 million to comply with these programs, of which \$8.4 million was recorded as an operating expense and the remaining \$4.7 million was capitalized. We spent approximately \$31.6 million to comply with these programs during the six months ended June 30, 2006 of which \$14.3 million was recorded as an operating expense and the remaining \$17.3 million was capitalized.

We expect our net cash outlay for pipeline integrity program expenditures to approximate \$37.4 million for the remainder 2006. Our forecast is net of certain costs we expect to recover from El Paso in connection with an indemnification agreement. In May 2006, we recovered \$13.7 million from El Paso related to our 2005 expenditures and expect to recover \$9.7 million related to our first and second quarter 2006 expenditures, which leaves a remainder of \$26.8 million reimbursable by El Paso for 2006 and 2007 pipeline integrity costs.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Parent Company's Results of Operations

The parent company has no separate operating activities apart from those conducted by Enterprise Products Partners and its Operating Partnership. The principal sources of earnings for the parent company are its equity investments in limited and general partner interests of Enterprise Products Partners. The following table summarizes key components of the parent company's results of operations for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	\$25,342	\$50,450
Interest expense	2,311	4,377
Net income	22,633	44,988

For additional information regarding the parent company's financial results, please see Note 1 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report. The following is a discussion of the highlights of the parent company's results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

<u>Equity Income</u>. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the parent company recorded \$25.3 million and \$50.4 million, respectively, in equity earnings from its investments in limited and general partner ownership interests of Enterprise Products Partners. Of these amounts, \$22 million and \$43.3 million, respectively, is attributable to its investment in the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners and the remainder to its ownership of 13,454,498 common units of Enterprise Products Partners.

<u>Interest expense</u>. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the parent company recorded \$2.3 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, in interest expense as a result of principal amounts outstanding under its credit facility.

## **Our Consolidated Results of Operations**

Since the parent company owns the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners, it controls the activities of Enterprise Products Partners. As a result, the parent company consolidates the financial information of these subsidiaries with that of its own.

We have four reportable business segments: NGL Pipelines & Services, Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, Offshore Pipelines & Services and Petrochemical Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the type of services rendered (or technology employed) and products produced and/or sold.

We evaluate segment performance based on the non-generally accepted accounting principle ("non-GAAP") financial measure of gross operating margin. Gross operating margin (either in total or by individual segment) is an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations. This measure forms the basis of our internal financial reporting and is used by senior management in deciding how to allocate capital resources among business segments. We believe that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that our management uses in evaluating segment results. The financial measure calculated using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") most directly comparable to total segment gross operating margin is operating income. Our non-GAAP financial measure of total segment gross operating margin should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP operating income.

We define total (or consolidated) segment gross operating margin as operating income before: (i) depreciation, amortization and accretion expense; (ii) operating lease expenses for which we do not have the payment obligation; (iii) gains and losses on the sale of assets; and (iv) general and administrative expenses. Gross operating margin is exclusive of other income and expense transactions, provision for income taxes, minority interest, extraordinary charges and the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles. Gross operating margin by segment is calculated by subtracting segment operating costs and expenses (net of the adjustments noted above) from segment revenues, with both segment totals before the elimination of intersegment and intrasegment transactions.

We include equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates in our measurement of segment gross operating margin and operating income. Our equity investments with industry partners are a vital component of our business strategy. They are a means by which we conduct our operations to align our interests with those of customers and/or suppliers. This method of operation also enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business risk assumed versus what we could accomplish on a stand-alone basis. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations.

For additional information regarding our business segments, please read Note 12 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

#### Selected Price and Volumetric Data

The following table presents selected average quarterly industry index prices for natural gas, crude oil and selected NGL and petrochemical products since the beginning of 2005:

	Natural Gas, \$/MMBtu	Crude Oil, \$/barrel	Ethane, \$/gallon (1)	Propane, \$/gallon (1)	Normal Butane, \$/gallon (1)	Isobutane, \$/gallon (1)	Natural Gasoline, \$/gallon (1)	Polymer Grade Propylene, \$/pound (1)	Refinery Grade Propylene, \$/pound
2005		. ,	( )	. ,	. ,	. ,		. ,	
1st Quarter	\$ 6.27	\$49.68	\$0.52	\$0.79	\$0.98	\$1.00	\$1.14	\$0.45	\$0.39
2nd Quarter	\$ 6.74	\$53.09	\$0.52	\$0.82	\$0.98	\$1.01	\$1.16	\$0.37	\$0.30
3rd Quarter	\$ 8.53	\$63.08	\$0.69	\$0.97	\$1.14	\$1.26	\$1.36	\$0.37	\$0.33
4th Quarter	\$13.00	\$60.03	\$0.76	\$1.06	\$1.27	\$1.34	\$1.36	\$0.50	\$0.44
Average for Year	\$ 8.64	\$56.47	\$0.62	\$0.91	\$1.09	\$1.15	\$1.26	\$0.42	\$0.37
2006									
1st Quarter	\$ 9.01	\$63.35	\$0.57	\$0.94	\$1.20	\$1.27	\$1.38	\$0.45	\$0.40
2nd Quarter	\$ 6.80	\$70.53	\$0.68	\$1.05	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.52	\$0.50	\$0.44
Average for Year	\$ 7.91	\$66.94	\$0.63	\$1.00	\$1.21	\$1.27	\$1.45	\$0.48	\$0.42

<sup>(1)</sup> Natural gas, NGL, polymer grade propylene and refinery grade propylene prices represent an average of various commercial index prices including Oil Price Information Service ("OPIS") and Chemical Market Associates, Inc. ("CMAI"). The natural gas price is representative of Henry-Hub I-FERC. NGL prices are representative of Mont Belvieu Non-TET pricing. Refinery grade propylene represents an average of CMAI spot prices. Polymer-grade propylene represents average CMAI contract pricing.

The following table presents our significant average throughput, production and processing volumetric data. These statistics are reported on a net basis, taking into account our ownership interests, and reflect the periods in which we owned an interest in such operations.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
NGL Pipelines & Services, net:				
NGL transportation volumes (MBPD)	1,559	1,511	1,490	1,461
NGL fractionation volumes (MBPD)	308	327	282	332
Equity NGL production (MBPD) (1)	61	84	59	84
Fee-based natural gas processing (MMcf/d)	2,465	2,001	2,138	2,009
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, net:				
Natural gas transportation volumes (BBtus/d)	5,907	5,985	5,979	5,866
Offshore Pipelines & Services, net:				
Natural gas transportation volumes (BBtus/d)	1,523	2,156	1,500	2,004
Crude oil transportation volumes (MBPD)	161	151	137	139
Platform gas treating (Mcf/d)	158	319	158	317
Platform oil treating (MBPD)	18	7	12	8
Petrochemical Services, net:				
Butane isomerization volumes (MBPD)	83	84	84	75
Propylene fractionation volumes (MBPD)	56	56	54	55
Octane additive production volumes (MBPD)	9	8	7	4
Petrochemical transportation volumes (MBPD)	93	72	90	73
Total, net:				
NGL, crude oil and petrochemical transportation volumes (MBPD)	1,813	1,734	1,717	1,673
Natural gas transportation volumes (BBtus/d)	7,430	8,141	7,479	7,870
Equivalent transportation volumes (MBPD) (2)	3,768	3,877	3,685	3,744

<sup>(1)</sup> Volumes for the first and second quarters of 2005 have been revised to incorporate asset-level definitions of equity NGL production volumes.

<sup>(2)</sup> Crude oil price is representative of an index price for West Texas Intermediate.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects equivalent energy volumes where 3.8 MMBtus of natural gas are equivalent to one barrel of NGLs.

## Comparison of Results of our Consolidated Operations

The following table summarizes the key components of our consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

		For the Three Months Ended June 30,		ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues	\$3,517,853	\$2,671,768	\$6,767,927	\$5,227,290
Operating costs and expenses	3,323,585	2,530,133	6,370,448	4,913,777
General and administrative costs	17,799	18,882	32,360	34,035
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	8,012	2,581	12,041	10,860
Operating income	184,481	125,334	377,160	290,338
Interest expense	58,643	62,435	118,786	121,487
Minority interest expense	100,340	54,424	209,708	149,996
Net income	22,633	10,767	44,988	20,302

Revenues from the sale and marketing of NGL products within the NGL Pipelines & Services business segment accounted for 69% and 66% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 68% and 66% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenues from the sale and marketing of petrochemical products within the Petrochemical Services segment accounted for 11% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 11% and 12% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenues from the sale and marketing of natural gas using onshore assets accounted for 8% and 9% of total consolidated revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and 9% and 8% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Minority interest expense represents third-party and related party ownership interests in the earnings of Enterprise Products Partners and its joint venture subsidiaries. For additional information regarding our minority interest amounts, please see Note 2 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

Our gross operating margin by segment and in total is as follows for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

		For the Three Months Ended June 30,		ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Gross operating margin by segment:				
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$146,414	\$120,328	\$317,364	\$273,632
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	86,651	84,903	183,454	164,261
Offshore Pipelines & Services	20,515	22,034	37,767	45,258
Petrochemical Services	57,044	18,610	84,562	37,938
Total segment gross operating margin	\$310,624	\$245,875	\$623,147	\$521,089

For a reconciliation of non-GAAP gross operating margin to GAAP operating income and further to GAAP income before provision for taxes, minority interest and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, please read "Other Items" included within this Item 2.

## Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 with Three Months Ended June 30, 2005

Revenues for the second quarter of 2006 were \$3.5 billion compared to \$2.7 billion for the second quarter of 2005. The quarter-to-quarter increase in consolidated revenues is primarily due to higher sales volumes and energy commodity prices in the second quarter of 2006 relative to the same period in 2005. These differences accounted for an \$820.6 million increase in consolidated revenues associated with our marketing activities.

Operating costs and expenses were \$3.3 billion for the second quarter of 2006 versus \$2.5 billion for the second quarter of 2005. The quarter-to-quarter increase in consolidated operating costs and expenses is primarily due to an increase in the cost of sales associated with our marketing activities. The cost of sales of our natural gas, NGL and petrochemical products increased \$754.4 million quarter-to-quarter as a result of higher energy commodity prices.

Changes in our revenues and costs and expenses period-to-period are explained in part by changes in energy commodity prices. The weighted-average indicative market price for NGLs was \$1.04 per gallon during the second quarter of 2006 versus \$0.81 per gallon during the second quarter of 2005—a quarter-to-quarter increase of 28%. Our determination of the weighted-average indicative market price for NGLs is based on U.S. Gulf Coast prices for such products at Mont Belvieu, Texas, which is the primary hub of the domestic NGL industry. The market price of natural gas (as measured at Henry Hub in Louisiana) averaged \$6.80 per MMBtu during the second quarter of 2006 versus \$6.74 per MMBtu during the second quarter of 2005. For additional historical energy commodity pricing information, please see the table on page 47.

Equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates were \$8 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$2.6 million for the second quarter of 2005, an increase of \$5.4 million quarter-to-quarter. Equity earnings for the second quarter of 2005 included a one-time charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with refinancing project finance debt of Cameron Highway Oil Pipeline Company ("Cameron Highway"), which was partially offset by a \$5.1 million benefit associated with the settlement of a transportation contract dispute.

Operating income for the second quarter of 2006 was \$184.5 million compared to \$125.3 million for the second quarter of 2005. Collectively, the aforementioned changes in revenues, costs and expenses and equity earnings contributed to the \$59.2 million increase in operating income quarter-to-quarter.

Interest expense decreased \$3.8 million quarter-to-quarter. Although outstanding debt balances and interest rates were higher during the second quarter of 2006 relative to the second quarter of 2005, significant amounts of interest are being capitalized as a result of borrowings to finance our capital spending program. Capitalized interest amounts were \$12.4 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$3.2 million for the second quarter of 2005.

Provision for income taxes increased \$7.3 million quarter-to-quarter primarily due to the new Texas margin tax. For more information regarding the Texas margin tax, please see "Other Items" included within this Item 2.

As a result of the items noted in previous paragraphs, our consolidated net income increased \$11.8 million to \$22.6 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$10.8 million for the second quarter of 2005.

The following information highlights the significant quarter-to-quarter variances in gross operating margin by business segment:

NGL Pipelines & Services. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$146.4 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$120.3 million for the second quarter of 2005. Improved results from our natural gas processing and related NGL marketing business accounted for substantially all of the \$26.1 million increase in gross operating margin. Strong demand for NGLs in the second quarter of 2006 led to higher processing margins and increased volumes processed under fee-based contracts. Gross operating margin from our natural gas processing and related NGL marketing business was \$80.8 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$55.7 million for the same quarter in 2005. Fee-based processing volumes increased to 2.5 Bcf/d during the second quarter of 2006 from 2 Bcf/d during the second quarter of 2005. Lastly, gross operating margin from natural gas processing for the second quarter of 2006 includes \$2.3 million from the Pioneer plant we acquired from TEPPCO in March 2006.

Gross operating margin from NGL pipelines and storage was \$50.7 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$48.4 million for the second quarter of 2005. Total NGL transportation volumes increased to 1,559 MBPD during the second quarter of 2006 from 1,511 MBPD during the same quarter of 2005. The \$2.3 million quarter-to-quarter increase in gross operating margin is attributable to higher NGL storage volumes and contributions from storage assets we acquired in July 2005. The increase in gross operating margin from our NGL storage business was partially offset by a \$4.6 million increase in pipeline integrity costs quarter-to-quarter.

Gross operating margin from NGL fractionation was \$14.9 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$16.2 million for the second quarter of 2005. Fractionation volumes decreased from 327 MBPD during the second quarter of 2005 to 308 MBPD during the second quarter of 2006. The quarter-to-quarter decrease in gross operating margin and fractionation volumes is largely due to downtime and start-up costs associated with the completion of an expansion project at our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionator during the second quarter of 2006.

Segment gross operating margin for the second quarter of 2006 also includes \$2 million of income resulting from business interruption recoveries attributable to Hurricane Ivan. These recoveries relate to our South Louisiana assets that were affected by this storm in 2004.

Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$86.7 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$84.9 million for the second quarter of 2005. Higher transportation revenues on our Texas Intrastate System contributed to a \$4.6 million quarter-to-quarter increase in segment gross operating margin. An increase in drilling activity in the Permian and San Juan basins benefited our assets during the second quarter of 2006. Our gathering systems in the Permian basin experienced higher transportation volumes and natural gas sales margins quarter-to-quarter. As drilling activity increased, our San Juan Gas Gathering System started to benefit from its system optimization project, which was completed in early 2006. Collectively, gross operating margin from our San Juan and Permian basin gathering systems increased \$3.2 million quarter-to-quarter. Segment gross operating margin for the second quarter of 2006 includes approximately \$4 million of costs associated with the inspection, repair and maintenance of three storage caverns at our Wilson natural gas storage facility in Texas. Our total onshore natural gas transportation volumes were 5,907 BBtu/d during the second quarter of 2006 compared to 5,985 BBtu/d for the second quarter of 2005.

We completed the expansion of our 30-inch West Texas pipeline system during the second quarter of 2006 and acquired the Cerrito natural gas gathering systems in July 2006. Our 30-inch West Texas pipeline system provides us 120 MMcf/d of incremental natural gas transportation capacity. This pipeline will transport production from the Barnett Shale and Permian basin areas to markets in Central Texas and the Gulf Coast. Our acquisition of the Cerrito natural gas gathering systems provides us, among other things, with life of lease dedications related to significant natural gas fields located in South Texas.

Offshore Pipelines & Services. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$20.5 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$22 million for the second quarter of 2005. In general, offshore operations in the Gulf of Mexico continue to be impacted (albeit to a lesser degree at this time) by the lingering effects of last year's hurricanes. Producers are working to restore production to at or near pre-hurricane levels and remain committed to exploration and production activities in the Gulf of Mexico, including its deepwater areas. As a result of industry losses last year, insurance costs for offshore operations have increased dramatically. Our insurance costs for these assets were \$6 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$0.9 million for the second quarter of 2005.

Gross operating margin from our offshore crude oil pipelines was a positive \$5.8 million for the second quarter of 2006 versus a loss of \$6.5 million for the second quarter of 2005. Our Marco Polo and Poseidon Oil Pipelines posted higher crude oil transportation volumes during the second quarter of 2006 due to increased production activity. Gross operating margin from the Marco Polo and Poseidon Oil Pipelines improved \$2.1 million quarter-to-quarter. Our Constitution Oil Pipeline, which was placed in-service during the first quarter of 2006, contributed \$2.5 million to segment gross operating margin during the second quarter of 2006. Gross operating margin from Cameron Highway improved \$8.3 million

quarter-to-quarter. Cameron Highway's results for the second quarter of 2005 included a one-time charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of its project finance debt. Offshore crude oil transportation volumes were 161 MBPD during the second quarter of 2006 versus 151 MBPD during the second quarter of 2005.

Gross operating margin from our offshore natural gas pipelines was \$6.5 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$17.3 million for the second quarter of 2005. Offshore natural gas transportation volumes were 1,523 BBtu/d during the second quarter of 2006 versus 2,156 BBtu/d during the second quarter of 2005. The decrease in gross operating margin and overall transportation volumes is primarily due to last year's hurricanes. Also, gross operating margin attributable to this group of assets for the second quarter of 2005 includes a one-time \$5.1 million benefit resulting from the settlement of a transportation contract dispute. Gross operating margin for the second quarter of 2006 includes \$1.8 million from the Constitution Natural Gas Pipeline, which was placed in service during the first quarter of 2006.

Our Phoenix Gas Gathering System returned to service during the second quarter of 2006 and is currently operating in excess of pre-hurricane rates. Volumes are expected to increase on our Viosca Knoll Gas Gathering System during the third quarter of 2006, as new production from the Matterhorn field is transported to processing facilities. Also, during the second quarter of 2006, we made significant progress on our Independence Hub and Trail project, which is scheduled for completion and first production during the first quarter of 2007.

Gross operating margin from our offshore platforms was \$8.2 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$11.2 million for the second quarter of 2005. The decrease in gross operating margin quarter-to-quarter is primarily due to last year's hurricanes. Equity earnings from Deepwater Gateway, L.L.C., which owns the Marco Polo platform, increased \$1.9 million quarter-to-quarter primarily due to higher processing volumes.

<u>Petrochemical Services</u>. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$57 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$18.6 million for the second quarter of 2005. The \$38.4 million quarter-to-quarter increase in gross operating margin is primarily due to improved results from our octane enhancement business. Gross operating margin from this business was a positive \$20.5 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to a loss of \$6.1 million for the second quarter of 2005. The \$26.6 million quarter-to-quarter increase is attributable to strong seasonal demand for isooctane as a motor gasoline additive. Isooctane, a high octane, low vapor pressure motor gasoline additive, complements the increasing use of ethanol, which has a high vapor pressure. Our isooctane production facility commenced operations in the second quarter of 2005.

Gross operating margin from our propylene fractionation and pipeline activities was \$16 million for the second quarter of 2006 versus \$7.4 million for the second quarter of 2005. The quarter-to-quarter increase in gross operating margin of \$8.6 million is primarily due to higher propylene sales margins and pipeline volumes. The second quarter of 2006 benefited from the use of a new pipeline, which we completed in 2005, that transports refinery-grade propylene from Texas City, Texas to our propylene fractionation complex at Mont Belvieu, Texas. Petrochemical transportation volumes were 93 MBPD during the second quarter of 2006 compared to 72 MBPD during the second quarter of 2005.

Gross operating margin from butane isomerization was \$20.5 million for the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$17.3 million for the second quarter of 2005. The quarter-to-quarter increase of \$3.2 million is primarily due to higher commodity sales prices.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 with Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

Revenues for the first six months of 2006 were \$6.8 billion compared to \$5.2 billion for the first six months of 2005. The period-to-period increase in consolidated revenues is primarily due to higher sales volumes and energy commodity prices during the first six months of 2006 relative to the 2005 period.

These differences accounted for a \$1.5 billion increase in consolidated revenues associated with our marketing activities.

Operating costs and expenses were \$6.4 billion for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$4.9 billion for the first six months of 2005. The period-to-period increase in consolidated operating costs and expenses is primarily due to an increase in the costs of sales associated with our marketing activities. The cost of sales of our natural gas, NGL and petrochemical products increased \$1.2 billion period-to-period as a result of higher energy commodity prices.

Changes in our revenues and costs and expenses period-to-period are explained in part by changes in energy commodity prices. The weighted-average indicative market price for NGLs was \$0.99 per gallon for the six months ended June 30, 2006 versus \$0.80 per gallon during the first six months of 2005—a period-to-period increase of 24%. The Henry Hub market price for natural gas averaged \$7.91 per MMBtu for the six months ended June 30, 2006 versus \$6.51 per MMBtu during the 2005 period. For additional historical energy commodity pricing information, please see the table on page 47.

Equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates were \$12 million for the first six months of 2006 versus \$10.9 million for the first six months of 2005, an increase of \$1.1 million period-to-period. Equity earnings for the first six months of 2005 include a one-time charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of Cameron Highway's project finance debt, which was partially offset by a \$5.1 million benefit associated with the settlement of a transportation contract dispute. Equity earnings from Venice Energy Services Company, LLC ("VESCO") decreased \$2 million period-to-period attributable to facility down-time and repair costs caused by the 2005 hurricanes.

Interest expense decreased to \$118.8 million for the first six months of 2006 from \$121.5 million for the first six months of 2005. Although outstanding debt balances and interest rates were higher during the first six months of 2006 relative to the 2005 period, significant amounts of interest are being capitalized as a result of borrowings to finance our capital spending program. Capitalized interest amounts were \$21.6 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$7.6 million for the first six months of 2005. Provision for income taxes increased \$8.4 million period-to-period primarily due to the new Texas margin tax.

As a result of the items noted in previous paragraphs, our consolidated net income increased \$24.7 million to \$45 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$20.3 million for the 2005 period. The first six months of 2006 includes a \$1.5 million benefit, of which \$1.4 million is included in minority interest expense, related to the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle resulting from our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 123(R) on January 1, 2006. For additional information regarding this cumulative effect adjustment, please read Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

The following information highlights the significant period-to-period variances in gross operating margin by business segment:

NGL Pipelines & Services. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$317.4 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$273.6 million for the first six months of 2005. Improved results from our natural gas processing and related NGL marketing business and our NGL pipelines and storage business accounted for substantially all of the \$43.8 million increase in gross operating margin. Strong demand for NGLs during 2006 led to higher processing margins and increased volumes processed under fee-based contracts. Gross operating margin from our natural gas processing and related NGL marketing business increased to \$165.8 million for the first six months of 2006 from \$139.3 million for the first six months of 2005. Fee-based processing volumes increased to 2.1 Bcf/d during the first six months of 2006 from 2 Bcf/d during the first six months of 2005. Lastly, gross operating margin from natural gas processing for the first six months of 2006 includes \$2.3 million from the Pioneer plant we acquired from TEPPCO in March 2006.

Gross operating margin from NGL pipelines and storage was \$119.7 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$100.4 million for the first six months of 2005. Total NGL transportation volumes increased to 1,490 MBPD for the first six months of 2006 from 1,461 MBPD for the first six months of 2005. The \$19.3 million period-to-period increase in gross operating margin is attributable to higher pipeline transportation, NGL storage and export volumes at certain of our facilities and contributions from acquired or consolidated assets, particularly that generated by the Dixie NGL Pipeline. The increase in gross operating margin was partially offset by a \$5.7 million increase in pipeline integrity costs period-to-period.

Gross operating margin from NGL fractionation was \$31.9 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$33.8 million for the first six months of 2005. Fractionation volumes decreased from 332 MBPD during the first six months of 2005 to 282 MBPD during the first six months of 2006. The period-to-period decrease in gross operating margin and fractionation volumes is largely due to our Mont Belvieu and Norco NGL fractionators. Our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionator experienced downtime and start-up costs associated with the completion of its expansion project during the first six months of 2006. Our Norco NGL fractionator, which returned to normal operating rates in the second quarter of 2006, suffered a reduction of processing volumes due to the effects of Hurricane Katrina.

Segment gross operating margin from this business segment for the 2006 period also includes \$10.3 million of income resulting from business interruption recoveries attributable to Hurricane Ivan. These recoveries relate to our South Louisiana assets that were affected by this storm in 2004.

Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$183.5 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$164.3 million for the first six months of 2005. Higher transportation revenues on our Texas Intrastate System contributed to a \$10.4 million increase in segment gross operating margin period-to-period. An increase in drilling activity in the Permian and San Juan basins benefited our assets during the first six months of 2006. Our gathering systems in the Permian basin experienced higher transportation volumes and natural gas sales margins period-to-period. Collectively, gross operating margin from our San Juan and Permian basin gathering systems increased \$9.7 million period-to-period. Segment gross operating margin for the first six months of 2006 includes approximately \$4 million of costs associated with the inspection, repair and maintenance of three storage caverns at our Wilson natural gas storage facility. Our total onshore natural gas transportation volumes were 5,979 BBtu/d during the first six months of 2006 compared to 5,866 BBtu/d during the first six months of 2005.

<u>Offshore Pipelines & Services</u>. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$37.8 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$45.3 million for the first six months of 2005. In general, offshore operations in the Gulf of Mexico continue to be impacted (albeit to a lesser degree at this time) by the lingering effects of last year's hurricanes. As a result of industry losses last year, insurance costs for offshore operations have increased dramatically. Our insurance costs for the first six months of 2006 increased \$5.2 million over those recorded during the first six months of 2005.

Gross operating margin from our offshore crude oil pipelines was a positive \$7.4 million for the first six months of 2006 versus a loss of \$3.6 million for the first six months of 2005. Our Marco Polo Pipeline posted higher crude oil transportation volumes during the first six months of 2006 due to increased production activity. Gross operating margin from the Marco Polo Pipeline improved \$2.1 million period-to-period. Our Constitution Oil Pipeline, which was placed in-service during the first quarter of 2006, contributed \$3.4 million to segment gross operating margin during the first six months of 2006. Gross operating margin from Cameron Highway improved \$7.1 million period-to-period. Cameron Highway's results for the first six months of 2005 included a one-time charge of \$11.5 million for costs associated with the refinancing of its project finance debt. Offshore crude oil transportation volumes were 137 MBPD during the first six months of 2006 versus 139 MBPD during the first six months of 2005.

Gross operating margin from our offshore natural gas pipelines was \$13.7 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$27.5 million for the first six months of 2005. Offshore natural gas transportation volumes were 1,500 BBtu/d during the first six months of 2006 versus 2,004 BBtu/d during

the first six months of 2005. The decrease in gross operating margin and overall transportation volumes is primarily due to last year's hurricanes. Also, gross operating margin attributable to this group of assets for the first six months of 2005 includes a one-time \$5.1 million benefit resulting from the settlement of a transportation contract dispute. Gross operating margin for the first six months of 2006 includes \$2.1 million from the Constitution Natural Gas Pipeline, which was placed in service during the first quarter of 2006.

Gross operating margin from our offshore platforms and services business was \$16.7 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$21.4 million for the first six months of 2005. The decrease in gross operating margin period-to-period is primarily due to last year's hurricanes. Equity earnings from Deepwater Gateway, L.L.C., which owns the Marco Polo platform, increased \$3.5 million period-to-period primarily due to higher processing volumes.

<u>Petrochemical Services</u>. Gross operating margin from this business segment was \$84.6 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$37.9 million for the first six months of 2005. The \$46.7 million period-to-period increase in gross operating margin is primarily due to improved results from our octane enhancement business. Gross operating margin from this business was a positive \$9.4 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to a loss of \$15.1 million for the first six months of 2005. The \$24.5 million period-to-period increase is attributable to strong seasonal demand for isooctane as a motor gasoline additive during the second quarter of 2006. Also, our isooctane production facility commenced operations in the second quarter of 2005.

Gross operating margin from propylene fractionation was \$36.5 million for the first six months of 2006 versus \$22.2 million for the first six months of 2005. The period-to-period increase in gross operating margin of \$14.3 million is primarily due to higher propylene sales margins and pipeline transportation volumes. Petrochemical transportation volumes were 90 MBPD during the first six months of 2006 compared to 73 MBPD during the first six months of 2005.

Gross operating margin from butane isomerization was \$38.6 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$30.8 million for the first six months of 2005. The period-to-period increase of \$7.8 million is largely due to increased demand for motor gasoline additives.

## Significant Risks and Uncertainties - Hurricanes

The following is a discussion of the general status of insurance claims related to significant storm events that affected our assets in 2004 and 2005. To the extent we include estimates regarding the dollar value of damages, please be aware that a change in our estimates may occur as additional information becomes available to us.

<u>Hurricane Ivan insurance claims</u>. Our final purchase price allocation related to the merger of GulfTerra with a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise Products Partners in September 2004 (the "GulfTerra Merger") included a \$26.2 million receivable for insurance claims related to expenditures to repair property damage to certain pre-merger GulfTerra assets caused by Hurricane Ivan. During the first quarter of 2006, we received cash reimbursements from insurance carriers totaling \$24.1 million related to these property damage claims, and we expect to recover the remaining \$2.1 million in late 2006. If the final recovery of funds is different than the amount previously expended, we will recognize an income impact at that time.

In addition, we have submitted business interruption insurance claims for our estimated losses caused by Hurricane Ivan. During the first quarter of 2006, we received claim proceeds of \$10.2 million, and in April 2006 we received an additional \$2 million. We expect to receive additional receipts of approximately \$5.5 million during the third quarter of 2006. To the extent we receive cash proceeds from business interruption insurance claims, they are recorded as a gain in our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income in the period of receipt.

Hurricanes Katrina and Rita insurance claims. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, both significant storms, affected certain of our Gulf Coast assets in August and September of 2005, respectively. Inspection, evaluation and repair of property damage to our facilities is continuing. To the extent that insurance proceeds from property damage claims do not cover our estimated recoveries (in excess of the \$5 million of insurance deductibles we expensed during the third quarter of 2005), such shortfall will be charged to earnings when realized. We recorded \$63.5 million of estimated recoveries from property damage claims arising from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, based on amounts expended through June 30, 2006. In July 2006, we received \$1.2 million of physical damage proceeds, and we anticipate receiving an additional \$9.3 million of physical damage proceeds in the third quarter of 2006. In July 2006, we received \$4.9 million of business interruption proceeds, and we anticipate receiving an additional \$41.6 million of business interruption proceeds during the third quarter of 2006. To the extent we receive cash proceeds from business interruption claims, they will be recorded as a gain in our statements of consolidated operations and comprehensive income in the period of receipt.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Parent Company Liquidity and Capital Resources

The parent company has no separate operating activities apart from those conducted by Enterprise Products Partners and its Operating Partnership. The primary sources of cash flow for the parent company are its investments in limited partner and general partner interests of Enterprise Products Partners. The amount of cash that Enterprise Products Partners can distribute each quarter to its partners (including the parent company) is primarily based on its earnings from business activities, which are exposed to certain risks.

The parent company's primary cash requirements are for general and administrative expenses, debt service costs and distributions to partners. The parent company expects to fund its short-term cash requirements for items such as general and administrative expenses using operating cash flows. Debt service requirements are expected to be funded by operating cash flows and/or refinancing arrangements. Our parent company expects to fund cash distributions to its partners primarily with operating cash flows.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the parent company received a total of \$59.2 million in cash distributions in connection with its general and limited partner ownership interests in Enterprise Products Partners. The parent company used \$51.1 million of this amount to pay distributions to its partners and the remaining \$8.1 million to reduce indebtedness under its credit facility and for general partnership purposes.

In March 2006, Enterprise Products Partners sold 18,400,000 common units in an underwritten public offering. Net proceeds from this offering were approximately \$430 million after deducting applicable underwriting discounts, commissions and estimated offering expenses of \$18.3 million. In connection with this offering, the parent company contributed \$8.6 million to Enterprise Products GP, who, in turn, contributed the \$8.6 million to Enterprise Products Partners in order to maintain its 2% general partner interest in Enterprise Products Partners.

## **Our Consolidated Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary consolidated cash requirements, in addition to normal operating expenses and debt service, are for capital expenditures, business acquisitions and distributions to our partners and minority interests. We expect to fund our short-term needs for such items as operating expenses and sustaining capital expenditures with operating cash flows and short-term revolving credit arrangements. Capital expenditures for long-term needs resulting from internal growth projects and business acquisitions are expected to be funded by a variety of sources (either separately or in combination) including cash flows from operating activities, borrowings under commercial bank credit facilities and the issuance of additional equity and debt securities. We expect to fund cash distributions to partners primarily with operating cash flows. Our debt service requirements are expected to be funded by operating cash flows and/or refinancing arrangements.

At June 30, 2006, we had \$24.9 million of unrestricted cash on hand, \$53.5 million of available credit under the parent company's credit facility and approximately \$673.4 billion of available credit under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. We had approximately \$5 billion in principal outstanding under various consolidated debt obligations at June 30, 2006.

As a result of Enterprise Products Partners' growth objectives, we expect to access debt and equity capital markets from time-to-time and we believe that financing arrangements to support our growth activities can be obtained on reasonable terms. Furthermore, we believe continued ready access to debt and equity capital at reasonable cost and sufficient trade credit provide a solid foundation to meet our long and short-term liquidity and capital resource requirements.

For additional information regarding our growth strategy, please read "Capital Spending" included within this Item 2.

## **Credit Ratings**

At July 31, 2006, the credit ratings of the Operating Partnership's debt securities were Baa3 with a stable outlook as rated by Moody's Investor Services; BBB- with a stable outlook as rated by Fitch Ratings; and BB+ with a positive outlook as rated by Standard and Poor's.

Based on the characteristics of the fixed/floating unsecured junior subordinated notes that the Operating Partnership issued in July 2006, the rating agencies assigned partial equity treatment to the notes. Moody's Investor Services and Standard and Poor's each assigned 50% equity treatment and Fitch Ratings assigned 75% equity treatment.

## Registration Statements and Equity and Debt Offerings

From time-to-time, Enterprise Products Partners may issue equity or debt securities to meet its liquidity and capital spending requirements. Enterprise Products Partners filed a universal shelf registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") registering the issuance of up to \$4 billion of equity and debt securities. After taking into account the past issuance of securities under this universal registration statement, Enterprise Products Partners can issue approximately \$2.7 billion of additional securities under this registration statement as of July 31, 2006.

In July 2006, the Operating Partnership sold \$300 million in principal amount of fixed/floating unsecured junior subordinated notes ("Junior Notes A"). The Operating Partnership used the proceeds from this issuance to temporarily reduce borrowings outstanding under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes. The Junior Notes A mature in August 2066 and will bear interest from July 2006 to August 2016 at an annual rate of 8.375%, and thereafter at an annual rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate for the related interest period plus 3.708%.

In July 2006, Enterprise Products Partners issued approximately 7.1 million of its common units as partial consideration for the acquisition of natural gas pipeline assets located in South Texas. Enterprise Products Partners is obligated to file a registration statement with the SEC for the resale of these common units. See "Capital Spending" included within this Item 2 for additional information regarding this acquisition.

#### **Debt Obligations**

For detailed information regarding our consolidated debt obligations and those of our unconsolidated affiliates, please read Note 10 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report. The following table summarizes our consolidated debt obligations at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Parent Company debt obligations:		
\$200 Million Credit Facility, variable rate, due January 2009 (1)	\$ 146,500	\$ 134,500
Operating Partnership debt obligations:		
Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility, variable rate, due October 2011 (2, 3)	530,000	490,000
Pascagoula MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed-rate, due March 2010	54,000	54,000
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed-rate, due February 2011	450,000	450,000
Senior Notes C, 6.375% fixed-rate, due February 2013	350,000	350,000
Senior Notes D, 6.875% fixed-rate, due March 2033	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes E, 4.00% fixed-rate, due October 2007	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes F, 4.625% fixed-rate, due October 2009	500,000	500,000
Senior Notes G, 5.60% fixed-rate, due October 2014	650,000	650,000
Senior Notes H, 6.65% fixed-rate, due October 2034	350,000	350,000
Senior Notes I, 5.00% fixed-rate, due March 2015	250,000	250,000
Senior Notes J, 5.75% fixed-rate, due March 2035	250,000	250,000
Senior Notes K, 4.950% fixed-rate, due June 2010	500,000	500,000
Dixie Revolving Credit Facility, variable rate, due June 2007	10,000	17,000
Debt obligations assumed from GulfTerra	5,067	5,067
Total principal amount	5,045,567	5,000,567
Other, including unamortized discounts and premiums and changes in fair value (4)	(77,666)	(32,287)
Long-term debt	\$4,967,901	\$ 4,968,280
Standby letters of credit outstanding	\$ 46,558	\$ 33,129
Stationary retreats or credit outstationing	\$ 46,558	p 35,129

- (1) The parent company amended and restated its \$525 Million Credit Facility in January 2006 resulting in a new \$200 Million Credit Facility.
- (2) In June 2006, the Operating Partnership executed a second amendment (the "Second Amendment") to the credit agreement governing its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. The Second Amendment, among other things, extends the maturity date of amounts borrowed under the Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility from October 2010 to October 2011 with respect to \$1.2 billion of the commitments. Borrowings with respect to the remaining \$48 million in commitments mature in October 2010.
- (3) Enterprise Products Partners generated net proceeds of \$430 million in March 2006 in connection with the sale of 18,400,000 of its common units in an underwritten equity offering. Subsequently, this amount was contributed to the Operating Partnership, which, in turn, used this amount to temporarily reduce debt outstanding under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility.
- (4) The June 30, 2006 amount includes \$64 million related to fair value hedges and \$13.7 million in net unamortized discounts. The December 31, 2005 amount includes \$18.2 million related to fair value hedges and \$14.1 million in net unamortized discounts. For additional information regarding our fair value hedges, please read Item 3 of the quarterly report.

The following table summarizes the debt obligations of our unconsolidated affiliates (on a 100% basis to the joint venture) at June 30, 2006 and our ownership interest in each entity on that date (dollars in thousands):

	Our Ownership Interest	Total
Cameron Highway	50.0%	\$415,000
Poseidon Oil Pipeline Company, L.L.C. ("Poseidon")	36.0%	92,000
Evangeline Gas Pipeline Company, L.P.	49.5%	30,650
Total		\$537,650

In March 2006, Cameron Highway amended the note purchase agreement governing its senior secured notes to primarily address the effect of reduced deliveries of crude oil to Cameron Highway resulting from production delays caused by the lingering effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. In general, this amendment modified certain financial covenants in light of production forecasts. In addition, the

amendment increased the letters of credit required to be issued by the Operating Partnership and an affiliate of our joint venture partner from \$18.4 million each to \$36.8 million each.

In May 2006, Poseidon amended its revolving credit facility, which, among other things, decreased the availability to \$150 million from \$170 million, extended the maturity date from January 2008 to May 2011and lowered the borrowing rate.

## Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

The following table summarizes our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands). For information regarding the individual components of our cash flow amounts, please see the Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

		Six Months June 30,
	2006	2005
Net cash provided from operating activities	\$561,144	\$106,020
Net cash used in investing activities	689,787	570,449
Net cash provided by financing activities	110,880	472,556

The following information highlights the significant quarter-to-quarter variances in our cash flow amounts:

## Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 with Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

<u>Operating activities</u>. Net cash provided from operating activities was \$561.1 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$106 million for the first six months of 2005. The \$455.1 million period-to-period increase in net cash provided from operating activities is primarily due to:

- Net income adjusted for all non-cash items and the net effects of changes in operating accounts increased \$473.7 million period-to-period primarily due to the timing of cash receipts and payments during the periods.
- Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates decreased by \$18.6 million period-to-period primarily due to (i) a decrease in distributions from VESCO resulting from facility down-time and repair costs in 2006 caused by damage inflicted by Hurricane Katrina, (ii) our receipt of a special distribution from Deepwater Gateway, L.L.C. ("Deepwater Gateway") in March 2005 in connection with the repayment of its term loan and (iii) our receipt of a \$5.1 million distribution from Neptune Pipeline Company, L.L.C. during 2005 associated with the resolution of a transportation contract dispute.

<u>Investing activities</u>. Cash used in investing activities was \$689.8 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$570.4 million for the first six months of 2005. Expenditures for growth and sustaining capital projects (net of contributions in aid of construction costs) increased \$131.7 million period-to-period primarily due to cash payments associated with our projects in the Rocky Mountains and Gulf of Mexico. In addition, during the first six months of 2006 we spent \$97.8 million in connection with our Jonah expansion project. Our cash outlays for asset purchases and business combinations were \$38.1 million for the first six months of 2006 versus \$181.1 million for the first six months of 2005. For additional information related to our capital spending program, please read "Capital Spending" included within this Item 2.

Our investments in unconsolidated affiliates decreased from \$80.7 million for the first six months of 2005 to \$14.1 million for the first six months of 2006. In March 2005, we contributed \$72 million to Deepwater Gateway to fund our share of the repayment of its term loan.

Cash inflows related to investing activities for the first six months of 2005 include cash receipts of (i) \$42.1 million from the sale of our investment in Starfish Pipeline Company, LLC, which was required by the Federal Trade Commission in order to gain its approval for the GulfTerra Merger and (ii) \$47.5 million related to a return of our investment in Cameron Highway associated with the refinancing of its project debt in June 2005.

<u>Financing activities</u>. Cash provided by financing activities was \$110.9 million for the first six months of 2006 compared to \$472.6 million for the first six months of 2005. We had net borrowings under our debt agreements of \$45 million during the first six months of 2006 versus \$269.6 million during the first six months of 2005. We used \$430 million of net proceeds from Enterprise Products Partners' March 2006 equity offering to reduce debt outstanding under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. In addition, during 2006 we used borrowings under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility to fund our capital spending program.

During the first six months of 2005, the Operating Partnership issued an aggregate of \$1 billion in senior notes, the proceeds of which were used to repay \$350 million due under its Senior Notes A, to temporarily reduce amounts outstanding under its other bank credit facilities and for general partnership purposes, including capital expenditures and business combinations. Also during the first six months of 2005, the Operating Partnership repaid \$242.2 million then outstanding under its 364-Day Acquisition Credit Facility (which was used to finance elements of the GulfTerra Merger) using proceeds generated from Enterprise Products Partners' February 2005 equity offering.

Distributions paid to minority interest holders were \$345.4 million during the first six months of 2006 compared to \$315.2 million during the first six months of 2005. Distributions paid to minority interest holders primarily represent the distributions paid to the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners, excluding the limited partner interests owned by the parent company. The increase in quarterly cash distributions paid by Enterprise Products Partners is primarily due to an increase in the number of its common units outstanding and its quarterly cash distribution rates.

Contributions from minority interest holders were \$463.4 million during the first six months of 2006 compared to \$538.3 million during the first six months of 2005. Contributions from minority interest holders primarily represent net cash proceeds received by Enterprise Products Partners in connection with its equity offerings (other than cash receipts indirectly contributed by the parent company) and cash contributions from joint venture partners. Enterprise Products Partners issued 19,295,836 common units to minority interest holders during the first six months of 2006 compared to 19,176,810 common units during the first six months of 2005. Enterprise Products Partners received \$442.8 million and \$496.1 million from minority interest holders during the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with these sales of common units. In addition, Enterprise Products Partners received contributions from its joint venture partners of \$19 million during the first six months of 2006 compared to \$23.6 million during the first six months of 2005. These amounts represent contributions from our joint venture partner in the Independence Hub project.

#### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Since December 31, 2005, scheduled maturities of long-term debt increased \$45 million primarily due to borrowings under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility to fund our capital spending program, offset by the application of net proceeds generated by Enterprise Products Partners' equity offering in March 2006 to temporarily reduce debt outstanding under the Operating Partnership's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. For additional information regarding our debt obligations, please read Note 10 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report. Also, we renewed our lease of the Wilson natural gas storage facility for an additional 20-year period during the first quarter of 2006. For additional information regarding our commitments under this significant lease, please read Note 15 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

Other than the items noted in the previous paragraph, there have been no significant changes with regard to our material contractual obligations (outside of the ordinary course of business) since those reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

#### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

In March 2006, Cameron Highway amended the note purchase agreement governing its senior secured notes to primarily address the effect of reduced deliveries of crude oil to Cameron Highway resulting from production delays caused by the lingering effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. In general, this amendment modified certain financial covenants in light of production forecasts. In addition, the amendment increased the face amount of the letters of credit required to be issued by the Operating Partnership and an affiliate of our joint venture partner from \$18.4 million each to \$36.8 million each.

In May 2006, Poseidon amended its revolving credit facility to, among other things, reduce commitments from \$170 million to \$150 million, extend the maturity date from January 2008 to May 2011 and lower the borrowing rate.

Other than the amendments discussed above, there have been no significant changes with regard to our off-balance sheet arrangements since those reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

In March 2006, we adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 04-13, "Accounting for Purchases and Sale of Inventory With the Same Counterparty." This accounting guidance requires that two or more inventory transactions with the same counterparty should be viewed as a single nonmonetary transaction, if the transactions were entered into in contemplation of one another. Exchanges of inventory between entities in the same line of business should be accounted for at fair value or recorded at carrying amounts, depending on the classification of such inventory. This guidance was effective April 1, 2006, and our adoption of this guidance had no impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2007, we will adopt the provisions of EITF 06-3, "How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation)." This accounting guidance requires companies to disclose their policy regarding the presentation of tax receipts on the face of their income statements. This guidance specifically applies to taxes imposed by governmental authorities on revenue-producing transactions between sellers and customers (gross receipts taxes are excluded). This guidance is effective January 1, 2007. As a matter of policy, we report such taxes on a net basis.

Also in January 2007, we will adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments." This accounting standard amends SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, amends SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, and resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue D1, Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests to Securitized Financial Assets. A hybrid financial instrument is one that embodies both an embedded derivative and a host contract. For certain hybrid financial instruments, SFAS 133 requires an embedded derivative instrument be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a separate derivative instrument. SFAS 155 amends SFAS 133 to provide a fair value measurement alternative for certain hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that would otherwise be recognized as a derivative separately from the host contract. For hybrid financial instruments within its scope, SFAS 155 allows the holder of the instrument to make a one-time, irrevocable election to initially and subsequently measure the instrument in its entirety at fair value instead of separately accounting for the embedded derivative and host contract. We are evaluating the effect of this recent guidance, which is effective January 1, 2007 for our partnership.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In our financial reporting process, we employ methods, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of our financial statements. These methods, estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Investors should be aware that actual results could differ from these estimates if the underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect.

In general, there have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2005. For a detailed discussion of these policies, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies" in our annual report on Form 10-K for 2005. The following describes the estimation risk underlying our most significant financial statement items:

## Depreciation methods and estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment

In general, depreciation is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the periods it benefits. The majority of our property, plant and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method, which results in depreciation expense being incurred evenly over the life of the assets. Our estimate of depreciation incorporates assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. At the time we place our assets in service, we believe such assumptions are reasonable; however, circumstances may develop that would cause us to change these assumptions, which would change our depreciation amounts on a going forward basis.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the net book value of our property, plant and equipment was \$9 billion and \$8.7 billion, respectively. For additional information regarding our property, plant and equipment, please read Note 6 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

## Measuring recoverability of long-lived assets and equity method investments

In general, long-lived assets (including intangible assets with finite useful lives and property, plant and equipment) are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Equity method investments are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that there is a possible loss in value for the investment other than a temporary decline. Measuring the potential impairment of such assets and investments involves the estimation of future cash flows to be derived from the asset being tested. Our estimates of such cash flows are based on a number of assumptions including anticipated margins and volumes; estimated useful life of asset or asset group; and salvage values. A significant change in these underlying assumptions could result in our recording an impairment charge.

## Amortization methods and estimated useful lives of qualifying intangible assets

In general, our intangible asset portfolio consists primarily of the estimated values assigned to certain customer relationships and customer contracts. We amortize the customer relationship values using methods that closely resemble the pattern in which the economic benefits of the underlying oil and natural gas resource bases from which the customers produce are estimated to be consumed or otherwise used. We amortize the customer contract intangible assets over the estimated remaining economic life of the underlying contract. A change in the estimates we use to determine amortization rates of our intangible assets (e.g., oil and natural gas production curves, remaining economic life of the contracts, etc.) could result in a material change in the amortization expense we record and the carrying value of our intangible assets.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the carrying value of our intangible asset portfolio was \$909.3 million and \$913.6 million, respectively. For additional information regarding our intangible

assets, please read Note 9 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

## Methods we employ to measure the fair value of goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase prices we paid for certain businesses over their respective fair values and is primarily comprised of \$387.1 million associated with the GulfTerra Merger. We do not amortize goodwill; however, we test our goodwill (at the reporting unit level) for impairment during the second quarter of each fiscal year, and more frequently, if circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of goodwill is below its carrying amount. Our goodwill testing involves the determination of a reporting unit's fair value, which is predicated on our assumptions regarding the future economic prospects of the reporting unit. Our estimates of such prospects (i.e., cash flows) are based on a number of assumptions including anticipated margins and volumes of the underlying assets or asset group. A significant change in these underlying assumptions could result in our recording an impairment charge.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the carrying value of our goodwill was \$494 million. For additional information regarding our goodwill, please read Note 9 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

#### Our revenue recognition policies and use of estimates for revenues and expenses

Our use of certain estimates for revenues and operating costs and other expenses has increased as a result of SEC regulations that require us to submit financial information on accelerated time frames. Such estimates are necessary due to the timing of compiling actual billing information and receiving third-party data needed to record transactions for financial reporting purposes. If the basis of our estimates proves to be substantially incorrect, it could result in material adjustments in results of operations between periods.

#### Reserves for environmental matters

Each of our business segments is subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control. Such laws and regulations may, in certain instances, require us to remediate current or former operating sites where specified substances have been released or disposed of. We accrue reserves for environmental matters when our assessments indicate that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and an amount can be reasonably estimated. Our assessments are based on studies, as well as site surveys, to determine the extent of any environmental damage and the necessary requirements to remediate this damage. Future environmental developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws and additional claims for damages to property, employees and other persons resulting from current or past operations, could result in substantial additional costs beyond our current reserves.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had a liability for environmental remediation of \$21 million, which was derived from a range of reasonable estimates based upon studies and site surveys. In accordance with SFAS 5 "Accounting for Contingencies" and Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation ("FIN") 14, "Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss," we recorded our best estimate of these remediation activities.

#### Natural gas imbalances

Natural gas imbalances result when customers physically deliver a larger or smaller quantity of natural gas into our pipelines than they take out. In general, we value such imbalances using a twelve-month moving average of natural gas prices, which we believe is reasonable given that the actual settlement dates for such imbalances are generally not known. As a result, significant changes in natural gas prices between reporting periods may impact our estimates.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, our imbalance receivables were \$77.9 million and \$89.4 million, respectively, and are reflected as a component of accounts receivable. At June 30, 2006 and

December 31, 2005, our imbalance payables were \$58.2 million and \$80.5 million, respectively, and are reflected as a component of accrued gas payables.

#### SUMMARY OF RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In accordance with SFAS 57, "*Related Party Disclosures*," we have identified our material related party revenues, costs and expenses. The following table summarizes our related party transactions for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands).

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		Ended .	ix Months June 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues from consolidated operations				
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 33,448	\$ 2	\$ 39,080	\$ 286
Unconsolidated affiliates	79,986	80,946	164,429	138,855
Total	\$113,434	\$ 80,948	\$ 203,509	\$139,141
Operating costs and expenses				
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 71,105	\$ 64,991	\$ 166,062	\$123,994
Unconsolidated affiliates	7,904	3,898	14,590	10,466
Total	\$ 79,009	\$ 68,889	\$ 180,652	\$ 134,460
General and administrative expenses				
EPCO and affiliates	\$ 10,972	\$ 11,119	\$ 22,170	\$ 20,794
Interest expense				
EPCO and affiliates		\$ 5,689		\$ 11,328

For additional information regarding our related party transactions identified in accordance with GAAP, please read Note 13 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

We have an extensive and ongoing relationship with EPCO and its affiliates, including TEPPCO. Our revenues from EPCO and affiliates are primarily associated with sales of NGL products. Our expenses with EPCO and affiliates are primarily due to (i) reimbursements we pay EPCO in connection with an administrative services agreement and (ii) purchases of NGL products. TEPPCO is an affiliate of ours due to the common control relationship of both entities.

Many of our unconsolidated affiliates perform supporting or complementary roles to our consolidated business operations. The majority of our revenues from unconsolidated affiliates relate to natural gas sales to a Louisiana affiliate. The majority of our expenses with unconsolidated affiliates pertain to payments we make to K/D/S Promix, LLC for NGL transportation, storage and fractionation services.

At June 30, 2006, other assets includes \$106.9 million related to our Jonah expansion project with TEPPCO. For additional information regarding the Jonah expansion project, please read "Capital Spending" included within this Item 2.

#### OTHER ITEMS

## Non-GAAP reconciliation

The following table presents a reconciliation of total non-GAAP gross operating margin to GAAP operating income and income before provision for income taxes, minority interest and the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Si Ended J	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Total non-GAAP gross operating margin	\$ 310,624	\$ 245,875	\$ 623,147	\$ 521,089
Adjustments to reconcile total non-GAAP gross operating margin to operating				
income:				
Depreciation, amortization and accretion in operating costs and expenses	(107,952)	(101,048)	(212,768)	(201,013)
Operating lease expense paid by EPCO	(528)	(528)	(1,056)	(1,056)
Gain (loss) on sale of assets in operating costs and expenses	136	(83)	197	5,353
General and administrative costs	(17,799)	(18,882)	(32,360)	(34,035)
GAAP consolidated operating income	184,481	125,334	377,160	290,338
Other expense	(55,237)	(61,177)	(113,397)	(119,305)
GAAP income before provision for income taxes, minority interest and				
cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 129,244	\$ 64,157	\$ 263,763	\$ 171,033

EPCO subleases certain equipment located at its Mont Belvieu facility and 100 railcars for \$1 per year (the "retained leases") to Enterprise Products Partners. These subleases are part of an administrative services agreement between EPCO and Enterprise Products Partners that was executed in connection with the formation of Enterprise Products Partners in 1998. EPCO holds this equipment pursuant to operating leases for which it has retained the corresponding cash lease payment obligation. Enterprise Products Partners records the full value of such lease payments made by EPCO as a non-cash related party operating expense. Apart from the partnership interests Enterprise Products Partners granted to EPCO at its formation, EPCO does not receive any additional ownership rights as a result of its contribution of the retained leases to Enterprise Products Partners.

## Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles

Net income for the first quarter of 2006 includes a non-cash benefit of \$1.5 million, of which \$1.4 million is included in minority interest expense, related to the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle resulting from our adoption of SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006. For additional information regarding this cumulative effect adjustment, please read Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

## Provision for income taxes - Texas Margin Tax

Prior to the second quarter of 2006, our provision for income taxes related to federal income tax and state franchise and income tax obligations of Seminole and Dixie, which are both corporations and represented our only consolidated subsidiaries that were historically subject to such income taxes. In May 2006, the State of Texas enacted a new business tax (the "Texas Margin Tax") that replaced the existing state franchise tax. In general, legal entities that do business in Texas are subject to the Texas Margin Tax. Limited partnerships, limited liability companies, corporations, limited liability partnerships and joint ventures are examples of the types of entities that are subject to the Texas Margin Tax. As a result of the change in tax law, our tax status in the State of Texas changed from nontaxable to taxable. The tax is considered an income tax for purposes of adjustments to deferred tax liability as the tax is determined by applying a tax rate to a base that considers both revenues and expenses. The Texas Margin Tax becomes effective for margin tax reports due on or after January 1, 2008. The Texas Margin Tax due in 2008 will be based on revenues earned during the 2007 fiscal year.

The Texas Margin Tax is assessed at 1% of Texas-sourced taxable margin. The taxable margin is the lesser of (1) 70% of total revenue or (2) total revenue less (a) cost of goods sold or (b) compensation and benefits. Our deferred tax liability, which is a component of other long-term liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, reflects the net tax effects of temporary differences related to items such as property, plant and equipment. Therefore, the deferred tax liability is noncurrent. We have calculated and recorded an estimated deferred tax liability of approximately \$6.1 million for the Texas Margin Tax. The non-cash offsetting charge of \$6.1 million is shown on our unaudited condensed statements of consolidated operations and comprehensive income as a component of provision for income taxes for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006.

The constitutionality of the Texas Margin Tax is being questioned. The Texas Comptroller has requested a formal opinion from the Texas Attorney General on whether the Texas Margin Tax is an income tax that violates the Texas constitution. The Texas constitution requires voter approval of any tax imposed on the net income of natural persons, including a person's share of partnership or unincorporated association income; such approval was not obtained for the Texas Margin Tax. The Comptroller has requested that the Attorney General determine whether the direct imposition of the Texas Margin Tax on partnerships without voter approval violates this constitutional requirement. The Attorney General's decision is not expected until late 2006 or early 2007. If the Texas Margin Tax is ultimately challenged in court, the legislation enacting the Texas Margin Tax gives the Texas Supreme Court jurisdiction over the constitutional challenge and allows the Court to grant injunctive or declaratory relief. The Court would have 120 days from the date the challenge is filed to make a ruling.

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION AND RISK FACTORS

This quarterly report contains various forward-looking statements and information based on our beliefs and those of EPE Holdings, our general partner, as well as assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. When used in this document, words such as "anticipate," "project," "expect," "plan," "goal," "forecast," "intend," "could," "believe," "may" and similar expressions and statements regarding our plans and objectives for future operations, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we and our general partner believe that such expectations (as reflected in such forward-looking statements) are reasonable, neither we nor EPE Holdings can give any assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

When considering forward-looking statements, please read Part II, Item 1A, "*Risk Factors*," included within this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and Part I, Item 1A, "*Risk Factors*," included in our annual report on Form 10-K for 2005.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in commodity prices and interest rates. We may use financial instruments (i.e., futures, forwards, swaps, options and other financial instruments with similar characteristics) to mitigate the risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions. In general, the type of risks we attempt to hedge are those related to (i) the variability of future earnings, (ii) fair values of certain debt instruments and (iii) cash flows resulting from changes in certain interest rates or commodity prices. As a matter of policy, we do not use financial instruments for speculative (or "trading") purposes.

## Interest Rate Risk Hedging Program

Our interest rate exposure results from variable and fixed interest rate borrowings under various debt agreements. We manage a portion of our interest rate exposures by utilizing interest rate swaps and similar arrangements, which allow us to convert a portion of fixed rate debt into variable rate debt or a portion of variable rate debt into fixed rate debt.

*Fair value hedges – Interest rate swaps.* As summarized in the following table, we had eleven interest rate swap agreements outstanding at June 30, 2006 that were accounted for as fair value hedges.

Hedged Fixed Rate Debt	Number Of Swaps	Period Covered by Swap	Termination Date of Swap	Fixed to Variable Rate (1)	Notional Amount
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed rate, due Feb. 2011	1	Jan. 2004 to Feb. 2011	Feb. 2011	7.50% to 8.15%	\$50 million \$200
Senior Notes C, 6.375% fixed rate, due Feb. 2013	2	Jan. 2004 to Feb. 2013	Feb. 2013	6.375% to 6.69%	million
Senior Notes G, 5.6% fixed rate, due Oct. 2014	6	4th Qtr. 2004 to Oct. 2014	Oct. 2014	5.6% to 6.14%	\$600 million \$200
Senior Notes K, 4.95% fixed rate, due June 2010	2	Aug. 2005 to June 2010	June 2010	4.95% to 5.73%	million

#### (1) The variable rate indicated is the all-in variable rate for the current settlement period.

The total fair value of these eleven interest rate swaps at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, was a liability of \$64.9 million and \$19.2 million, respectively, with an offsetting decrease in the fair value of the underlying debt. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 reflects a \$1.1 million expense and a \$2.9 million benefit from these swap agreements, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, interest expense reflects a \$0.9 million expense and a \$7.5 million benefit, respectively, from these swap agreements.

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements on the estimated fair value ("FV") of our interest rate swap portfolio and the related change in fair value of the underlying debt at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands). Income is not affected by changes in the fair value of these swaps; however, these swaps effectively convert the hedged portion of fixed-rate debt to variable-rate debt. As a result, interest expense (and related cash outlays for debt service) will increase or decrease with the change in the periodic "reset" rate associated with the respective swap. Typically, the reset rate is an agreed upon index rate published on the first day of each six-month interest calculation period.

	Resulting	Swap Fair	r Value at
Scenario	Classification	June 30, 2006	July 20, 2006
FV assuming no change in underlying interest rates	Asset (Liability)	\$(64,869)	\$(56,350)
FV assuming 10% increase in underlying interest rates	Asset (Liability)	(98,063)	(88,615)
FV assuming 10% decrease in underlying interest rates	Asset (Liability)	(31,676)	(24,085)

The change in fair value of our interest rate swaps since December 31, 2005 is primarily due to an increase in interest rates.

<u>Cash flow hedges – Treasury Locks.</u> During the second quarter of 2006, the Operating Partnership entered into a treasury lock transaction having a notional amount of \$250 million. In addition, in July 2006, the Operating Partnership entered into an additional treasury lock transaction having a notional amount of \$50 million. A treasury lock is a specialized agreement that fixes the price (or yield) on a specific treasury security for an established period of time. A treasury lock purchaser is protected from a

rise in the yield of the underlying treasury security during the lock period. The Operating Partnership's purpose of entering into these transactions was to hedge the underlying U.S. treasury rate related to its anticipated issuance of subordinated debt. In July 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$300 million in principal amount of its Junior Notes A. Each of the treasury lock transactions was designated as a cash flow hedge under SFAS 133. In July 2006, the Operating Partnership elected to terminate these treasury lock transactions and recognized a minimal gain.

## Commodity Risk Hedging Program

The prices of natural gas, NGLs and petrochemical products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the risks associated with such products, we may enter into commodity financial instruments. The primary purpose of our commodity risk management activities is to hedge our exposure to price risks associated with (i) natural gas purchases, (ii) NGL production and inventories, (iii) related firm commitments, (iv) fluctuations in transportation revenues where the underlying fees are based on natural gas index prices and (v) certain anticipated transactions involving either natural gas, NGLs or certain petrochemical products.

The fair value of our commodity financial instrument portfolio at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was a liability of \$7.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded \$5.7 million and \$5.3 million of expense related to our commodity financial instruments, respectively, which is included in operating costs and expenses on our Unaudited Condensed Statements of Consolidated Operations and Comprehensive Income. We recorded nominal amounts of earnings from our commodity financial instruments during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

We assess the risk of our commodity financial instrument portfolio using a sensitivity analysis model. This analysis measures potential income or loss resulting from changes in fair value of the portfolio, based upon a hypothetical 10% change in the underlying quoted market prices of the commodity financial instruments. The following table shows the effect of such hypothetical price movements on the estimated fair value of our commodity financial instrument portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	Resulting	Con	nmodity Financi	al Instrume	nt Portfolio FV
Scenario	Classification	June 30, 2006		June 30, 2006 July 20	
	Asset				
FV assuming no change in underlying commodity prices	(Liability)	\$	(7,785)	9	(5,791)
FV assuming 10% increase in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)		(16,536)		(13,653)
FV assuming 10% decrease in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)		966		2,072

## Effect of financial instruments on accumulated other comprehensive income

The following table summarizes the effect of our cash flow hedging financial instruments on accumulated other comprehensive income since December 31, 2005.

	Commodity Financial Instruments	Interest Rate Financial Instruments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balance
Balance, December 31, 2005		\$ 19,072	\$ 19,072
Change in fair value of commodity financial instruments	\$ (7,700)		(7,700)
Reclassification of gain on settlement of interest rate financial instruments		(2,093)	(2,093)
Reclassification of change in fair value of interest rate financial instruments		1,638	1,638
Balance, June 30, 2006	\$ (7,700)	\$ 18,617	\$ 10,917

During the remainder of 2006, we will reclassify \$2.1 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings as a reduction in consolidated interest expense.

## Item 4. Controls and procedures.

Our management, with the participation of the chief executive officer ("CEO") and chief financial officer ("CFO") of our general partner, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, including internal controls over financial reporting. Collectively, these disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide us with a reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in periodic reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. The disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our general partner's CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow such persons to make timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Based on the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Therefore, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide such reasonable assurances of achieving our desired control objectives, and our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in achieving that level of reasonable assurance.

Based on their evaluation, the CEO and CFO of our general partner have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information relating to our partnership is made known to management on a timely basis. The CEO and CFO noted no material weaknesses in the design or operation of our internal controls over financial reporting that are likely to adversely affect our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information. Also, they detected no fraud involving management or employees who have a significant role in our internal controls over financial reporting.

The certifications of our general partner's CEO and CFO required under Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been included as exhibits to this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 15, "Commitments and Contingencies - Litigation," which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Apart from that discussed below, there have been no significant changes in our risk factors since December 31, 2005. For a detailed discussion of our risk factors, please read, Item 1A "Risk Factors," in our annual report on Form 10-K for 2005.

#### Tax Risks to Unitholders

If we or Enterprise Products Partners were to become subject to entity level taxation for federal or state tax purposes, then our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service on this matter. The value of our investment in Enterprise Products Partners depends largely on Enterprise Products Partners being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and we would likely pay state taxes as well. Distributions to our unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate dividends, and no income, gains, losses or deductions would flow though to our unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, the cash available for distributions to our unitholders would be substantially reduced. Therefore, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in our anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to our unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our units.

If Enterprise Products Partners were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, it would pay federal income tax on its taxable income at the corporate tax rate. Distributions to us would generally be taxed again as corporate dividends, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to us. As a result, there would be a material reduction in our anticipated cash flow, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our units.

Current law may change, causing us or Enterprise Products Partners to be treated as a corporation for United States federal income tax purposes or otherwise subjecting us to entity level taxation. For example, because of widespread state budget deficits, certain states, including Texas, have taken steps to subject partnerships to entity level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. To the extent any state imposes an income or other tax upon us or Enterprise Products Partners as an entity, the cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be reduced.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

We did not repurchase any of our units during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

#### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

## Item 5. Other Information.

None.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
2.1	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Coral Energy, LLC and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated September 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 26, 2000).
2.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 16, 2002 by and between Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. and Enterprise Products Texas Operating L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002.)
2.3	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 31, 2002 by and between D-K Diamond-Koch, L.L.C., Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. as Sellers and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. as Buyer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002).
2.4	Purchase Agreement by and between E-Birchtree, LLC and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated July 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 12, 2002).
2.5	Purchase Agreement by and between E-Birchtree, LLC and E-Cypress, LLC dated July 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 12, 2002).
2.6	Merger Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.7	Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 7, 2004).
2.8	Parent Company Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.9	Amendment No. 1 to Parent Company Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed April 21, 2004).
2.10	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C., adopted by GulfTerra GP Holding Company, a Delaware corporation, and Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as of December 15, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.11	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. adopted by Enterprise Products GTM, LLC as of September 30, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.11 to Registration Statement on Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
	70

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
2.12	Purchase and Sale Agreement (Gas Plants), dated as of December 15, 2003, by and between El Paso Corporation, El Paso Field Services Management, Inc., El Paso Transmission, L.L.C., El Paso Field Services Holding Company and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
3.1	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form 10-Q filed November 4, 2005).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of EPE Holdings, LLC, dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form 8-K filed September 1, 2005).
3.3	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form S-1 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-124320, filed July 21, 2005).
3.4	Certificate of Formation of EPE Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form S-1 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-124320, filed July 21, 2005).
3.5	Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., dated effective as of August 8, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 10, 2005).
3.6	Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enterprise Products GP, LLC, dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 1, 2005).
3.7	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated as of July 31, 1998 (restated to include all agreements through December 10, 2003)(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed July 1, 2005).
3.8	Certificate of Incorporation of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 3, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
3.9	Bylaws of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 8, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
4.1	\$2.25 Billion 364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 25, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the Lenders party thereto, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Citicorp North America, Inc. and Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., as Co-Syndication Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, UBS Loan Finance LLC and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Co-Documentation Agents, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Lehman Brothers Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2004).
4.2	Indenture dated as of October 4, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed on October 6, 2004).
4.3	Second Amendment dated June 22, 2006, to Multi-Year Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 25, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the Lenders party thereto, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, CitiBank, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as CO-Syndication Agents, and Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., SunTrust Bank and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2006.)
4.4	Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 18, 2006 to Indenture dated October 4, 2004 among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as parent guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Enterprise Products Partners Form 8-K filed July 19, 2006).
4.5	Form of Junior Note, including Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
4.6	Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 12, 2006 between Cerrito Gathering Company, Ltd., Cerrito Gas Marketing, Ltd., Encinal Gathering, Ltd., as Sellers, Lewis Energy Group, L.P., as Guarantor, and Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Buyer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2006).
18.1	Letter regarding Change in Accounting Principles dated May 4, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 18.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2004).
31.1#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of Michael A. Creel for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
31.2#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of W. Randall Fowler for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
32.1#	Section 1350 certification of Michael A. Creel for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
32.2#	Section 1350 certification of W. Randall Fowler for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

<sup>\*</sup> With respect to any exhibits incorporated by reference to any Exchange Act filings, the Commission file number is 1-32610 for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and 1-14323 for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

<sup>#</sup> Filed with this report.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this quarterly report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston, State of Texas on August 8, 2006.

## ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

By: EPE Holdings, LLC,

as General Partner

By: /s/ Michael J. Knesek

Name: Michael J. Knesek

itle: Senior Vice President, Controller

and Principal Accounting Officer

of the General Partner

## Index To Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
2.1	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Coral Energy, LLC and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated September 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 26, 2000).
2.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 16, 2002 by and between Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. and Enterprise Products Texas Operating L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002.)
2.3	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 31, 2002 by and between D-K Diamond-Koch, L.L.C., Diamond-Koch, L.P. and Diamond-Koch III, L.P. as Sellers and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. as Buyer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed February 8, 2002).
2.4	Purchase Agreement by and between E-Birchtree, LLC and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated July 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 12, 2002).
2.5	Purchase Agreement by and between E-Birchtree, LLC and E-Cypress, LLC dated July 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 12, 2002).
2.6	Merger Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.7	Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 7, 2004).
2.8	Parent Company Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.9	Amendment No. 1 to Parent Company Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed April 21, 2004).
2.10	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C., adopted by GulfTerra GP Holding Company, a Delaware corporation, and Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as of December 15, 2003, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.11	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. adopted by Enterprise Products GTM, LLC as of September 30, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.11 to Registration Statement on Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
2.12	Purchase and Sale Agreement (Gas Plants), dated as of December 15, 2003, by and between El Paso Corporation, El Paso Field Services Management, Inc., El Paso Transmission, L.L.C., El Paso Field Services Holding Company and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
3.1	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P., dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form 10-Q filed November 4, 2005).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of EPE Holdings, LLC, dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form 8-K filed September 1, 2005).
3.3	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form S-1 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-124320, filed July 21, 2005).
3.4	Certificate of Formation of EPE Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to Enterprise GP Holdings' Form S-1 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-124320, filed July 21, 2005).
3.5	Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., dated effective as of August 8, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed August 10, 2005).
3.6	Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enterprise Products GP, LLC, dated as of August 29, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed September 1, 2005).
3.7	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Operating L.P. dated as of July 31, 1998 (restated to include all agreements through December 10, 2003)(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed July 1, 2005).
3.8	Certificate of Incorporation of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 3, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
3.9	Bylaws of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 8, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
4.1	\$2.25 Billion 364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 25, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the Lenders party thereto, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Citicorp North America, Inc. and Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., as Co-Syndication Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, UBS Loan Finance LLC and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Co-Documentation Agents, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Lehman Brothers Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2004).
4.2	Indenture dated as of October 4, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 8-K filed on October 6, 2004).
4.3	Second Amendment dated June 22, 2006, to Multi-Year Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 25, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., the Lenders party thereto, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, CitiBank, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as CO-Syndication Agents, and Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., SunTrust Bank and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2006.)
4.4	Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 18, 2006 to Indenture dated October 4, 2004 among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as parent guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Enterprise Products Partners Form 8-K filed July 19, 2006).
4.5	Form of Junior Note, including Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Enterprise Products Partners Form 8-K filed July 19, 2005).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
4.6	Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 12, 2006 between Cerrito Gathering Company, Ltd., Cerrito Gas Marketing, Ltd., Encinal Gathering, Ltd., as Sellers, Lewis Energy Group, L.P., as Guarantor, and Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Buyer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2006).
18.1	Letter regarding Change in Accounting Principles dated May 4, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 18.1 to Enterprise Products Partners' Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2004).
31.1#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of Michael A. Creel for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
31.2#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of W. Randall Fowler for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
32.1#	Section 1350 certification of Michael A. Creel for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.
32.2#	Section 1350 certification of W. Randall Fowler for the June 30, 2006 quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

<sup>\*</sup> With respect to any exhibits incorporated by reference to any Exchange Act filings, the Commission file number is 1-32610 for Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and 1-14323 for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

<sup>#</sup> Filed with this report.

#### CERTIFICATIONS

- I. Michael A. Creel, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 8, 2006

/s/ Michael A. Creel

Name: Michael A. Creel

Title: Principal Executive Officer of our General

Partner, EPE Holdings, LLC

#### CERTIFICATIONS

- I. W. Randall Fowler, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the
    effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 8, 2006

/s/ W. Randall Fowler

Name: W. Randall Fowler

Title: Principal Financial Officer of our General

Partner, EPE Holdings, LLC

## **SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION**

# CERTIFICATION OF MICHAEL A. CREEL, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EPE HOLDINGS, LLC, THE GENERAL PARTNER OF ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

In connection with this quarterly report of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael A. Creel, Chief Executive Officer of EPE Holdings, LLC, the general partner of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

/s/ Michael A. Creel

Name: Michael A. Creel

e: Chief Executive Officer of EPE Holdings, LLC on behalf of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

Date: August 8, 2006

## **SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION**

## CERTIFICATION OF W. RANDALL FOWLER, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF EPE HOLDINGS, LLC, THE GENERAL PARTNER OF ENTERPRISE GP HOLDINGS L.P.

In connection with this quarterly report of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, W. Randall Fowler, Chief Financial Officer of EPE Holdings, LLC, the general partner of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

## /s/ W. Randall Fowler

Name: W. Randall Fowler

Chief Financial Officer of EPE Holdings, LLC on behalf of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

Date: August 8, 2006